## MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION				
<b>Type of Requestor:</b> (x) HCP () IE () IC	<b>Response Timely Filed?</b> (x) Yes () No			
Requestor's Name and Address Spine Hospital of South Texas	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-1850-01			
18600 N. Hardy Oak Blvd. San Antonio, TX 78258	TWCC No.:			
	Injured Employee's Name:			
Respondent's Name and Address TAC WC Self Ins. Fund/Rep. Box #: 01	Date of Injury:			
C/o Parker & Associates, L.L.C. P.O. Box 684769 Austin, TX 78768-4769	Employer's Name: Hays County			
	Insurance Carrier's No.: 9000395202			

#### PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	CIT Code(s) of Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
8-10-04	8-14-04	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$4,890.68	\$4,890.68

## PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Position summary (no date) states, "... the carrier has not provided payment pursuant to the TWCC Fee Guidelines in effect at the time of the date of service. Specifically, TWCC Rule 134.301(c)(6) requires payment of 75% of total audited charges for billed charges that reach the stop-loss threshold of \$40,000..."

### PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Position summary of November 29, 2004 states, "...The Provider billed the Carrier \$58,809.28 for the total cost of the hospitalization, surgery, and implantables. The Carrier reimbursed the Provider a total of \$39,216.28 in two separate payments of \$19,623.50 and 19,592.78. .. The Provider has supplied cost invoices for the implantables in their MDR Request. After deducting the billing of the implantables and auditing, the remainder of the bill was reimbursed at 75% of the audited charges..."

# PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by the Requestor, it **does** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." In particular, this admission resulted in a hospital stay of 4 days based upon "1. Decompressive lumbar laminectomy L4-5, L5-S1. 2. Bilateral L4-5, L5-S1 medial facetectomies with bilateral L5 and S1 nerve root foraminotomies, subarticular decompression – neurolysis. 3. L5-S1 subtotal diskectomy. 4. L5-S1 posterior lumbar interbody fusion with BMP. 5. L5-S1 bilateral PCR cage insertion 12 x 26 mm bilaterally. 6. L5-S1 bilateral Legacy pedicle instrumentation 40 x 7.5 mm. 7. L5-S1 bilateral posterolateral intertransverse fusion with autograft – BMP. 8. Harvesting of autograft. 10. Epidural Duramorph 4.5cc." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the stop-loss methodology.

The Requestor billed \$58,809.28 and the Respondent reimbursed \$39,216.28. Due to the medical information provided, the admission involved "unusually extensive services". Therefore, the stop-loss reimbursement factor of (75%) results in a workers' compensation reimbursement amount equal to \$4,890.68 (\$44,106.96 - \$39,216.28).

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties care provider is entitled to a reimbursement a	s' positions, and the application of the provisions mount for these services equal to \$4,890.68.	s of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health		
PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION AND O	PRDER			
entitled to additional reimbursement in th	ealthcare services, the Medical Review Divis the amount of \$4,890.68. The Division herebet due at the time of payment to the Requestor	y <b>ORDERS</b> the insurance carrier to		
	Roy Lewis	5-16-05		
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order		
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A H	HEARING			
(twenty) days of your receipt of this decis care provider and placed in the Austin Rep days after it was mailed and the first work Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A 7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite # 100, A attached to the request. The party appealing the Division's Decis involved in the dispute.	ust be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of ion (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3), presentatives box on This ling day after the date the Decision was place A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chustin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4 sion shall deliver a copy of their written requestations accurately accu	This Decision was mailed to the health Decision is deemed received by you five d in the Austin Representative's box (28 ief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, 011. A copy of this Decision should be uest for a hearing to the opposing party		
PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVI	ERY CERTIFICATION			
I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.				
Signature of Insurance Carrier:		Date:		