MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION		
Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? () Yes (x) No	
Requestor's Name and Address North Hill Hospital	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-1835-01	
HCA Patient Account Services	TWCC No.:	
10030 North MacArthur Blvd., Suite 100 Irving, TX 75063-5001	Injured Employee's Name:	
IVIIIg, 17/10005 5001		
Respondent's Name and Address Fidelity & Guaranty Ins./Rep. Box #: 19	Date of Injury:	
C/o Flahive, Ogden & Latson 505 West 12 th Street	Employer's Name: United Dominion Realty Trust	
Austin, TX 78701	Insurance Carrier's No.: 34710 - 90801	

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	CIT Couc(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Duc
11-14-03	11-17-03	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$36,350.96	00.00

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

A position summary was not submitted. The Requestor's rational listed on the Table of Disputed Services states "Claim paid incorrectly per the TX WC Fee Guideline. Insurance paid per diem implant reimbursement. Payment should be at the stoploss rate of 75% of total charges (threshold \$40,000). Per the SAISD vs TWCC and Metropolintan Methodist Hospital (Docket No. 453-03-1233.M4). Implants are not a proper audit item and can not be removed fefore determining whether a claim qualifies for stop loss payment."

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

A position summary was submitted. However, the position summary was not timely filed.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." The UB-92 list the "Prin Diag" code as 722.10, lumbar disc displacement. Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The total length of stay for this admission was 3 days (consisting of 3 days for surgical). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$3,354.00 (3 times \$1,118). The Respondent paid \$16,484.30 for Supply/Implant(s). In addition, the hospital is entitled to additional reimbursement for (implantables/MRIs/CAT Scans/pharmaceuticals) as follows: The requestor did not submit any medical documentation and implant invoices; therefore, MDR cannot determine the cost plus 10%.

Considering the reimbursement amount calculated in accordance with the provisions of rule 134.401(c) compared with the amount previously paid by the insurance carrier, we find that no additional reimbursement is due for these services.

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement.				
Findings and Decision by:				
	Roy Lewis	5-20-05		
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Decision		
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUI	EST A HEARING			
Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, 7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite # 100, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request. The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute. Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.				
PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION				
I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision in the Austin Representative's box.				
Signature of Insurance Carrier: _		Date:		