# MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION		
Type of Requestor: (X) HCP () IE () IC	<b>Response Timely Filed?</b> () Yes (X) No	
Requestor	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-1163-01	
Houston Community Hospital	TWCC No.:	
P.O. Box 11586 Houston, TX 77293	Injured Employee's Name:	
Respondent	Date of Injury:	
ACE American Insurance Co. Rep. Box # 15	Employer's Name: Kellogg Brown & Root, Inc.	
	Insurance Carrier's No.: C290C0153538	

### PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates	of Service	CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	cr r couc(s) or Description		
2-26-04	3-3-04	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$41,224.60	\$1,142.50

### PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Carrier did not pay claim at the usually and customary. HCH is requesting we get reimbursed at a higher reimbursement and Carrier did not respond to my request for reconsideration.

### PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Position statement was not submitted.

## PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

Operative report indicates claimant underwent L3-4 microendoscopic laminotomy, discectomy and foraminotomy.

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The total length of stay for this admission was 6 days (consisting 1 for ICU + 5 days for surgical). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$7150.00 (1 times \$1,560 plus 5 times \$1,118). In addition, the hospital is entitled to additional reimbursement for (implantables/MRIs/CAT Scans/pharmaceuticals) as follows:

Depuy invoice \$1150.00 + 10% = \$1265.00.

Total of surgery per diem and invoices = \$8415.00

The insurance carrier paid \$7272.50 for the inpatient hospitalization. The difference between amount paid and amount due = \$1,142.50.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is entitled to a reimbursement amount for these services equal to \$1,142.50.

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION AND ORDER					
entitled to additional reimbursement in t	he amount of \$1,142.50. The Division	ew Division has determined that the requestor is in hereby <b>ORDERS</b> the insurance carrier to Requestor within 20-days of receipt of this			
	Elizabeth Pickle, RHIA	June 21, 2005			
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order			
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING					
Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request. The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.  Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.					
PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION					
I hereby verify that I received a copy of Signature of Insurance Carrier:	this Decision in the Austin Represen	tative's box.  Date:			