

Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation 7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1609

MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFO	ORMATION						
Type of Requestor: (x) Health Care Provider () Injured Employee () Insurance Carrier							
Requestor's Name and Address: RS Medical		MDR Tracking No.:	M4-05-1080-01				
P.O. Box 872650			Claim No.:				
Vancouver, WA 98687-2650		Injured Employee's Name:					
Respondent's Name and Address:			Date of Injury:				
Southwestern Bell Telephone LP Rep Box: 17			Employer's Name:	Southwestern Bell Telephone LP			
			Insurance Carrier's No.:	949754564			
PART II: REQUESTOR'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND POSITION SUMMARY Requestor's Position Summary: "there is no established fee schedule for this device" Principle Documentation: 1. DWC-60/Table of Disputed Services/Position Summary 2. CMS-1500's 3. EOBs PART III: RESPONDENT'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND POSITION SUMMARY Respondent's Position Summary: "Reimbursement was issued at a fair and reasonable rate of \$111.89 per month."							
Principle Documentation: 1. Position Summary 2. EOBs							
PART IV: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS							
Date(s) of Service	Denial Code	CPT Code(s) or Description		Part V Reference	Additional Amount Due (if any)		
10/15/03 - 11/14/03 11/15/03 - 12/14/03	F, Z560	E-1399-R	R x 2 DOS	1	\$59.74		
TOTAL DUE					\$59.74		
PART V: MEDICAL DISI	PUTE RESOLU	FION REVIEW SUMMA	RY, METHODOLOGY,	AND/OR EXPLANA	TION		
Section 413.011(a-d) titled (Guidelines and Medical Policies), and Commission Rule 134.202 titled (Medical Fee Guideline) effective August 1, 2003, set out reimbursement guidelines. The Respondent used the following exception code to reduce payment for CPT codes E1399: "F, Z560-The charge for this procedure exceeds the Fee Schedule or usual and customary values as established by Ingenix." The reconsideration EOB had the same denial codes.							
1. The HCPCS Level II C code is not available. The Division.							
Division Rule 134.202 (c) relative value, which may decisions, and values assig product features and infor- that due to the unique feat	be based on nat gned for service mation, the mar	ionally recognized publi s involving similar work ufacturer has not submit	shed relative value studi or resource commitmen	ies, published commint. Although RS Med	ssion medical dispute lical has submitted		

MDR does not believe that reimbursement of 100% of the charges is fair and reasonable. Reimbursement of 100% of charges, gives the manufacturer sole control over the amount billed and reimbursed, this is not effective medical cost control for the workers' compensation system. The manufacturer has not provided convincing evidence to justify increased reimbursement. Unless the manufacturer provides convincing evidence to provide for reimbursement otherwise, the Division refers to the other values previously discussed. While the RS4i is not exactly the same as a TENS unit, the RS4i is similar to a TENS unit. Therefore, the Division will use the assigned relative value for a similar type product, E0745, Neuromuscular Stimulator, at a midpoint between the CMS national average payment (\$82.80) multiplied by 1.25 and the national average commercial reimbursement (180.01) for the E0745. The commercial reimbursement is used to recognize the unique features of the RS4i that make the RS4i different from the E0745, Neuromuscular Stimulator.

For date of service in calendar year 2003 the Division reimbursement for the RS4i is calculated as follows $82.80 \times 125\% = 103.50 + 180.01 \div 2 = 141.76$. The Respondent made a total payment for 2 DOS in the amount of $223.78 (111.89 \times 2)$. Therefore, additional reimbursement in the amount of $59.74 (141.76 \times 2 = 283.52 - 223.78)$ is recommended.

PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES IMPACTING DECISION

28 Texas Administrative Code Sec. §413.011(a-d) 28 Texas Administrative Code Sec. §134.202

PART VII: DIVISION DECISION AND ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor **is** entitled to additional reimbursement in the amount of \$59.74 plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 30 days receipt of this Order.

Ordered by:

Patricia Rodriguez	06/14/2006
e	

Authorized Signature

Typed Name

Date of Order

PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST JUDICIAL REVIEW

Appeals of medical dispute resolution decisions and orders are procedurally made directly to a district court in Travis County [see Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031(k), as amended and effective Sept. 1, 2005]. An appeal to District Court must be filed not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision that is the subject of the appeal is final and appealable. The Division is not considered a party to the appeal.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.