MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

TANI I. GENEKA	L INFORMATION										
Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC			Response Timely Filed? () Yes (x) No								
Requestor's Name and Address Vista Medical Center Hospital 4301 Vista Road Pasadena, Texas 77503 Respondent's Name and Address TRANSCONTINENTAL INSURANCE CO BURNS ANDERSON JURY & BRENNER PO POV 26200			MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-0954-01								
			TWCC No.: Injured Employee's Name: Date of Injury: Employer's Name:								
						PO BOX 26300 AUSTIN TX 787550300			Insurance Carrier's No.:		
						Box 47			90000242		
						PART II: SUMMA	RY OF DISPUTE AND	FINDINGS			
Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description		Amount in Dispute	Amount Due						
Dates	JI Selvice	CPT Code(s) or 1	Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due						
From	То	- CPT Code(s) or 1	Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due						
		- CPT Code(s) or Surgical Adu	-	Amount in Dispute \$34,028.50	Amount Due \$0.00						
From	То		-	-							
From	То		-	-							
From	То		-	-							
From	То		-	-							
From	То		-	-							
From 11-03-03	То	Surgical Adı	-	-							

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

"Reimbursement in this case should be pursuant to the standard per diem reimbursement method. The stop-loss exception for outlier cases does not apply as the services provided to the claimant were not unusually extensive and costly".

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested additional reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by the provider, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The total length of stay for this admission was two (2) days (consisting of 2 days surgical for the exploration of a previous lumbar fusion). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$2,236.00 (2 times \$1,118.00). In addition, a review of the UB-92 and submitted medical records revealed no separate reimbursable items (implantables/MRIs/CAT Scans/pharmaceuticals) were involved in this admission.

The carrier has reimbursed the provider \$2,311.00.

previously paid by the insurance carrier, we find that no additional reimbursement is due for these services.

Considering the reimbursement amount calculated in accordance with the provisions of rule 134.401(c) compared with the amount

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is **not** entitled to additional reimbursement. Ordered by:

Authorized Signature

Typed Name

03-30-05

Date of Order

PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on ______. This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.

PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION

I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.

Signature of Insurance Carrier:

Date: