

## Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1609

# MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION	
<b>Type of Requestor:</b> (x) Health Care Provider () Injured Employee	() Insurance Carrier
Requestor's Name and Address: Texas Orthopedics Center	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-0908-01
730 N. Post Oak Rd., #203	Claim No.:
Houston, TX 77024	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent's Name and Address: American Motorists Insurance Co. C/o Harris & Harris Box 42	Date of Injury:
	Employer's Name: Austin Diagnostic Clinic PA
D0A +2	Insurance Carrier's No.: 4650164686

#### PART II: REQUESTOR'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND POSITION SUMMARY

The Requestor's Position Summary states in part, "...This claim has been improperly processed. Per rule 134.1(f), reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate until the issuance of a fee guideline addressing these specific types of reimbursements... We consider usual and customary to be 85% of our charges, and do not accept less than this rate..." Principle Documentation: 1. TWCC-60

- 2. Operative Report
  - 3. EOBs
  - 4. UB-92

#### PART III: RESPONDENT'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND POSITION SUMMARY

The Respondent did not submit a position summary; however, the Respondent's rationale on the table of disputed services states, "Carrier's position is fair and reasonable payment was made per rule 413.011(b), Tx. Labor Code and 133.304(i) and 133.305(i)..." Principle Documentation: 1. TWCC-60 response

Date(s) of Service	<b>CPT</b> Code(s) or Description	Part V Reference	Additional Amount Due (if any)
10/30/03	Ambulatory Surgical Center Care	1	\$287.02
11/06/03	Ambulatory Surgical Center Care	1	\$287.02
11/20/03	Ambulatory Surgical Center Care	1	\$166.26
		Total Due:	\$740.30

## PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

1. This dispute relates to services provided in an Ambulatory Surgical Center that are not covered under a fee guideline for this date of service. Accordingly, the reimbursement determined through this dispute resolution process must reflect a fair and reasonable rate as directed by Commission Rule 134.1. This case involves a factual dispute about what is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services provided.

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it appears that neither party has provided convincing documentation that sufficiently discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that their purported amount is a fair and reasonable reimbursement (Rule 133.307). After reviewing the services, the charges, and both parties' positions, it is clearly evident that some other amount represents the fair and reasonable reimbursement.

During the rule development process for facility guidelines, the Commission had contracted with Ingenix, a professional firm specializing in actuarial and health care information services, in order to secure data and information on reimbursement ranges for these types of services. The results of this analysis resulted in a recommended range for reimbursement for workers' compensation services

provided in these facilities. In addition, we received information from both ASCs and insurance carriers in the recent rule revision process. While not controlling, we considered this information in order to find data related to commercial market payments for these services. This information provides a very good benchmark for determining the "fair and reasonable" reimbursement amount for the services in dispute.

To determine the amount due for this particular dispute, staff compared the procedures in this case to the amounts that would be within the reimbursement range recommended by the Ingenix study (from 192.6% to 256.3% of Medicare for 2003). Staff considered the other information submitted by the parties and the issues related to the specific procedures performed in this dispute. Based on this review and considering the similarity of the various procedures involved in this surgery, staff selected a reimbursement amount in the lower end of the Ingenix range. The total amount was then presented to a staff team with health care provider billing and insurance adjusting experience. This team considered the recommended amount, discussed the facts of the individual case, and selected the appropriate "fair and reasonable" amount to be ordered in the final decision.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, the Ingenix range for applicable procedures, and the consensus of other experienced staff members in Medical Review, we find that the fair and reasonable reimbursement amount for the disputed dates of service is \$2,130.87. Since the insurance carrier paid a total of \$1,390.57 for the disputed dates of service, the health care provider is entitled to an additional reimbursement in the amount of \$740.30.

#### PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES IMPACTING DECISION

28 Texas Administrative Code Sec. 134.128 Texas Administrative Code Sec. 133.307

## PART VII: DIVISION DECISION AND ORDER

Authorized Signature

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement in the amount of \$740.30. The Division hereby **ORDERS** the insurance carrier to remit this amount plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 30-days of receipt of this Order.

Ordered by:

Marguerite Foster

November 4, 2005

Typed Name

Date of Order

## PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST JUDICIAL REVIEW

Appeals of medical dispute resolution decisions and orders are procedurally made directly to a district court in Travis County [see Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031(k), as amended and effective Sept. 1, 2005]. An appeal to District Court must be filed not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision that is the subject of the appeal is final and appealable. The Division is not considered a party to the appeal.

## Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.