## MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL					
Type of Requestor:     (X) HCP     () IE     () IC			Response Timely Filed? () Yes (X) No		
Requestor			MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-0851-01		
Tenet Healthcare/RHD Medical Center			TWCC No.:		
2401 Internet Blvd., #110 Frisco, TX 75034			Injured Employee's Name:		
Respondent			Date of Injury:		
			Employor's Name		
Texas Builders Insurance Co. Rep. Box # 01			Employer's Name:       Countrywide Financial Corp         Insurance Carrier's No.:       95801355903205		icial Corp
PART II: SUMMAR	Y OF DISPUTE AND	FINDINGS			
Dates of	fService				
From To		CPT Code(s) or Description		Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
4-1-04	4-2-04	Inpatient Hospitalization		\$33,030.17	\$5,160.62
PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY					\$09100 <b>102</b>
PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY Position statement was not submitted.					
PART V: MEDICAL	DISPUTE RESOLUT	TION REVIEW SUMMA	RY, METHODOI	LOGY, AND/OR EXPLANAT	TION
This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."					
After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it does <b>not</b> appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.					
The total length of stay for this admission was 1 days (consisting of 1 days for surgical). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$1118.00 (1 times \$1,118). In addition, the hospital is entitled to additional reimbursement for (implantables/MRIs/CAT Scans/pharmaceuticals) as follows:					
Cost invoices to supp	port additional reimbu	ursement per Rule 134.4	01(c)(4) were not	submitted.	
the Commission. W	hile this makes the de	etermination more difficu	ult, it would appea	at the health care provider did ar that implantables were clear se, the requestor billed \$9,38	arly used during the
Since neither the req average mark-up to t appears that the cost	uestor nor the respon he charged amount ir for these implantable	dent provided any docur n order to determine the a	nentation regardir amount to use in t 691.52 (charged a	harkup for implantables in many the cost of the implantable he decision. Based on a cha amount divided by 200%). S \$5,160.62.	es, we will apply this rge of \$9,383.05, it

Surgery per diem + implantables = 6,278.62.

The insurance carrier paid 1118.00 for the inpatient hospitalization. The difference between amount paid and amount due = 5,160.62.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is entitled to a reimbursement amount for these services equal to \$5,160.62.

## PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION AND ORDER

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement in the amount of \$5,160.62. The Division hereby **ORDERS** the insurance carrier to remit this amount plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 20-days of receipt of this Order.

Ordered by:

Elizabeth Pickle, RHIA

June 20, 2005

Authorized Signature

Typed Name

Date of Order

## PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on \_\_\_\_\_\_. This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28) Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.

## PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION

I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.

Signature of Insurance Carrier: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_