

MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

| | |
|---|--|
| Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC | Response Timely Filed? () Yes (x) No |
| Requestor's Name and Address Spring Branch Medical Center C/o Hollaway & Gumbert 3701 Kirby Drive, Suite 1288 Houston, Texas 77098-3926 | MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-0808-01 |
| | TWCC No.: |
| | Injured Employee's Name: |
| Respondent's Name and Address OLD REPUBLIC INSURANCE CO 901 S MO PAC EXPY BLDG 4 AUSTIN TX 78746-5776 Box 02 | Date of Injury: |
| | Employer's Name: |
| | Insurance Carrier's No.: 900000183 |

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

| Dates of Service | | CPT Code(s) or Description | Amount in Dispute | Amount Due |
|------------------|----------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| From | To | | | |
| 09-25-03 | 10-01-03 | Surgical Admission | \$25,019.69 | \$0.00 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

"Because Mr. ___ admission was inpatient, this claim would be reimbursed pursuant to TWCC Rule 134.401 entitled "Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline." According to Rule 134.401(c)(6), TWCC, this claim would then be reimbursed at the stop-loss rate of 75% as the total audited charges exceed the minimum stop-loss threshold of \$40,000.00".

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

On September 25, 2003, Claimant underwent spinal surgery. He remained at Spring Branch Medical Center Hospital until October 1, 2003 – without ICU/CCU days. Based on the performed procedure, as well as the length of stay under the Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guidelines, Requestor invoked the Stop-Loss provision of Commission Rule 134.401 and sought reimbursement of \$211,580.36. Respondent properly paid \$12,298.00 based upon the documentation submitted by Requestor using the denial code "F" – reduced per Fee Guidelines. As Requestor has failed to document exactly how or why the services it provided were unusually extensive or costly, it is due no further reimbursement.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested additional reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by the provider, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The total length of stay for this admission was six (6) days (consisting of 6 days for surgical). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$6,708.00 (6 times \$1,118.00) however, the requestor billed \$4,374.00. In addition, the hospital is entitled to additional reimbursement for (implantables/MRIs/CAT Scans/pharmaceuticals) as follows:

The requestor did not submit copies of invoices for review, therefore, no reimbursement can be determined.

The carrier has reimbursed the provider \$25,019.69.

Considering the reimbursement amount calculated in accordance with the provisions of rule 134.401(c) compared with the amount previously paid by the insurance carrier, we find that no additional reimbursement is due for these services.

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is **not** entitled to additional reimbursement.

Ordered by:

03-23-05

Authorized Signature

Typed Name

Date of Order

PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on _____. This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.

PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION

I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.

Signature of Insurance Carrier: _____ Date: _____