

MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

| | |
|---|--|
| Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC | Response Timely Filed? () Yes (x) No |
| Requestor's Name and Address HCA Corpus Christi Medical Center 3701 Kirby Drive, Suite 1288 Houston, TX 77098-3926 Austin Commission Representative Box 11 | MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-0789-01 |
| | TWCC No.: |
| | Injured Employee's Name: |
| Respondent's Name and Address ROYAL INSURANCE CO OF AMERICA PO BOX 9008 ADDISON TX 75001-9008 Austin Commission Representative Box 11 | Date of Injury: |
| | Employer's Name: |
| | Insurance Carrier's No.: 900000481 |

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

| Dates of Service | | CPT Code(s) or Description | Amount in Dispute | Amount Due |
|------------------|----------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| From | To | | | |
| 9/29/03 | 10/06/03 | Inpatient Hospitalization | \$44,057.91 | \$680.00 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Based upon review by the insurance carrier and its audit department alleges that this claim has been properly paid. On the contrary, specifically, per Rule 134.401 (c)(6)(A)(i)(iii), once the bill has reached the minimum stop-loss threshold of \$40K, the entire admission will be paid using the stop-loss reimbursement factor ("SLRF") of 75%. Per Rule 134.401 (c)(6)(A)(v), the only charges that may be deducted from the total bill are those for personal itmes (i.e., television telephone) and those not related to the compensable injury. Moreover, Rule 134.401 (c)(6)(A)(v) states what the carrier can deduct in the audit. The carrier should not confuse the carve-out items identified in section (c)(4) as items that can be deducted in an audit or paid separately. Therefore, reimbursement for the entire admission including charges for items in (c)(4) is calculated by the stop-loss reimbursement amount of 75% times the total audited charges. The implantables were a medically necessary part of the surgery performed. Therefore, the fees paid by Royal & Sunalliance do not conform to the reimbursement section of Rule 134.401. Therefore, pursuant to the TWCC fee guidelines, the claim pertaining to dates of services: 09/29/03 – 10/06/03, is to be paid as follows:

| | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Total Billable Charges: | \$79,568.50 |
| SLRF (75%) | <u>\$19,892.12</u> |
| Total allowable: | \$59,676.38 |
| Amount paid: | <u>\$15,618.47</u> |
| Balance Due: | \$44057.91 |

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

No response was received from the insurance carrier.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved “unusually extensive services.” Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The total length of stay for this admission was 3 days (consisting of 1 days in an intense care unit and 2 days for surgical). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$3,796 (1 times \$1,560 plus 2 times \$1,118). Requestor billed for \$4,476.00. In addition, the hospital is entitled to additional reimbursement for (implantables/MRIs/CAT Scans/pharmaceuticals) as follows:

The invoices provided by the requestor were not solely for this patient; but the following charges were determined to be for this injured worker based on list of implantables utilized for this surgery and comparing those items to the invoices provided. In addition, information provided by the audit company, Forte, was used to determine the actual cost of these implantables. An invoice for the bone stimulator was not submitted and not included in these costs.

| | |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| 4 titanium screws | 795.40 |
| 1 bone filter | 150.00 |
| 4 pedicle screws | 3,860.60 |
| 2 lumbar cages | 4,272.00 |
| Grafton Putty | 1,175.00 |
| 2 Rods: 70 mm. | 494.70 |
| Total of Implantables | \$10,747.70 |

Cost plus 10% = \$11,822.47

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties’ positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is entitled to a reimbursement amount for these services equal to \$16,298.47. Insurance carrier has paid to the requestor the amount of \$15,618.47.

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION AND ORDER

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement in the amount of \$ 680.00. The Division hereby **ORDERS** the insurance carrier to remit this amount plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 20-days of receipt of this Order.

Ordered by:

03-17-05

Authorized Signature

Typed Name

Date of Order

PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on _____. This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative’s box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing the Division’s Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.

PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION

I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.

Signature of Insurance Carrier: _____ Date: _____