# MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERA	L INFORMATION				
Type of Requestor:     (x) HCP     ( ) IE     ( ) IC			<b>Response Timely Filed?</b> (X) Yes () No		
Requestor's Name and Address HCA Clear Lake Regional Medical Center			MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-0779-01		
3701 Kirby Drive, Suite 1288			TWCC No.:		
Houston, Texas 77098-3926			Injured Employee's Name:		
Respondent's Name and Address FACILITY INSURANCE CORP			Date of Injury:		
PO BOX 13367			Employer's Name:		
AUSTIN TX 787113367			Insurance Carrier's No.:		
BOX 19			900000665		
DADT IL CUMMAN					
PART II: SUMMA	RY OF DISPUTE AND	FINDINGS			
	RY OF DISPUTE AND of Service		Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
		FINDINGS CPT Code(s) or 1	Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
Dates of	of Service		-	Amount in Dispute \$22,109.69	Amount Due \$4,073.08
Dates o From	of Service To	- CPT Code(s) or ]	-	-	
Dates o From	of Service To	- CPT Code(s) or ]	-	-	
Dates o From	of Service To	- CPT Code(s) or ]	-	-	
Dates o From	of Service To	- CPT Code(s) or ]	-	-	

#### PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

"In closing, it is the position of HCA Clear Lake Regional Medical Center that all charges relating to the admission of \_\_\_\_\_ are due and payable as provided for under Texas law."

### PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

This is a medical fee dispute arising from an inpatient hospital surgical admission, dates of service 11/18/03 through 11/21/03. Requestor billed a total of \$43,961.51. The Requestor asserts it is entitled to reimbursement in the amount of \$32,971.13, which is 75% of the total charges. Requestor has not shown entitlement to this alternative, exceptional method of calculating reimbursement and has not otherwise properly calculated the audited charges.

### PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested additional reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by the provider, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The total length of stay for this admission was three (3) days (consisting of 2 days for surgical and 1 day for ICU). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$3,796.00 (2 times \$1,118.00 and 1 times \$1,560.00) however, the requestor billed a total of \$2,104.00. In addition, the hospital is entitled to additional reimbursement for (implantables/MRIs/CAT Scans/pharmaceuticals) as follows:

An invoice from Zimmer in the amount of  $2,770.37 \times 10\% = 3,047.41$ An invoice from McKesson General Medical in the amount of  $5,280.74 \times 10\% = 5,808.81$ An invoice from HowMedica Osteonics in the amount of  $3,613.00 \times 105 = 3,974.30$ 

The carrier has reimbursed the provider \$10,861.44.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c). we find that the health care provider is entitled to an additional reimbursement amount for these services equal to \$4,073.08 (\$2,104.00 plus \$3,047.41 plus \$5,808.81 plus \$3,974.30 = \$14,934.52 minus carrier payment of \$10,861.44).

## PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is is entitled to additional reimbursement in the amount of <u>\$4,073.08</u>. The Division hereby **ORDERS** the insurance carrier to remit this amount plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 20 days of receipt of this Order.

Ordered by:

Authorized Signature

Typed Name

03-29-05 Date of Order

## PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on \_\_\_\_\_\_. This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.

## PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION

I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.

Signature of Insurance Carrier:

Date: