MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION	
Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? () Yes (x) No
Requestor's Name and Address Vista Medical Center Hospital	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-0686-01
4301 Vista Rd.	TWCC No.:
Pasadena, TX 77504	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent's Name and Address ROYAL INDEMNITY CO	Date of Injury:
PO BOX 9008	Employer's Name:
ADDISON TX 75001-9008	Insurance Carrier's No.:
Austin Commission Representative	900000208
Box 11	

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	CIT Couc(s) of Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Duc
2/21/03	2/25/04	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$62,392.75	\$6,274.70

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

TWCC Rule 134.401 provides the rules regarding reimbursement for Acute Care In-patient Hospital Fee services. Specifically, reimbursement consists of 75% of remaining charges for the entire admission, after a Carrier audits a bill. See Tex. Admin. Code Section 133.401 (c). This figure is presumptively considered to be "fair and reasonable" in accordance with the preamble of TWCC Rule 134. See 22 TexReg 6265. Further, the TWCC stated that the stop-loss threshold increases hospital reimbursement and will ensure fair and reasonable rates for hospitals and ensure access to quality health care for injured workers. See id. At 6279.

The Carrier is allowed to deduct any personal items and may only deduct non-documented services and items and services, which are not related to the compensable injury. At that time, if the total audited charges for the entire admission are below \$40,000, the Carrier may reimburse at a "per diem" rate for the hospital services. However, if the total audited charges for the entire admission are at or above \$40,000, the Carrier shall reimburse using the "Stop-Loss Reimbursement Factor" (SLRF). The SLRF of 75% is applied to the "entire admission."

According to the literal interpretation of the TWCC Rule 134.401 and the further clarification by the TWCC from QRL 01-03, a Carrier may not "deduct" any carve-out costs listed in Rule 134.401 (c) (4). Further, additional reimbursement for implants or any other "carve-out costs" shall only be reimbursed at cost plus 10% if the stop-loss threshold is NOT met. Therefore, in this instance, the Carrier has severely under-reimbursed the billed charges, despite the clear language in the Texas Administrative Code and further clarification provided by the TWCC in QRL 01-03.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

No response was received from the insurance carrier.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-

out methodology desc	cribed in the same rule.			
Accordingly, the stan	dard per diem amount due fo	days (consisting of X days in an intense car or this admission is equal to \$4,472 (4 times bursement for (implantables/MRIs/CAT Sc	s \$1,118). Requestor billed \$2,860.00. In	
Implantables	\$5,137.00	Cost plus 10% = \$5,650.70		
Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is entitled to a reimbursement amount for these services equal to \$6,274.70.				
PART VI: COMMISS	SION DECISION AND ORDE	ER		
entitled to additiona	al reimbursement in the an	care services, the Medical Review Divinount of \$6,274.70. The Division here at the time of payment to the Request		
			03-18-05	
Authorized S	ignature	Typed Name	Date of Order	
PART VII: YOUR RI	GHT TO REQUEST A HEAI	RING		
Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request. The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.				
Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.				
PART VIII: INSURA	NCE CARRIER DELIVERY	CERTIFICATION		
I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.				
	r received a copy of this r	Decision and Order in the Austin Repre	sentative's box.	