# MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION						
Type of Requestor: (X) HCP () IE () IC			<b>Response Timely Filed?</b> (X) Yes () No			
Requestor			MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-0621-01			
Spring Branch Medical Center			TWCC No.:			
c/o Hollaway & Gumbert 3701 Kirby Dr., Ste. 1288 Houston, TX 77098-3926			Injured Employee's Name:			
Respondent			Date of Injury:			
Insurance Co. of the State of PA Rep. Box # 19			Employer's Name: Bechtel Group Inc.			
			Insurance Carrier's No.: 077058568			
PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS						
Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description		Amount in Dispute	Amount Due	
From	То	CI I Couc(s) of Description		Amount in Dispute	Amount Duc	
9-20-03	9-28-03	Inpatient Hospitalization		\$31,044.11	\$0.00	
PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY						

Carrier failed to pay per TWCC Rule 134.401 Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline and SOAH decision 453-04-3600.M4...Per TWCC Rule 134.401(c)(6)...claim pays @ 75% of total charges as charges exceed \$40,000.00 stop-loss threshold. Carrier further failed to audit according to TWCC Rule 134.401(C)(6)(A)(v).

#### PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor billed a total of \$47,354.81. The Requestor asserts it is entitled to reimbursement in the amount of \$35,516.11, which is 75% of the total charges. Requestor has not shown entitlement to this alternative, exceptional method of calculating reimbursement and has not otherwise properly calculated the audited charges.

#### PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The total length of stay for this admission was 8 days (consisting of 8 days for surgical). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$4472.00 (4 times \$1,118). The claimant was admitted through the Emergency room with high fever. Claimant had undergone spinal surgery on 9-2-03. On 9-22-03, debridement of lumbar wound down to bone was done. The final four days were denied based upon not preauthorized. The medical records do not support a medical emergency per rule 134.600; therefore, preauthorization was required for final four days.

The insurance carrier paid \$4472.00 for the inpatient hospitalization.

Considering the reimbursement amount calculated in accordance with the provisions of rule 134.401(c) compared with the amount previously paid by the insurance carrier, we find that no additional reimbursement is due for these services.

### PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement.

Findings and Decision by:

	Elizabeth Pickle, RHIA	June 9, 2005
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUE	ST A HEARING	
for a hearing must be in writing and (twenty) days of your receipt of this care provider and placed in the Aust days after it was mailed and the first Texas Administrative Code § 102.50	d it must be received by the TWCC Chief decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § in Representatives box on working day after the date the Decision w (d)). A request for a hearing should be sen	on and has a right to request a hearing. A request f Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health This Decision is deemed received by you five ras placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 at to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, f this Decision should be attached to the request.
The party appealing the Division's involved in the dispute.	Decision shall deliver a copy of their write	itten request for a hearing to the opposing party

Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.

## PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION

I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision in the Austin Representative's box.

Signature of Insurance Carrier:

Date: