MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION	
Type of Requestor: (X) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? (X) Yes () No
Requestor's Name and Address The San Antonio Orthopaedic Surgery Center	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-0566-01
PO Box 34533	TWCC No.:
San Antonio, TX 78265	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent's Name and Address TASB Risk Management Fund	Date of Injury:
P.O. Box 2010	Employer's Name: Comal ISD
Austin, TX 78768 Box 12	Insurance Carrier's No.: 0250011031807462

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	Ci i Couc(s) of Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Duc
04/29/2004	04/29/2004	29881 Knee arthroscopy	\$6297.10	-0-
			Total Amount Due:	-0-

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

The carrier has not provided the proper payment exception code in this instance, which is in violation of the Texas Administrative code. Carrier did not make fair, reasonable and consistent payment.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor submitted usual and customary charges of \$7776.00 for arthroscopy surgical facility fee. Reimbursement in the amount of \$1478.00 was made for the 45 - minute procedure. Payment exception code M was used to define the audit rationale. Payment made for the facility fee is based on 230% of Medicare group case rates.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to services provided in an Ambulatory Surgical Center that are not covered under a fee guideline for this date of service. Accordingly, the reimbursement determined through this dispute resolution process must reflect a fair and reasonable rate as directed by Commission Rule 134.1. This case involves a factual dispute about what is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services provided.

During the rule development process for facility guidelines, the Commission had contracted with Ingenix, a professional firm specializing in actuarial and health care information services, in order to secure data and information on reimbursement ranges for these types of services. The results of this analysis resulted in a recommended range for reimbursement for workers' compensation services provided in these facilities. In addition, we received information from both ASCs and insurance carriers in the recent rule revision process. While not controlling, we considered this information in order to find data related to commercial market payments for these services. This information provides a very good benchmark for determining the "fair and reasonable" reimbursement amount for the services in dispute.

To determine the amount due for this particular dispute, staff compared the procedures in this case to the amounts that would be within the reimbursement range recommended by the Ingenix study (from 213.3% - 290% of Medicare for 2004). Staff considered the other information submitted by the parties and the issues related to the specific procedures performed in this dispute. Based on this review, the original reimbursement on these services is within the low end of the Ingenix range. The decision for no additional reimbursement was then presented to a staff team with health care provider billing and insurance adjusting experience. This team considered the decision

and discussed the facts of the individual case.		
	' positions, the Ingenix range for applicable pro y, we find that no additional reimbursement is d	
PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION		
Based upon the review of the disputed hea not entitled to additional reimbursement. Findings and Decision by:	althcare services, the Medical Review Divis	sion has determined that the requestor is
		07/19/05
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A H	EARING	
(twenty) days of your receipt of this decisic care provider and placed in the Austin Rep days after it was mailed and the first workin Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or for the party appealing the Division's Decisi involved in the dispute. Si prefiere hablar con una persona in establishment of the party appealing the Division's Decisi involved in the dispute.	ast be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk on (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3) or esentatives box on19 This and a feet the date the Decision was placed a request for a hearing should be sent to: Character (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision shall deliver a copy of their written requested as a correspondencia, factorized as a correspondencia, f	of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20). This Decision was mailed to the health Decision is deemed received by you five ed in the Austin Representative's box (28 hief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, ecision should be attached to the request. Quest for a hearing to the opposing party
PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVE	RY CERTIFICATION	
I hereby verify that I received a copy of th	is Decision in the Austin Representative's	box.
Signature of Insurance Carrier:		Date: