MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION	
Type of Requestor: (X) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? (X) Yes () No
Requestor Garland Ambulatory Surgicare	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-0478-01
P.O. Box 460490	TWCC No.:
Garland, TX 75046-0490	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent Liberty Mutual Insurance	Date of Injury:
Rep. Box # 28	Employer's Name: Nucor Corp.
	Insurance Carrier's No.: 949714286

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	CIT Code(s) of Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Duc
8-21-03 8-21-03		64721	\$473.00	\$950.00
	Insurance carrier's payment (subtracted)		(\$892.00)	
		Add'l reimbursement recommended		\$58.00

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Reimbursement at Medicare group rate, not at the customary be region. Also w/c has not adopted a fee schedule for ASC. Provide standard reimbursement for region.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

The charge exceeds usual and customary.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to services provided in an Ambulatory Surgical Center that are not covered under a fee guideline for this date of service. Accordingly, the reimbursement determined through this dispute resolution process must reflect a fair and reasonable rate as directed by Commission Rule 134.1. This case involves a factual dispute about what is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services provided.

On 8-21-03, claimant underwent carpal tunnel surgery.

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it appears that neither party has provided convincing documentation that sufficiently discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that their purported amount is a fair and reasonable reimbursement (Rule 133.307). After reviewing the services, the charges, and both parties' positions, it is clearly evident that some other amount represents the fair and reasonable reimbursement.

During the rule development process for facility guidelines, the Commission had contracted with Ingenix, a professional firm specializing in actuarial and health care information services, in order to secure data and information on reimbursement ranges for these types of services. The results of this analysis resulted in a recommended range for reimbursement for workers' compensation services provided in these facilities. In addition, we received information from both ASCs and insurance carriers in the recent rule revision process. While not controlling, we considered this information in order to find data related to commercial market payments for these services. This information provides a very good benchmark for determining the "fair and reasonable" reimbursement amount for the services in dispute.

To determine the amount due for this particular dispute, staff compared the procedures in this case to the amounts that would be within the reimbursement range recommended by the Ingenix study (from 192.6% to 256.3% of Medicare for 2003). Staff considered the other information submitted by the parties and the issues related to the specific procedures performed in this dispute. Based on this review and considering the similarity of the various procedures involved in this surgery, staff selected a reimbursement amount in the medium end of the Ingenix range. The total amount was then presented to a staff team with health care provider billing and insurance adjusting experience. This team considered the recommended amount, discussed the facts of the individual case, and selected the appropriate "fair and reasonable" amount to be ordered in the final decision.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, the Ingenix range for applicable procedures, and the consensus of other

experienced staff members in Medical R		abursement amount for these services is \$950.00. Ovider is entitled to an additional reimbursement			
PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION AND ORDER					
Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement in the amount of \$58.00. The Division hereby ORDERS the insurance carrier to remit this amount plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 20-days of receipt of this Order. Ordered by:					
	Elizabeth Pickle, RHIA	August 23, 2005			
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order			
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING					
for a hearing must be in writing and (twenty) days of your receipt of this care provider and placed in the Austidays after it was mailed and the first variation Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(c P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 7874). The party appealing the Division's I involved in the dispute.	it must be received by the TWCC Chief C decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 14 n Representatives box on working day after the date the Decision was d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to 44 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of the Decision shall deliver a copy of their written in español acerca de ésta correspondence.	and has a right to request a hearing. A request clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 48.3). This Decision was mailed to the health This Decision is deemed received by you five placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 or Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, his Decision should be attached to the request. On request for a hearing to the opposing party cia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.			
I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.					
Signature of Insurance Carrier:		Date:			