MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION		
Type of Requestor: (X) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? () Yes (X No	
Requestor	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-0162-01	
Vista Medical Center Hospital 4301 Vista Rd.	TWCC No.:	
Pasadena, TX 77504	Injured Employee's Name:	
Respondent's	Date of Injury:	
Old Republic Insurance Co. Rep. Box # 2	Employer's Name: Piccadilly Cafeterias Inc.	
	Insurance Carrier's No.: 006430000884810001	
PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS		

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То		Amount in Dispute	
1-27-04	1-30-04	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$45,472.62	\$7,547.84

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

G – Unbundling rule is prohibited for this service per Fee Guideline; F – Payment not in accordance with Acute In-Patient Stop Loss Fee Guideline. N-No request for additional documentation within 14 days of receipt of All required TWCC documentation has been submitted to Carrier.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Position statement was not submitted.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The total length of stay for this admission was 3 days (consisting of 3days for surgical). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$3354.00(3 times \$1,118.00).

Wright invoice \$6861.68 + 10% = \$7,547.84.

Total of invoice and surgery per diem \$7,547.84 + \$3354.00 = \$10,901.84.

The insurance carrier paid \$3354.00 for the inpatient hospitalization. The difference between amount paid and amount due = \$7,547.84.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is entitled to a reimbursement amount for these services equal to \$7,547.84.

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION	ANDOKDER	
entitled to additional reimburseme	nt in the amount of \$7,547.84. The Divisio	ew Division has determined that the requestor is on hereby ORDERS the insurance carrier to Requestor within 20-days of receipt of this
	Elizabeth Pickle	April 27, 2005
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQU	EST A HEARING	
days after it was mailed and the fir Texas Administrative Code § 102. P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78 The party appealing the Division' involved in the dispute.	st working day after the date the Decision w 5(d)). A request for a hearing should be ser 8744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy o s Decision shall deliver a copy of their wr	This Decision is deemed received by you five vas placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 nt to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, of this Decision should be attached to the request. itten request for a hearing to the opposing party
Si prefiere hablar con una perso	na in español acerca de ésta correspond	encia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.
PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER	DELIVERY CERTIFICATION	
I hereby verify that I received a co	ppy of this Decision in the Austin Represen	ntative's box.
Signature of Insurance Carrier:		Date: