MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION			
Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? (x) Yes () No		
Requestor's Name and Address The San Antonio Orthopaedic Surgery Center	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-0017-01		
PO Box 34533	TWCC No.:		
San Antonio TX 78265-4533	Injured Employee's Name:		
Respondent's Name and Address BOX: 22	Date of Injury:		
Fidelity & Deposit Co. of Maryland	Employer's Name: Texas General Agency, Inc		
	Insurance Carrier's No.: 743023948LBM		

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	Ci i Couc(s) of Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Duc
4/26/04 - 4/26/04		64721 RT	4,261.50	\$950.00
			IC Paid	(-\$557.50)
			Additional Reimb. DUE:	\$392.50

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

The insurance carrier has not provided the proper payment exception code in this instance, and is obligated to pay fair and reasonable compensation in accordance with §413.011 of the Texas Labor Code and Commission Rule 133.304. Carrier did not make "fair and reasonable" reimbursement and did not make consistent reimbursements.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Zurich Insurance Group has provided EOB's with an indication that the procedure was paid at 125% of the Medicare ASC Group 2 rate.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to services provided in an Ambulatory Surgical Center that are not covered under a fee guideline for this date of service. Accordingly, the reimbursement determined through this dispute resolution process must reflect a fair and reasonable rate as directed by Commission Rule 134.1. This case involves a factual dispute about what is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services provided.

Claimant underwent the following procedure(s): . Based upon anesthesia report, the procedure took 1 hour and 10 minutes to complete.

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it appears that neither party has provided convincing documentation that sufficiently discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that their purported amount is a fair and reasonable reimbursement (Rule 133.307). After reviewing the services, the charges, and both parties' positions, it is clearly evident that some other amount represents the fair and reasonable reimbursement.

During the rule development process for facility guidelines, the Commission had contracted with Ingenix, a professional firm specializing in actuarial and health care information services, in order to secure data and information on reimbursement ranges for these types of services. The results of this analysis resulted in a recommended range for reimbursement for workers' compensation services provided in these facilities. In addition, we received information from both ASCs and insurance carriers in the recent rule revision process. While not controlling, we considered this information in order to find data related to commercial market payments for these services. This information provides a very good benchmark for determining the "fair and reasonable" reimbursement amount for the services in dispute.

To determine the amount due for this particular dispute, staff compared the procedures in this case to the amounts that would be within the reimbursement range recommended by the Ingenix study from 213.3% - 290.% of Medicare for year 2004. Staff considered the other information submitted by the parties and the issues related to the specific procedures performed in this dispute. Based on this review and considering the similarity of the various procedures involved in this surgery, staff selected a reimbursement amount in the lower of the Ingenix range. The total amount was then presented to a staff team with health care provider billing and insurance adjusting experience. This team considered the recommended amount, discussed the facts of the individual case, and selected the appropriate "fair and reasonable" amount to be ordered in the final decision.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, the Ingenix range for applicable procedures, and the consensus of other experienced staff members in Medical Review, we find that the fair and reasonable reimbursement amount for these services is \$950.00. Since the insurance carrier paid a total of \$557.50 for these services, the health care provider is entitled to an additional reimbursement in the amount of \$392.50.

ADT VI. COMM	
ICCION DECICION	
AND ODDET	

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION AND ORDER				
Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement in the amount of \$392.50. The Division hereby ORDERS the insurance carrier to remit this amount plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 20-days of receipt of this Order. Ordered by:				
		7 / 13 / 05		
Authorized Signature	Name	Date of Order		
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HE	EARING			
care provider and placed in the Austin Repr days after it was mailed and the first workin	st be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of the condition (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). The resentatives box on This I are day after the date the Decision was placed request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief axed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decon shall deliver a copy of their written requested.	of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20. This Decision was mailed to the health Decision is deemed received by you five d in the Austin Representative's box (28 ief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, ecision should be attached to the request. uest for a hearing to the opposing party		
PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVER	RY CERTIFICATION			
I hereby verify that I received a copy of thi	is Decision and Order in the Austin Repres	entative's box.		
Signature of Insurance Carrier:		Date:		