MDR Tracking Number: M5-03-2903-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305 titled Medical Dispute Resolution- General, 133.307 titled Medical Dispute Resolution of a Medical Fee Dispute, and 133.308 titled Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organizations, the Medical Review Division assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent. This dispute was received on 7-11-03.

The IRO reviewed paraffin bath, therapeutic exercises, myofascial release, office visits, prolonged service without contact from 10-7-02 through 12-16-02.

The Medical Review Division has reviewed the IRO decision and determined that **the requestor did not prevail** on the issues of medical necessity. Consequently, the requestor is not owed a refund of the paid IRO fee.

In accordance with §413.031(e), it is a defense for the carrier if the carrier timely complies with the IRO decision.

This dispute also contained services that were not addressed by the IRO and will be reviewed by the Medical Review Division.

On 9-12-03, the Medical Review Division submitted a Notice to requestor to submit additional documentation necessary to support the charges and to challenge the reasons the respondent had denied reimbursement within 14 days of the requestor's receipt of the Notice.

The requestor failed to submit relevant information to support components of the fee dispute in accordance with Rule 133.307(g)(3)(A-F). No reimbursement recommended.

This Decision is hereby issued this 22nd day of March 2004.

Dee Z. Torres
Medical Dispute Resolution Officer
Medical Review Division

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DETERMINATION

MDR Tracking Number: M5-03-2903-01

September 8, 2003

An independent review of the above-referenced case has been completed by a chiropractic doctor. The appropriateness of setting and medical necessity of proposed or rendered services is determined by the application of medical screening criteria published by ___, or by the application of medical screening criteria and protocols formally established by practicing physicians. All available clinical information, the medical necessity guidelines and the special circumstances of said case was considered in making the determination.

The independent review determination and reasons for the determination, including the clinical basis for the determination, is as follows:

See Attached Physician Determination

___ hereby certifies that the reviewing physician is on Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Approved Doctor List (ADL). Additionally, said physician has certified that no known conflicts of interest exist between him and any of the treating physicians or providers or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed the case for determination prior to referral to ___.

CLINICAL HISTORY
Patient sustained a right thumb injury at work and underwent surgery on ___ after receiving physical medicine treatments.

REQUESTED SERVICE(S)
Medical necessity of paraffin bath therapy, therapeutic exercises, myofascial release, office visits, prolonged service without contact from 10/7/02 through 12/16/02.

DECISION
Denied.

RATIONALE/BASIS FOR DECISION
The medical necessity of the referenced treatments is not documented. Although therapy records are supplied, the physician's signature is stamped, so there is no documentation that the physician even saw the patient on any of the visits. In fact, since the first report was authored on 9/20/02, the first verification found of the physician's involvement was his report of 12/11/02.

While therapy notes indicated varying degrees of improvement on many visits, the loss of extension range of motion (from September until December) and the eventual need for surgery indicates that the treatments were not effective.

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **10** (ten) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (20 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5©).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed or the date of fax (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing and a **copy of this decision** must be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk
Texas Workers' Compensation Commission
P.O. Box 17787
Austin, Texas 78744

Or fax the request to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this decision must be attached to the request.

The party appealing the decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

In accordance with Commission Rule 102.4(h), I hereby verify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) Decision was sent to the carrier, the requestor and claimant via facsimile or U.S. Postal Service from the office of the IRO on this 11th day of September 2003.