## MDR Tracking Number: M5-03-2653-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305 titled Medical Dispute Resolution- General, 133.307 titled Medical Dispute Resolution of a Medical Fee Dispute, and 133.308 titled Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organizations, the Medical Review Division assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent. This dispute was received on 6-19-03.

The IRO reviewed physical therapy sessions rendered from 6-19-02 through 8-29-02 that were denied as not medically necessary.

The Medical Review Division has reviewed the IRO decision and determined that **the requestor prevailed** on the issues of medical necessity. Therefore, upon receipt of this Order and in accordance with §133.308(r)(9), the Commission hereby orders the respondent and non-prevailing party to **refund the requestor \$460.00** for the paid IRO fee. For the purposes of determining compliance with the order, the Commission will add 20 days to the date the order was deemed received as outlined on page one of this order.

In accordance with §413.031(e), it is a defense for the carrier if the carrier timely complies with the IRO decision.

Based on review of the disputed issues within the request, the Medical Review Division has determined that **medical necessity was not the only issue** to be resolved.

This dispute also contained services that were not addressed by the IRO and will be reviewed by the Medical Review Division. The disputed dates of service 2-15-02 through 6-13-02 are untimely and not reviewable per TWCC Rule 133.307 (d)(1) which states that a request for medical dispute resolution shall be considered timely if it is received by the Commission no later than one year after the dates of service in dispute. The Commission received the medical dispute on 6-19-03.

On 8-12-03, the Medical Review Division submitted a Notice to requestor to submit additional documentation necessary to support the charges and to challenge the reasons the respondent had denied reimbursement within 14 days of the requestor's receipt of the Notice.

The following table identifies the disputed services and Medical Review Division's rationale:

DOS	CPT	Billed	Paid	EOB	MAR\$	Reference	Rationale
	CODE	\$		Denial	(Maximum		
				Code	Allowable		
					Reimbursement)		
6-19-02	99213-	\$48.00	0.00	O	\$48.00	96 MFG	Daily notes submitted for
thru	MP	x 19				Med GR I	these dates support delivery
6-26-02						B 1 b and	of service. Recommend
7-3-02						Rule	reimbursement of \$912.00
thru						133.307	
7-29-02						(g)(3)	
8-7-02							
thru							
8-29-02							
TOTAL		\$912.00	0.00				The requestor is entitled to
							reimbursement of \$912.00

The above Findings and Decision are hereby issued this 26<sup>th</sup> day of January 2004.

Dee Z. Torres Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division

## **ORDER**

Pursuant to §§402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 of the Act, the Medical Review Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to pay for the unpaid medical fees in accordance with the fair and reasonable rate as set forth in Commission Rule 133.1(a)(8) plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the requestor within 20 days of receipt of this order. This Decision is applicable for dates of service 6-19-02 through 8-29-02 in this dispute.

This Order is hereby issued this 26th day of January 2004.

Roy Lewis, Supervisor Medical Dispute Resolution Medical Review Division

RL/dzt

August 5, 2003

David Martinez TWCC Medical Dispute Resolution 4000 IH 35 South, MS 48 Austin, TX 78704

MDR Tracking #: IRO #:	M5-03-2653-01 5251
Organization. The Texas Worker's Co	epartment of Insurance as an Independent Review empensation Commission has assigned this case to nee with TWCC Rule 133.308 which allows for
determination was appropriate. In perf	iew of the care rendered to determine if the adverse forming this review, all relevant medical records adverse determination, along with any a submitted, was reviewed.
case was reviewed by a licensed Doctor professional has signed a certification sinterest exist between the reviewer and the doctors or providers who reviewed	statement stating that no known conflicts of any of the treating doctors or providers or any of the case for a determination prior to the referral to on, the reviewer has certified that the review was
CLIN	IICAL HISTORY
injuries to his neck, back and left leg. (with a 10% whole person impairment. duties on 6/3/02.	on October 8, 2002 he was found to be at MMI The patient was released to return to modified  UTED SERVICES
Under dispute is the medical necessity	of physical therapy sessions from June 19, 2002
through August 29, 2002.	
	DECISION

## The reviewer disagrees with the prior adverse determination.

BASIS FOR THE DECISION

Physical therapy treatments for the disputed dates of service were both reasonable and necessary in this case. A visual analog scale signed by the patient reported improvement of his condition from June 19, 2002 through August 29, 2002. The only copy of a carrier review is dated March 13, 2002 with the recommendation of no chiropractic or physical therapy care.

has performed an independent review solely to determine the medical necessity of
the health services that are the subject of the review has made no determinations
regarding benefits available under the injured employee's policy
As an officer of, I certify that there is no known conflict between the reviewer, and/or any officer/employee of the IRO with any person or entity that is a party to the dispute.
is forwarding this finding by US Postal Service to the TWCC.
Sincerely,