MDR Tracking Number: M5-03-2628-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305 titled Medical Dispute Resolution - General and 133.308 titled Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organizations, the Medical Review Division assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent. The dispute was received on June 16, 2003.

The Medical Review Division has reviewed the IRO decision and determined that **the requestor prevailed** on the issues of physical therapy, office visits, muscle testing and range of motion for medical necessity. However, the requestor did not prevail on the office visit for dos July 2, 2002 Therefore, upon receipt of this Order and in accordance with §133.308(r)(9), the Commission hereby orders the respondent and non-prevailing party to **refund the requestor $460** for the paid IRO fee. For the purposes of determining compliance with the order, the Commission will add 20 days to the date the order was deemed received as outlined on page one of this order.

In accordance with §413.031(e), it is a defense for the carrier if the carrier timely complies with the IRO decision.

Based on review of the disputed issues within the request, the Medical Review Division has determined that **medical necessity was the only issue** to be resolved. The physical therapy, office visits, muscle testing and range of motion were found to be medically necessary. The respondent raised no other reasons for denying reimbursement for the above listed services.

On this basis, and pursuant to §§402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 of the Act, the Medical Review Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to pay the unpaid medical fees in accordance with the fair and reasonable rate as set forth in Commission Rule 133.1(a)(8) plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the requestor within 20 days of receipt of this order. This Order is applicable to dates of service, July 02, 2002 through October 22, 2002 in this dispute.

The respondent is prohibited from asserting additional denial reasons relative to this Decision upon issuing payment to the requestor in accordance with this Order (Rule 133.307(j)(2)).

This Order is hereby issued this 1st day of December 2003.

Georgina Rodriguez
Medical Dispute Resolution Officer
Medical Review Division
GR/gr

IRO Certificate #4599

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

November 24, 2003

Re: IRO Case # M5-03-2628

Texas Worker's Compensation Commission:

___ has been certified as an independent review organization (IRO) and has been authorized to perform independent reviews of medical necessity for the Texas Worker's Compensation Commission (TWCC). Texas HB. 2600, Rule133.308 effective January 1, 2002, allows a claimant or provider who has received an adverse medical necessity determination from a carrier's internal process, to request an independent review by an IRO.

In accordance with the requirement that TWCC assign cases to certified IROs, TWCC assigned this case to ___ for an independent review. ___ has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. For that purpose, ___ received relevant medical records, any documents obtained from parties in making the adverse determination, and any other documents and/or written information submitted in support of the appeal.

The case was reviewed by a physician who is Board Certified in Physical medicine and Rehabilitation, and who has met the requirements for TWCC Approved Doctor List or has been approved as an exception to the Approved Doctor List. He or she has signed a certification statement attesting that no known conflicts of interest exist between him or her and any of the treating physicians or providers, or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to referral to ___ for independent review. In addition, the certification statement further attests that the review was performed without bias for or against the carrier, medical provider, or any other party to this case.

The determination of the ___ reviewer who reviewed this case, based on the medical records provided, is as follows:

History

The patient is a 42-year-old female who was pushing a car that hit an obstruction in ___, and the patient twisted, injuring her neck and right shoulder. The patient's pain persisted despite conservative treatment, and on 7/9/02 open acromioplasty of the right shoulder was performed. The patient then was started on surgical rehabilitation with her treating chiropractor

Requested Service(s)
Physical therapy sessions, office visits, muscle testing, and ROM 7/2/02-10/22/02

Decision
I disagree with the carrier's decision to deny the requested treatment 8/6/02 – 10/22/02.
I agree with the decision to deny the requested treatment 7/2/02

Rational
The patient's injury required surgical repair. Post-operative rehabilitation is medically necessary and appropriate following open rotator cuff repair by acromioplasty. A peer review recommended 12 weeks post surgical rehabilitation. Diagnostic testing is necessary to follow improvement in range of motion and strength.
The records provided for this review did not show the necessity of the treatment on 7/2/02.

This medical necessity decision by an Independent Review Organization is deemed to be a Commission decision and order.