MDR Tracking Number: M5-03-2379-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305 titled Medical Dispute Resolution - General and 133.308 titled Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organizations, the Medical Review Division assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent. The dispute was received on 5-23-03.

The Medical Review Division has reviewed the IRO decision and determined that **the requestor prevailed** on the issues of medical necessity. Therefore, upon receipt of this Order and in accordance with §133.308(r)(9), the Commission hereby orders the respondent and non-prevailing party to **refund the requestor \$460** for the paid IRO fee. For the purposes of determining compliance with the order, the Commission will add 20 days to the date the order was deemed received as outlined on page one of this order.

In accordance with §413.031(e), it is a defense for the carrier if the carrier timely complies with the IRO decision.

Based on review of the disputed issues within the request, the Medical Review Division has determined that **medical necessity was the only issue** to be resolved. The office visits from 6/7/02 through 6/17/02 were found to be medically necessary. The respondent raised no other reasons for denying reimbursement for the above listed service.

On this basis, and pursuant to §§402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 of the Act, the Medical Review Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to pay the unpaid medical fees in accordance with the fair and reasonable rate as set forth in Commission Rule 133.1(a)(8) plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the requestor within 20 days of receipt of this order. This Order is applicable to dates of service 6/7/02 through 6/17/02 in this dispute.

The respondent is prohibited from asserting additional denial reasons relative to this Decision upon issuing payment to the requestor in accordance with this Order (Rule 133.307(j)(2)).

This Decision and Order is hereby issued this 9<sup>th</sup> day of June 2004.

Regina L. Cleave Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division

RLC/rlc

05/07/2004

David Martinez TWCC Medical Dispute Resolution 7551 Metro Center Suite 100 Austin, TX 78744

MDR Tracking #: M5-03-2379-01 IRO #: 5284

has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance as an Independent Review Organization. The Texas Worker's Compensation Commission has assigned this case to for independent review in accordance with TWCC Rule 133.308 which allows for medical dispute resolution by an IRO.
has performed an independent review of the care rendered to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. In performing this review, all relevant medical records and documentation utilized to make the adverse determination, along with any documentation and written information submitted, was reviewed.
This case was reviewed by a licensed chiropractor with a specialty in rehabilitation. Thehealth care professional has signed a certification statement stating that no known conflicts of interest exist between the reviewer and any of the treating doctors or providers or any of the doctors or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to the referral tofor independent review. In addition, the reviewer has certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party to the dispute.

## **CLINICAL HISTORY**

On \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_ injured his right ankle as he was dismounting his vehicle. The notes indicate he felt a pop in the anterolateral aspect of the ankle and felt immediate pain of an excruciating nature. He sought treatment the following day. Initial examination revealed reduced strength in the right ankle, edema and reduced range of motion with normal neurological responses. The diagnosis is of an acute inversion sprain. Passive therapies were performed for a period of four weeks. A PPE was performed on 7/1/02 indicating reduced ROM and strength of the affected ankle. An active therapy protocol with \_\_\_\_ was initiated at this point and continued for a period of two and one-half weeks. He was returned to light duty on 6/25/02 and full duty on 7/18/02.

## **DISPUTED SERVICES**

Disputed services include the office visits of 6/7/02, 6/14/02 and 6/17/02.

## **DECISION**

The reviewer disagrees with the previous adverse determination regarding the office visits of 6/7/02, 6/14/02 and 6/17/02.

.

 $\underline{\text{BASIS FOR THE DECISION}}$  The reviewer concurs that a reasonable amount of doctor oversight is necessary during the application of passive modalities for a subacute injury. The reviewer based this opinion on the Medical Disability Advisor, Texas Guidelines for Chiropractic Quality Assurance and Practice Parameters and Evidence Based Medical Guidelines.

has performed an independent review solely to determine the medical necessity of the health services that are the subject of the review. has made no determinations regarding benefits available under the injured employee's policy.
As an officer of, I certify that there is no known conflict between the reviewer,and/or any officer/employee of the IRO with any person or entity that is a party to the dispute.
is forwarding this finding by US Postal Service to the TWCC.
Sincerely,