

MDR: Tracking Number M5-03-2212-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective January 1, 2002 and Commission Rule 133.305 and 133.308 titled Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organizations, the Medical Review Division assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent.

The Medical Review Division has reviewed the IRO decision and determined that **the requestor prevailed** on the issues of medical necessity. Therefore, upon receipt of this Order and in accordance with §133.308(q)(9), the Commission hereby orders the respondent and non-prevailing party to **refund the requestor \$460.00** for the paid IRO fee. For the purposes of determining compliance with the order, the Commission will add 20 days to the date the order was deemed received as outlined on page one of this order.

In accordance with §413.031(e), it is a defense for the carrier if the carrier timely complies with the IRO decision.

Based on review of the disputed issues within the request, the Medical Review Division has determined that **medical necessity was the only issue** to be resolved. The chiropractic treatments, including electrical stimulation, whirlpool, joint mobilizations and surface neurostimulation were found to be medically necessary. The respondent raised no other reasons for denying reimbursement for these chiropractic treatment charges.

On this basis, and pursuant to §§402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 of the Act, the Medical Review Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to pay the unpaid medical fees in accordance with the fair and reasonable rate as set forth in Commission Rule 133.1(a)(8) plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the requestor within 20 days of receipt of this order. This Order is applicable to dates of service 5/13/02 through 1/16/03 in this dispute.

The respondent is prohibited from asserting additional denial reasons relative to this Decision upon issuing payment to the requestor in accordance with this Order (Rule 133.307(j)(2)).

This Order is hereby issued this 17th day of July 2003.

Carol R. Lawrence
Medical Dispute Resolution Officer
Medical Review Division

CRL/crl

July 15, 2003

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

RE: MDR Tracking #: M5-03-2212-01

___ has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) as an independent review organization (IRO). ___ IRO Certificate Number is 5348. Texas Worker's Compensation

Commission (TWCC) Rule §133.308 allows for a claimant or provider to request an independent review of a Carrier's adverse medical necessity determination. TWCC assigned the above-reference case to ___ for independent review in accordance with this Rule.

___ has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine whether or not the adverse determination was appropriate. Relevant medical records, documentation provided by the parties referenced above and other documentation and written information submitted regarding this appeal was reviewed during the performance of this independent review.

This case was reviewed by a practicing chiropractor on the ___ external review panel. The ___ chiropractor reviewer signed a statement certifying that no known conflicts of interest exist between this chiropractor and any of the treating physicians or providers or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed this case for a determination prior to the referral to ___ for independent review. In addition, the ___ chiropractor reviewer certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party in this case.

Clinical History

This case concerns a male who sustained a work related injury to his back on ___. The patient reported that while at work he was pulling a dolly when he experienced a sharp pain in his low back. The patient was initially diagnosed with a herniated disc at the L5-S1 level. The patient underwent neurological evaluation on 12/6/96, an MRI of the lumbar spine on 12/3/96 and then underwent a laminectomy on 12/10/96. The patient has also been treated with physical therapy. In addition, the patient has undergone functional capacity evaluation 5/20/97, MRI on 3/17/00, electrodiagnostic evaluation 8/3/00, and lumbar discogram with CT scan following on 6/7/00. The patient was reported to have an exacerbation of his back pain sometime in 2001. He then underwent epidural injections, diagnostic discography and an anterior posterior decompression and fusion from L4 to the Sacrum. The patient was then treated with electrical stimulation, myofascial release and neurostimulator treatment.

Requested Services

Chiropractic treatments rendered from 5/13/02 through 1/16/03.

Decision

The Carrier's determination that these services were not medically necessary for the treatment of this patient's condition is overturned.

Rationale/Basis for Decision

The ___ chiropractor reviewer noted that this case concerns a male who sustained a work related injury to his low back on ___. The ___ chiropractor reviewer also noted that the diagnosis for this patient is herniated disc at the L5-S1 level. The ___ chiropractor reviewer further noted that the patient has undergone a laminectomy on 12/10/96 followed by physical therapy. The ___ chiropractor reviewer indicated that the patient experienced an exacerbation of his injury in ___. The ___ chiropractor reviewer noted that this exacerbation was treated with epidural steroid injections, anterior posterior decompression and fusion from L4 to the Sacrum, electrical stimulation, myofascial release and neurostimulator treatment. The ___ chiropractor

reviewer explained that this patient's condition was a difficult condition to treat. The ___ chiropractor indicated that the patient underwent back surgery that was unsuccessful. Therefore, the ___ chiropractor consultant concluded that the chiropractic treatments rendered from 5/13/02 through 1/16/03 were medically necessary to treat this patient's condition.

Sincerely,