MDR: Tracking Number M5-03-2167-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective January 1, 2002 and Commission Rule 133.305 and 133.308 titled <u>Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review</u> <u>Organizations</u>, the Medical Review Division assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent.

The Medical Review Division has reviewed the IRO decision and determined that **the requestor prevailed** on the issues of medical necessity. Therefore, upon receipt of this Order and in accordance with \$133.308(q)(9), the Commission hereby orders the respondent and non-prevailing party to **refund the requestor \$650.00** for the paid IRO fee. For the purposes of determining compliance with the order, the Commission will add 20 days to the date the order was deemed received as outlined on page one of this order.

In accordance with §413.031(e), it is a defense for the carrier if the carrier timely complies with the IRO decision.

Based on review of the disputed issues within the request, the Medical Review Division has determined that **medical necessity was the only issue** to be resolved. The treatment/services, ultrasound, therapeutic procedures, therapeutic activities and electrical stimulation, were found to be medically necessary. The respondent raised no other reasons for denying reimbursement for these treatment/services (ultrasound, therapeutic procedures, therapeutic stimulation), therapeutic activities and electrical stimulation) charges.

On this basis, and pursuant to \$\$402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 of the Act, the Medical Review Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to pay the unpaid medical fees in accordance with the fair and reasonable rate as set forth in Commission Rule 133.1(a)(8) plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the requestor within 20 days of receipt of this order. This Order is applicable to dates of service 11/25/02 through 12/30/02 in this dispute.

The respondent is prohibited from asserting additional denial reasons relative to this Decision upon issuing payment to the requestor in accordance with this Order (Rule 133.307(j)(2)).

This Order is hereby issued this <u>17th</u> day of July 2003.

Carol R. Lawrence Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division

CRL/crl

July 14, 2003

David Martinez TWCC Medical Dispute Resolution 4000 IH 35 South, MS 48 Austin, TX 78704

MDR Tracking #:	M5-03-2167-01
IRO #:	5251

has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance as an Independent Review Organization. The Texas Worker's Compensation Commission has assigned this case to

_____ for independent review in accordance with TWCC Rule 133.308 which allows for medical dispute resolution by an IRO.

has performed an independent review of the care rendered to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. In performing this review, all relevant medical records and documentation utilized to make the adverse determination, along with any documentation and written information submitted, was reviewed.

The independent review was performed by a matched peer with the treating doctor. This case was reviewed by a licensed Medical Doctor with a specialty and board certification in Occupational Medicine. The _____ health care professional has signed a certification statement stating that no known conflicts of interest exist between the reviewer and any of the treating doctors or providers or any of the doctors or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to the referral to _____ for independent review. In addition, the reviewer has certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party to the dispute.

CLINICAL HISTORY

_____ injured his low back at work on _____. He underwent L5/S1 hemilaminotomy, foraminotomy and microdiscectomy on August 12, 2002 by _____. On a September 3rd follow-up with _____, the plan was to continue non-strenuous activity for six to eight weeks post-operatively and then begin physical therapy. Included in the records were physical therapy notes that outlined the modalities performed from November 25 through December 30, 2002 for a total of ten visits. No other physical therapy notes were submitted. A follow-up with _____ dated December 26th stated that the patient had an intermittent strain of the lower back, but that he was improving overall and would continue physical therapy.

DISPUTED SERVICES

Under dispute is the medical necessity of ultrasound, therapeutic procedures, therapeutic activities and electrical stimulation provided form 11/25/02 through 12/30/02.

DECISION

The reviewer disagrees with the prior adverse determination.

BASIS FOR THE DECISION

The services rendered during this period of time appear to flow from and be related to the normal treatment of the post-operative spine. The patient underwent a period of rest followed by ten sessions of both passive and active therapeutic modalities. This type of treatment is a part of standard care to restore function after spinal care.

has performed an independent review solely to determine the medical necessity of the health services that are the subject of the review. has made no determinations regarding benefits available under the injured employee's policy

As an officer of _____, I certify that there is no known conflict between the reviewer, _____ and/or any officer/employee of the IRO with any person or entity that is a party to the dispute.

_____ is forwarding this finding by US Postal Service to the TWCC.

Sincerely,