MDR Tracking Number: M5-03-2132-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective January 1, 2002 and Commission Rule 133.305 and 133.308 titled <a href="Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organizations">Medical Review Division assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent.

The Medical Review Division has reviewed the IRO decision and determined that **the requestor prevailed** on the issues of medical necessity. Therefore, upon receipt of this Order and in accordance with §133.308(q)(9), the Commission hereby orders the respondent and non-prevailing party to **refund the requestor \$650.00** for the paid IRO fee. For the purposes of determining compliance with the order, the Commission will add 20 days to the date the order was deemed received as outlined on page one of this order.

In accordance with §413.031(e), it is a defense for the carrier if the carrier timely complies with the IRO decision.

Based on review of the disputed issues within the request, the Medical Review Division has determined that **medical necessity was the only issue** to be resolved. The surgical procedures were found to be medically necessary. The respondent raised no other reasons for denying reimbursement for these surgical procedure charges.

This Finding and Decision is hereby issued this 18<sup>th</sup> day of July 2003.

Carol R. Lawrence Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division

On this basis, and pursuant to §§402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 of the Act, the Medical Review Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to pay the unpaid medical fees in accordance with the fair and reasonable rate as set forth in Commission Rule 133.1(a)(8) plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the requestor within 20 days of receipt of this order. This Order is applicable to date of service 4/30/02 in this dispute.

The respondent is prohibited from asserting additional denial reasons relative to this Decision upon issuing payment to the requestor in accordance with this Order (Rule 133.307(j)(2)).

This Order is hereby issued this 18<sup>th</sup> day of July 2003.

Roy Lewis, Supervisor Medical Dispute Resolution Medical Review Division RL/crl

### NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

July 10, 2003 REVISED

Rosalinda Lopez
Program Administrator
Medical Review Division
Texas Workers Compensation Commission
4000 South IH-35, MS 48
Austin, TX 78704-7491

RE: MDR Tracking #: M5-03-2132-01
IRO Certificate #: 4326

The \_\_\_\_ has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) as an independent review

the review was performed without bias for or against any party to this case.

` ,	Texas Workers' Compensatio for independent review in acco on by an IRO.	,	•
was appropriate. In per referenced above in ma	ndependent review of the rende forming this review, relevant m king the adverse determination the appeal was reviewed.	nedical records, any docur	ments utilized by the parties
surgery which is the san certification statement si treating physicians or pr	was performed by a phys ne specialty as the treating phy tating that no known conflicts o oviders or any of the physician e referral to for independe	ysician. The physicia of interest exist between h ns or providers who review	n reviewer has signed a him or her and any of the ved the case for a

## Clinical History

This patient sustained a back injury at work on \_\_\_\_, details unknown. She underwent surgery on 04/30/02 for anterior disc excision L3-4 and L4-5 with decompression and anterior interbody fusion with screws.

### Requested Service(s)

The surgical procedure rendered on 04/30/02

#### Decision

It is determined that the surgical procedure rendered on 04/30/02 was medically necessary to treat this patient's condition.

# Rationale/Basis for Decision

Based on the medical record submitted, the surgical procedure rendered on 04/30/02 was medically necessary. In order to perform the required procedure, it must be done in two stages and at different times; the retroperitoneal approach for the anterior procedure and a classic posterior approach for the posterior procedure. Therefore, it is determined that the surgical procedure rendered on 04/30/02 was medically necessary.

Sincerely