THIS MDR TRACKING NO. WAS WITHDRAWN. THE AMENDED MDR TRACKING NO. IS: M5-03-3395-01

MDR: Tracking Number M5-03-2121-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective January 1, 2002 and Commission Rule 133.305 and 133.308 titled Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organizations, the Medical Review Division assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent.

The Medical Review Division has reviewed the IRO decision and determined that **the requestor prevailed** on the issues of medical necessity. Therefore, upon receipt of this Order and in accordance with §133.308(q)(9), the Commission hereby orders the respondent and non-prevailing party to **refund the requestor \$650.00** for the paid IRO fee. For the purposes of determining compliance with the order, the Commission will add 20 days to the date the order was deemed received as outlined on page one of this order.

In accordance with §413.031(e), it is a defense for the carrier if the carrier timely complies with the IRO decision.

Based on review of the disputed issues within the request, the Medical Review Division has determined that **medical necessity was the only issue** to be resolved. The diagnostic services (76375), multiplanar reconstructions as part of the myelo-CT examination, was found to be medically necessary. The respondent raised no other reasons for denying reimbursement for these diagnostic services charges.

On this basis, and pursuant to §§402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 of the Act, the Medical Review Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to pay the unpaid medical fees in accordance with the fair and reasonable rate as set forth in Commission Rule 133.1(a)(8) plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the requestor within 20 days of receipt of this order. This Order is applicable to date of service 1/14/03 in this dispute.

The respondent is prohibited from asserting additional denial reasons relative to this Decision upon issuing payment to the requestor in accordance with this Order (Rule 133.307(j)(2)).

This Order is hereby issued this 22nd day of July 2003.

Carol R. Lawrence Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division

CRL/crl

July 14, 2003

David Martinez TWCC Medical Dispute Resolution 4000 IH 35 South, MS 48 Austin, TX 78704

MDR Tracking #: IRO #:	M5-03-2121-01 5251
Organization. The Texas Worker's Comp	tment of Insurance as an Independent Review ensation Commission has assigned this case to for WCC Rule 133.308 which allows for medical dispute
determination was appropriate. In perform	of the care rendered to determine if the adverse ning this review, all relevant medical records and the determination, along with any documentation and deed.
was reviewed by a licensed Medical Doct The health care professional has signe conflicts of interest exist between the revie of the doctors or providers who reviewed to	y a matched peer with the treating doctor. This case for with a specialty and board certification in Radiology ed a certification statement stating that no known ewer and any of the treating doctors or providers or any the case for a determination prior to the referral to eviewer has certified that the review was performed e dispute.
CLI	NICAL HISTORY
previous imaging studies for low back and including lumbar surgical fusion, conserva and various pain medication trials with conservation trials with conservation trials.	ned a work-related injury on He has had multiple I neck symptoms with various forms of therapy, ative therapy plans, epidural and trigger point injections ntinuing problems and difficulty working. Most myelo-CT on 1/14/03 for continued neck pain.
DISP	UTED SERVICES
Under dispute is the medical necessity of or reconstructions as part of the myelo-CT ex	diagnostic services coded 76375 (multiplanar camination) that was rendered on 1/14/03.
DECISION	

BASIS FOR THE DECISION

The reviewer disagrees with the prior adverse determination.

Multiplanar reconstructions (MPR's) are a necessary part of a myelo-CT study and clearly are the standard of care in the US. The only time they would not be obtained as part of a myelo-CT exam would be if the CT scanner was old and could not do MPR's or if there was a mechanical breakdown precluding them.

Justification for the above is provided in supporting material supplied by and also in the medical literature (1). This is best accomplished by having the radiologist dictate a separate
paragraph detailing the findings of the multi-planar reconstructive images. There was not specific reporting of the findings of the MPR's in the report. The only reference to the MPR's in the report
was stating that they were done. The MPR's are necessary and they should be separately reported.
However, separate report of findings is not a necessity for the code to be payable.
has performed an independent review solely to determine the medical necessity of the health services that are the subject of the review has made no determinations regarding benefits available under the injured employee's policy
As an officer of, I certify that there is no known conflict between the reviewer, and/or any officer/employee of the IRO with any person or entity that is a party to the dispute.
is forwarding this finding by US Postal Service to the TWCC.
Sincerely,
1. Imaging of Low Back Pain II in The Radiologic Clinics of North America 2001; 39(1):94