

MDR Tracking Number: M5-03-1577-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective January 1, 2002 **or January 1, 2003** and Commission Rule 133.305 and 133.308 titled Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organizations, the Medical Review Division assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent.

The Medical Review Division has reviewed the IRO decision and determined that **the requestor prevailed** on the issues of medical necessity. Therefore, upon receipt of this Order and in accordance with §133.308(r)(9), the Commission hereby orders the respondent and non-prevailing party to **refund the requestor \$460.00** for the paid IRO fee. For the purposes of determining compliance with the order, the Commission will add 20 days to the date the order was deemed received as outlined on page one of this order.

In accordance with §413.031(e), it is a defense for the carrier if the carrier timely complies with the IRO decision.

Based on review of the disputed issues within the request, the Medical Review Division has determined that **medical necessity was the only issue** to be resolved. The services from 4-22-02 through 5-10-02 were found to be medically necessary. The services from 9-30-02 through 12-2-02 were not found to be medically necessary. The respondent raised no other reasons for denying reimbursement for these services.

The above Findings and Decision are hereby issued this 12th day of May 2003.

Dee Z. Torres
Medical Dispute Resolution Officer
Medical Review Division

On this basis, and pursuant to §§402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 of the Act, the Medical Review Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to pay the unpaid medical fees in accordance with the fair and reasonable rate as set forth in Commission Rule 133.1(a)(8) plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the requestor within 20 days of receipt of this order. This Order is applicable to dates of service 4-22-02 through 12-2-02 in this dispute.

The respondent is prohibited from asserting additional denial reasons relative to this Decision upon issuing payment to the requestor in accordance with this Order (Rule 133.307(j)(2)).

This Order is hereby issued this 12th day of May 2003.

Roy Lewis, Supervisor
Medical Dispute Resolution
Medical Review Division

RL/dzt

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

May 1, 2003

Rosalinda Lopez
Program Administrator
Medical Review Division
Texas Workers Compensation Commission
4000 South IH-35, MS 48
Austin, TX 78704-7491

RE: MDR Tracking #: M5-03-1577-01
IRO Certificate #: IRO 4326

The ___ has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) as an independent review organization (IRO). The Texas Workers' Compensation Commission (TWCC) has assigned the above referenced case to ___ for independent review in accordance with TWCC Rule §133.308 which allows for medical dispute resolution by an IRO.

___ has performed an independent review of the rendered care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. In performing this review, relevant medical records, any documents utilized by the parties referenced above in making the adverse determination, and any documentation and written information submitted in support of the appeal was reviewed.

The independent review was performed by a matched peer with the treating health care professional. This case was reviewed by a health care professional licensed in chiropractic care. ___ health care professional has signed a certification statement stating that no known conflicts of interest exist between him or her and any of the treating physicians or providers or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to the referral to ___ for independent review. In addition, the reviewer has certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party to this case.

Clinical History

This patient sustained a work-related injury on ____. She jumped off of a trailer and ruptured a disc at L3-4. She had a discectomy at L3-4 in June of 1998. Her condition did not improve and she eventually had a second surgery on 12/20/01 by a different physician for fusion with hardware fixation. She improved somewhat but her pain was still significant. Functional capacity testing revealed that all muscle groups were significantly hampered and her leg strength was 25% of normal. She has been under the care of a chiropractor since released by her surgeon to begin therapy.

Requested Service(s)

Chiropractic treatments from 04/22/02 to 12/02/02 were requested.

Decision

It is determined that the chiropractic treatments from 05/23/02 through 12/02/02 were not medically necessary to treat this patient's condition. However, the treatments performed from 04/22/02 through 05/22/02 were medically necessary to treat this patient's medical condition.

Rationale/Basis for Decision

The patient had vertebral fusion surgery with hardware in December of 2001. The patient was cleared for post surgical rehabilitation by her surgeon in March of 2002. According to Maxey and Ferguson (Rehabilitation for the Post-surgical Orthopedic Patient, 2001), rehabilitation for a lumbar fusion lasts up to 10 weeks through return to work. The documented post-surgical rehabilitation lasted from 03/18/02 through 12/02/02. Using the 10 week cutoff for post-surgical rehabilitation, any treatment after 05/22/02 would be beyond what is needed to return this patient to a stable condition. Therefore, the chiropractic treatments from 05/23/02 through 12/02/02 were not medically necessary. However, the treatments performed from 04/22/02 through 05/22/02 were medically necessary.

Sincerely,