MDR Tracking Number: M5-03-1526-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective January 1, 2002 and Commission Rule 133.305 and 133.308 titled Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organizations, the Medical Review Division assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent.

The Medical Review Division has reviewed the IRO decision and determined, the total amount recommended for reimbursement does not represent a majority of the medical fees of the disputed healthcare and therefore, the **requestor did not prevail** in the IRO decision. Consequently, the requestor is not owed a refund of the paid IRO fee.

In accordance with §413.031(e), it is a defense for the carrier if the carrier timely complies with the IRO decision.

Based on review of the disputed issues within the request, the Medical Review Division has determined that **medical necessity was the only issue** to be resolved. The half of the chiropractic treatment from 2/19/02 through 6/2/02 was found to be medically necessary. Half of the chiropractic treatment rendered from 2/19/02 through 6/2/02 was not found to be medically necessary. The respondent raised no other reasons for denying reimbursement for these half of the chiropractic treatment charges.

This Finding and Decision is hereby issued this 30<sup>th</sup> day of May 2003.

Carol R. Lawrence Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division

On this basis, and pursuant to §§402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 of the Act, the Medical Review Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to pay the unpaid medical fees in accordance with the fair and reasonable rate as set forth in Commission Rule 133.1(a)(8) plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the requestor within 20 days of receipt of this order. This Order is applicable to dates of service 2/19/02 through 6/7/02 in this dispute.

The respondent is prohibited from asserting additional denial reasons relative to this Decision upon issuing payment to the requestor in accordance with this Order (Rule 133.307(j)(2)).

This Order is hereby issued this 30<sup>th</sup> day of May 2003.

Roy Lewis, Supervisor Medical Dispute Resolution Medical Review Division RL/cl

## NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

NOTICE OF INDEX ENDERN REVIEW DEGICION
RE: MDR Tracking #: M5-03-1526-01
has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) as an independent review organization (IRO) IRO Certificate Number is 5348. Texas Worker's Compensation Commission (TWCC) Rule §133.308 allows for a claimant or provider to request an independent review of a Carrier's adverse medical necessity determination. TWCC assigned the above-reference case to for independent review in accordance with this Rule.
has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine whether or not the adverse determination was appropriate. Relevant medical records, documentation provided by the parties referenced above and other documentation and written information submitted regarding this appeal was reviewed during the performance of this independent review.
This case was reviewed by a practicing chiropractor on the external review panel. The chiropractor reviewer signed a statement certifying that no known conflicts of interest exist between this chiropractor and any of the treating physicians or providers or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed this case for a determination prior to the referral to for independent review. In addition, the chiropractor reviewer certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party in this case.
Clinical History This case concerns a 44 year-old male who sustained a work-related injury to his lower back on A lumbar MRI performed on 12/01/01 revealed a 2mm posterior central discal substance herniation at L5-S1, possible subtle edema over the right sacrum and possible stress fracture. However, a CT of the pelvis in December 2001 did not reveal a fracture of the sacrum. The patient has been treated with passive therapy, active therapy and pool therapy.
Requested Services Chiropractic treatments from 2/19/02 through 6/7/02
<u>Decision</u> The Carrier's determination that these services were not medically necessary for the treatment of this patient's condition is partially overturned.
Rationale/Basis for Decision The chiropractor reviewer noted that this case concerns a 44 year-old male who sustained a work related injury to his back on The chiropractor reviewer also noted that an MRI showed 2mm posterior central discal substance herniation at L5-S1, possible subtle edema over the right sacrum and possible stress fracture. The chiropractor reviewer further noted that the patient has been treated with active and passive therapy along with pool therapy. The chiropractor reviewer explained that the treatment rendered to this patient was medically necessary. However, the chiropractor reviewer also explained that the frequency of treatment rendered to this patient from 2/19/02 through 6/7/02 was excessive. Therefore, the chiropractor consultant concluded that half of the chiropractic treatments from 2/19/02 through 6/2/02 were medically necessary to treat this patient's condition. The chiropractor

Sincerely,

consultant also concluded that half of the chiropractic treatments from 2/19/02 through 6/7/02

were not medically necessary to treat this patient's condition.