THIS DECISION HAS BEEN APPEALED. THE FOLLOWING IS THE RELATED SOAH DECISION NUMBER:

SOAH DOCKET NO. 453-03-3772-.M5

MDR Tracking Number: M5-03-1402-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective January 1, 2003 and Commission Rule 133.305 and 133.308 titled Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organizations, the Medical Review Division (Division) assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent.

The Division has reviewed the enclosed IRO decision and determined that **the requestor did not prevail** on the issues of medical necessity. The IRO agrees with the previous determination that office visits and physical therapy were not medically necessary. Therefore, the requestor is not entitled to reimbursement of the IRO fee.

Based on review of the disputed issues within the request, the Division has determined that office visits and physical therapy fees were the only fees involved in the medical dispute to be resolved. As the treatment was not found to be medically necessary, reimbursement for dates of service from 6/12/02 to 12/19/02 is denied and the Division declines to issue an Order in this dispute.

This Decision is hereby issued this 24th day of April 2003.

Noel L. Beavers Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division

NLB/nlb

April 21, 2003

David Martinez TWCC Medical Dispute Resolution 4000 IH 35 South, MS 48 Austin, TX 78704

MDR Tracking #: M5 03 1402 01 IRO #: 5251

___ has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance as an Independent Review Organization. The Texas Worker's Compensation Commission has assigned this case to ___ for independent review in accordance with TWCC Rule 133.308 which allows for medical dispute resolution by an IRO.

has performed an independent review of the care rendered to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. In performing this review, all relevant medical records and documentation utilized to make the adverse determination, along with any documentation and written information submitted, was reviewed.

This case was reviewed by a licensed Doctor of Chiropractic. The health care professional has signed a certification statement stating that no known conflicts of interest exist between the reviewer and any of the treating doctors or providers or any of the doctors or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to the referral to for independent review. In addition, the reviewer has certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party to the dispute. CLINICAL HISTORY
This patient was injured on when a chair struck her leg, causing immediate onset of pain in the knee. She began treatment with the requestor on May 3, 2002 and was diagnosed with a knee sprain. There was indication of a prior existing degenerative process on radiographs of the knee, with some deviation of the patella. The provider included in his treatment extensive passive and active care to include work hardening.
DISPUTED SERVICES
The carrier had denied office visits and physical therapy as medically unnecessary from June 12, 2002 through December 19, 2002. DECISION
The reviewer agrees with the prior adverse determination.
BASIS FOR THE DECISION
There is no indication that this patient suffered from anything more than a knee sprain. Treatment for such an injury is usually very limited and it is generally resolved in 6-8 weeks under normal conditions. There is nothing in this file that would indicate that the extensive level of care rendered on this case is reasonable and certainly there is nothing that would indicate that work hardening was indicated. As a result, I am unable to document medical necessity in this case.
has performed an independent review solely to determine the medical necessity of the health services that are the subject of the review. has made no determinations regarding benefits available under the injured employee's policy.
As an officer of, I certify that there is no known conflict between the reviewer, and/or any officer/employee of the IRO with any person or entity that is a party to the dispute.
is forwarding this finding by US Postal Service to the TWCC.
Sincerely,