

MDR Tracking Number: M5-03-1205-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective January 1, 2003 and Commission Rule 133.305 and 133.308 titled Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organizations, the Medical Review Division assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent.

The Medical Review Division has reviewed the IRO decision and determined that **the requestor prevailed** on the issues of medical necessity. Therefore, upon receipt of this Order and in accordance with §133.308(q)(9), the Commission hereby orders the respondent and non-prevailing party to **refund the requestor \$460.00** for the paid IRO fee. For the purposes of determining compliance with the order, the Commission will add 20 days to the date the order was deemed received as outlined on page one of this order.

In accordance with §413.031(e), it is a defense for the carrier if the carrier timely complies with the IRO decision.

Based on review of the disputed issues within the request, the Medical Review Division has determined that **medical necessity was the only issue** to be resolved. The disputed work hardening was found to be medically necessary. The respondent raised no other reasons for denying reimbursement.

This Finding and Decision is hereby issued this 15th day of April 2003.

Noel L. Beavers
Medical Dispute Resolution Officer
Medical Review Division

On this basis, and pursuant to §§402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 of the Act, the Medical Review Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to pay the unpaid medical fees in accordance with the fair and reasonable rate as set forth in Commission Rule 133.1(a)(8) plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the requestor within 20 days of receipt of this order. This Order is applicable to dates of service 3/14/02 through 5/9/02.

The respondent is prohibited from asserting additional denial reasons relative to this Decision upon issuing payment to the requestor in accordance with this Order (Rule 133.307(j)(2)).

This Order is hereby issued this 15th day of April 2003.

David R. Martinez, Manager
Medical Dispute Resolution
Medical Review Division

April 10, 2003

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

RE: MDR Tracking #: M5-03-1205-01

___ has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) as an independent review organization (IRO). ___ IRO Certificate Number is 5348. Texas Worker's Compensation Commission (TWCC) Rule §133.308 allows for a claimant or provider to request an independent review of a Carrier's adverse medical necessity determination. TWCC assigned the above-reference case to ___ for independent review in accordance with this Rule.

___ has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine whether or not the adverse determination was appropriate. Relevant medical records, documentation provided by the parties referenced above and other documentation and written information submitted regarding this appeal was reviewed during the performance of this independent review.

This case was reviewed by a practicing chiropractor on the ___ external review panel. The ___ chiropractor reviewer signed a statement certifying that no known conflicts of interest exist between this chiropractor and any of the treating physicians or providers or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed this case for a determination prior to the referral to ___ for independent review. In addition, the ___ chiropractor reviewer certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party in this case.

Clinical History

This case concerns a 45 year-old female who sustained a work related injury on ___. The patient reported that while at work she fell down the stairs injuring her right shoulder, cervical and lumbar area. The patient was diagnosed with right shoulder rotator cuff tear and lumbar sprain/strain. The patient was treated with active therapy. The patient's condition had plateaued and an FCE was performed. The patient was then referred to work hardening on 3/11/02.

Requested Services

Work Hardening from 3/14/02 through 5/9/02.

Decision

The Carrier's determination that these services were not medically necessary for the treatment of this patient's condition is overturned.

Rationale/Basis for Decision

The ___ chiropractor reviewer noted that this patient was making very little progress under the initial passive therapy program. The ___ chiropractor reviewer explained that this patient needed to make the switch from passive to active care in order to make the transition back to work with no restrictions. The ___ chiropractor reviewer also explained that the patient returned to work at the end of 8 weeks with no restrictions and no need for follow up care. The ___

chiropractor reviewer further explained that this patient met the requirement of the need to undergo work hardening. Therefore, the ___ chiropractor consultant concluded that the work hardening from 3/14/02 through 5/9/02 was medically necessary to treat this patient's condition.

Sincerely,

—