MDR Tracking Number: M5-03-0014-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective September 1, 1993 and Commission Rule 133.305 titled Request for Medical Dispute Resolution, a dispute resolution review was conducted by the Medical Review Division regarding a medical payment dispute between the requestor and the respondent named above.

#### I. DISPUTE

- **1. a.** Whether there should be reimbursement for CPT codes 90825, 90801, 90887 and 90889.
  - **b.** The request was submitted on 8-14-02.

#### II. EXHIBITS

### 1. Requestor:

- **a.** TWCC 60 and Letter Requesting Dispute Resolution
- **b.** HCFA-1500
- c. EOB
- **d**. Medical Records
- **e.** Any additional documentation submitted was considered, but has not been summarized because the documentation would not have affected the decision outcome.

## 2. Respondent:

- **a.** TWCC 60 and Letter Responding to Request for Dispute Resolution
- **b.** Any additional documentation submitted was considered, but has not been summarized because the documentation would not have affected the decision outcome.

### III. PARTIES' POSITIONS

### 1. Requestor:

"This is the initial consultation, not psych testing. These referrals come to us in order to determine whether or not testing is deemed necessary. A complete study, evaluation of records and report prep is necessary to determine further needs."

#### 2. Respondent:

- a. The insurance carrier did not submit a position statement.
- b. Based upon the audit summaries, the insurance carrier denied reimbursement for the disputed services based upon: "A-Preauthorization required but not requested; and U- The service rendered is integral to a service requiring pre-authorization,

c.

MDR Tracking Number: M5-03-0014-01

where pre-authorization was not sought or approval was not obtained for the required service, therefore, reimbursement is not allowed."

# IV. FINDINGS

- **1.** Based on Commission Rule 133.305(d)(2), the only dates of service eligible for review is 11-14-01.
- **2.** The following table identifies the disputed services and Medical Review Division's rationale:

| DOS      | CPT CODE | BILLED   | PAID   | EOB            | MAR\$                 | REFERENCE        | RATIONALE:                                 |
|----------|----------|----------|--------|----------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
|          |          |          |        | Denial<br>Code | (Maximum<br>Allowable |                  |  |
|          |          |          |        | Code           | Reimbursement)        |                  |  |
| 11-14-01 | 90825    | \$120.00 | \$0.00 | U              | \$2.00/min            | Rule 133.304     | Based upon the TWCC-                       |
|          | 90887    | \$180.00 | ,      |                | \$3.00/min            | (d), (h) and (l) | 62 form, "U –                              |
|          | 90889    | \$120.00 |        |                | \$2.00/min            | TWCC-62 form     | Unnecessary treatment                      |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | (without peer review)                      |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | Used when the IC is                        |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | denying payment                            |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | because the IC deems                       |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | the t/s to be medically                    |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | unreasonable and/or                        |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | unnecessary, and the IC                    |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | is NOT basing that                         |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | judgment on a peer review." The insurance  |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | carrier's rationale on the                 |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | EOB denial is based                        |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | upon lack of                               |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | preauthorization not                       |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | medical necessity. The                     |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | Initial Consultation                       |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | report indicates that a 1                  |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | ½ hour interview was                       |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | conducted with                             |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | claimant, the report does                  |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | not support billed                         |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | services.                                  |
|          | 90801    | \$270.00 |        | A              | \$3.00/min            | Rule 134.600     | The Initial Consultation                   |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       | (h)(2)           | report indicates that a 1                  |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | ½ hour interview was                       |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | conducted with                             |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | claimant. The report does not support that |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | therapy or testing was                     |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | performed; therefore,                      |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | preauthorization was not                   |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | required and                               |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | reimbursement is                           |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | recommended.                               |
| Totals   |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | The Requestor is entitled to               |
| 100013   |          |          |        |                |                       |                  | reimbursement of \$270.00.                 |
|          |          |          |        |                |                       |                  |  |

MDR Tracking Number: M5-03-0014-01

#### V. ORDER

Pursuant to Sections 402.042,413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 the Medical Review Division hereby ORDERS the respondent, Texas Mutual Insurance Co., to remit \$270.00 plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the requestor, HealthTrust, LLC, within 20 days receipt of this order.

This Order is hereby issued this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of January , 2003.

Elizabeth Pickle Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division