SUPREME COURT DOCKET ACTIVITY: FY 1999 - 2003

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Causes/Cases Added					
Regular Causes ¹	107	116	119	118	115
Other Than Regular Causes	1,325	1,391	1,296	1,288	1,260
Disciplinary Appeals	9	7	5	7	14
Motions	1,592	1,720	1,642	1,778	2,761
Dispositions					
Regular Causes	118	111	118	112	101
Other Than Regular Causes	1,326	1,397	1,287	1,302	1,261
Disciplinary Appeals	14	5	10	4	13
Motions	1,606	1,672	1,600	1,812	2,775
Pending at the End of the FY					
Regular Causes	50	61	63	62	79
Other Than Regular Causes	364	370	380	371	377
Disciplinary Appeals	4	6	1	4	5
Motions	44	104	147	126	121

NOTE: Data for prior fiscal years are from the respective Annual Reports of the Texas Judicial System.

¹ "Regular causes" involve cases in which four or more of the Supreme Court justices have decided in conference that a petition for review, petition for writ of mandamus or habeas corpus, or parental notification appeal should be reviewed. Regular causes also include direct appeals the Court has agreed to review and questions of law certified to it by a federal appellate court that the Court has agreed to answer.

SUPREME COURT DETAILED DOCKET ACTIVITY: FY 2003

		<i>Pending:</i> 9/1/2002 ²	Causes Added	Total on Docket	Dispositions	Pending: 8/31/2003
REG	GULAR CAUSES					
G	ranted Petitions for Review		112		98	
A	ccepted Petitions for Writs of Mandamus		2		3	
Pa	arental Notification Appeals		0		0	
D	irect Appeals		0		0	
A	ccepted Certified Question		1		0	
Tota	l for Regular Causes	65	115	180	101	79
отн	IER THAN REGULAR CAUSES					
	Petitions for Review	322	968	1,290	973	317
	Mandamus	53	267	320	264	56
	Habeas Corpus	0	17	17	14	3
	Writs of Prohibition & Injunction	2	4	6	5	1
	Direct Appeals	0	1	1	1	0
	Certified Questions	0	1	1	1	0
	Petitions for Certiorari	0	0	0	0	0
	Petitions to Publish Under Rule 90c/47.3	1	0	1	1	0
	Petitions for Temporary Injunctions	0	0	0	0	0
	Parental Notification Appeals	0	2	2	2	0
	Emergency Stays	0	0	0	0	0
	Appeals from Board of Disciplinary Appeals	4	14	18	13	5
Tota	l for Other than Regular Causes	382	1,274	1,656	1,274	382
MO	TIONS					
	Causes	7	47	54	46	8
	Petitions for Review	22	214	236	225	11
_ l	Mandamus: Civil	4	23	27	27	0
Rehearing of	Habeas Corpus	0	2	2	2	0
eari	Writs of Prohibition & Injunction	0	0	0	0	0
Reh	Direct Appeals	0	0	0	0	0
	Rehearing of Disciplinary Appeals	0	1	1	1	0
	Certified Questions	0	0	0	0	0
1	Petitions to Publish Under Rule 90c/47.3	0	0	0	0	0
	ellaneous Motions	102	2,474	2,576	2,474	102
Tota	l for Motions	135	2,761	2,896	2,775	121
тот	TAL FOR ALL	582	4,150	4,732	4,150	582

_

² Cases pending at the beginning of the fiscal year (September 1) may not equal cases pending at the end of the previous fiscal year (August 31) due to docket adjustments.

FINAL DISPOSITION OF REGULAR CAUSES: FY 2003

Granted Petitions for Review	#	Accepted Petitions for Writs of Mandamus	#
Affirmed	7	Conditionally granted	3
Affirmed in part & reversed in part	1	TOTAL: Writs of Mandamus	3
Affirmed in part, reversed in part, & judgment rendered	5		
Affirmed in part, reversed in part, & remanded to trial court	1		
Reversed & dismissed for want of jurisdiction	4		
Reversed & remanded	18		
Reversed & remanded to trial court	13		
Reversed & rendered	35		
Reversed in part & rendered in part	2		
Dismissed	9		
Improvident grant denied	1		
Judgment suspended & remanded to trial court for settlement	1		
Severed	1		
TOTAL: Granted Petitions for Review	98		
		TOTAL DISPOSITIONS:	101

DISPOSITION OF OTHER THAN REGULAR CAUSES: FY 2003

	Initial Review Granted / Accepted	Review Refused/ Denied	Dismissed	Dismissed w/o Jurisdiction	Abated	Struck	Transfer- red	With- drawn	Other	Total
Petitions for Review	116	769	21	13	3	48	2	0	1	973
Petitions for Writs of Mandamus: Civil	14	206	18	1	2	13	10	0	0	264
Petitions for Writs of Habeas Corpus	0	9	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	14
Petitions for Writs of Prohibition & Injunction	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Direct Appeals	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Certified Questions	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Petitions for Certiorari	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Petitions for Temporary Injunctions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Petitions to Publish Under Rule 90c/47.3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Parental Notification Appeals	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Emergency Stays	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Appeals from Board of Disciplinary Appeals	8*	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	13
TOTAL FOR ALL	142	989	45	16	5	62	14	0	1	1,274

NOTE: * Affirmed

DISPOSITION OF MOTIONS: FY 2003

		Denied	Granted		Dismissed WOJ		Struck	Transferred	Passed	Total
Jo	Causes	42	3	0	0	1	0	0	~	46
	Mandamus	26	0	1	0	0	0	0	~	27
arir	Petitions for Review	215	8	1	1	0	0	0	~	225
Rehearing	Habeas Corpus	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	~	2
~	Disciplinary Appeals	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	~	1
Mis	cellaneous Motions Passed On by Court	18	449	5	0	0	2	1	1,999	2,474
TO	ΓAL	304	460	7	1	1	2	1	1,999	2,775

OPINIONS DELIVERED BY THE SUPREME COURT: FY 2003

Majority Opinions	60
Per Curiam Opinions	29
Concurring	10
Dissenting	24
Concurring and Dissenting	1
Petitions or Appeals Denied With Per Curiam	4
On Motion for Rehearing	0
Other Opinions	0
TOTAL OPINIONS DELIVERED	128

OPINIONS OF THE SUPREME COURT: FY 2003

Justices	Majority Opinions	Per Curiam Opinions	Concurring Opinions	Dissenting Opinions	Concurring and Dissenting	Petitions or Appeals Denied With Per Curiam	Total
Chief Justice Thomas R. Phillips	11	3	0	1	0	0	15
Justice Nathan D. Hecht	7	0	2	4	0	1	14
Justice Craig T. Enoch	10	3	3	5	1	0	22
Justice Priscilla R. Owen	4	4	1	2	0	0	11
Justice Harriet O' Neill	8	1	2	3	0	3	17
Justice Wallace B. Jefferson	9	10	1	3	0	0	23
Justice Michael Haygood Schneider	2	3	1	2	0	0	8
Justice Steven Smith	1	2	0	1	0	0	4
Justice Deborah G. Hankinson	2	0	0	3	0	0	5
Justice Xavier Rodriguez	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
Justice J. Dale Wainwright	2	3	0	0	0	0	5
Justice James A. Moseley (appointed)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	60	29	10	24	1	4	128

ACTION BY THE SUPREME COURT IN GRANTED PETITIONS FOR REVIEW BY COURT OF APPEALS: FY 2003

	1^{ST}	2 ND	3 RD	4 TH	5 TH	6 TH	7^{TH}	8 TH	9 TH	10 TH	111 TH	12 ^{TI}	13 TH	14 TH	Total
OPINIONS ISSUED															
Court of Appeals ruling is:															
Affirmed	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	7
Affirmed in part & reversed in part	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Affirmed in part, reversed in part, & judgment rendered	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	5
Affirmed in part, reversed in part, & remanded to trial court	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Reversed & dismissed for want of jurisdiction	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	4
Reversed & remanded	2	0	4	0	2	0	0	1	2	5	0	0	1	1	18
Reversed & remanded to trial court	2	0	4	1	1	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	13
Reversed & rendered	3	0	7	1	5	2	2	4	1	4	0	0	5	1	35
Reversed in part & rendered in part	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
PETITIONS FOR REVIEW DISPOSED															
Dismissed	2	1	1	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Improvident grant denied	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Judgment suspended & remanded to trial court for settlement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Severed	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	10	3	19	5	13	4	2	8	6	16	0	0	10	2	98

PETITIONS FOR REVIEW FILED BY COUNTY: FY 2003

County	Number	County	Number	County	Number	County	Number
Harris	194	Palo Pinto	5	Parker	2	Karnes	1
Dallas	135	Taylor	5	Polk	2	Kaufman	1
Travis	89	Williamson	5	Rusk	2	Kendall	1
Tarrant	52	Coryell	4	San Patricio	2	Kleberg	1
Bexar	47	Kerr	4	Shelby	2	La Salle	1
Galveston	18	Wise	4	Starr	2	Lamar	1
El Paso	17	Andrews	3	Tom Green	2	Limestone	1
Jefferson	17	Angelina	3	Trinity	2	Madison	1
Denton	15	Brazos	3	Upshur	2	Maverick	1
Montgomery	15	Carson	3	Val Verde	2	McMullen	1
Nueces	15	Houston	3	Bastrop	1	Medina	1
Hidalgo	13	Randall	3	Bee	1	Montague	1
Brazoria	12	Tyler	3	Brewster	1	Nacogdoches	1
Bell	8	Zapata	3	Burnet	1	Ochiltree	1
Collin	8	Brooks	2	Caldwell	1	Panola	1
Fort Bend	8	Calhoun	2	Cherokee	1	Parmer	1
Grayson	8	Comal	2	Comanche	1	Red River	1
Wichita	8	De Witt	2	Cooke	1	Reeves	1
Bowie	7	Dimmit	2	Donley	1	Scurry	1
Anderson	6	Eastland	2	Ector	1	Uvalde	1
Cameron	6	Edwards	2	Falls	1	Van Zandt	1
Harrison	6	Erath	2	Gillespie	1	Victoria	1
Lubbock	6	Fannin	2	Goliad	1	Waller	1
Marion	6	Frio	2	Gonzales	1	Washington	1
Midland	6	Hood	2	Gray	1	Wharton	1
Potter	6	Johnson	2	Grimes	1	Wheeler	1
Smith	6	King	2	Hardin	1	Willacy	1
Walker	6	Matagorda	2	Hays	1	Wood	1
Webb	6	Morris	2	Henderson	1	Yoakum	1
Gregg	5	Newton	2	Hunt	1	Young	1
McLennan	5	Orange	2	Hutchinson	1		

GRAND TOTAL = 912^3

PETITIONS FOR REVIEW FILED BY **COURT OF APPEALS: FY 2003**

Court of Appeals	Court of Appeals	Filed	
1 st Houston	127	8 th El Paso	37
2 nd Fort Worth	85	9 th Beaumont	31
3 rd Austin	117	10 th Waco	23
4 th San Antonio	84	11 th Eastland	37
5 th Dallas	121	12 th Tyler	27
6 th Texarkana	44	13 th Corpus Christi	58
7 th Amarillo	35	14 th Houston	91

 $TOTAL = 917^4$

 $^{^3}$ Six additional petitions for review did not originate in a trial court. 4 One additional petition for review did not proceed through a court of appeals.