District Court Performance Measures

Clearance Rate and Backlog Index from September 1, 2002 to August 31, 2003 (Counties Listed in Alphabetical Order)

		(Counties Listed in Alphabetical Order)					
County	2000 Population	Civil Cases		Criminal Cases		Juvenile Cases	
		Clearance Rate	Backlog Index	Clearance Rate	Backlog Index	Clearance Rate	
Anderson	55,109	96.8%	1.9	104.9%	0.5	*	
Andrews Angelina	13,004 80,130	80.4% 91.5%	1.9 2.8	97.5% 106.3%	0.7 0.5	* 85.4%	
Aransas	22,497	113.8%	1.1	104.6%	0.6	*	
Archer	8,854	74.1%	1.5	58.0%	0.6	100.0%	
Armstrong	2,148	*	*	*	*	*	
Atascosa	38,628	111.8%	1.4	93.5%	1.3	95.3%	
Austin Bailey	23,590 6,594	117.7% 95.0%	2.1 0.4	107.7% 121.0%	1.7 0.4	*	
Bandera	17,645	60.0%	3.5	104.8%	0.9	*	
Bastrop	57,733	103.8%	2.1	95.9%	1.0	*	
Baylor	4,093	121.9%	1.1	593.5%	1.4	100.0%	
Bee	32,359	93.8%	1.1	97.2%	0.5	94.6%	
Bell Bexar	237,974 1,392,931	101.4% 92.1%	0.7 0.7	73.4% 89.3%	0.6 0.6	92.8% 90.8%	
						*	
Blanco Borden	8,418 729	115.6% 50.0%	1.1 14.6	106.7%	1.4 4.5	*	
Bosque	17,204	106.4%	0.7	106.6%	0.5	110.5%	
Bowie	89,306	89.5%	1.0	92.0%	1.0	*	
Brazoria	241,767	90.2%	1.0	109.0%	0.8	*	
Brazos	152,415	71.6%	1.2	96.8%	0.2	*	
Brewster Briscop	8,866 1,790	72.8% 94.6%	1.4 1.6	161.6%	1.1 3.5	*	
Briscoe Brooks	1,790 7,976	94.5%	1.5	190.7%	3.5	*	
Brown	37,674	112.1%	1.2	117.0%	0.9	*	
Burleson	16,470	90.4%	0.8	82.2%	1.0	*	
Burnet	34,147	94.2%	1.0	94.3%	0.8	106.9%	
Caldwell	32,194	85.3%	2.7	86.3%	1.3	*	
Calhoun Callahan	20,647	94.8%	2.1	102.8%	2.3	* 66.7%	
	12,905	118.3%	1.4	98.8%	0.9	66.7%	
Cameron	335,227	95.2%	0.5 3.2	103.8%	0.8 1.0	72.9%	
Camp Carson	11,549 6,516	80.9% 262.8%	3.2 1.1	62.0% 122.9%	0.9	142.9%	
Cass	30,438	86.9%	1.2	103.8%	0.9	80.0%	
Castro	8,285	112.7%	0.9	126.2%	0.9	*	
hambers	26,031	90.4%	1.2	103.9%	1.0	*	
herokee	46,659	62.1%	4.1	73.7%	1.6	85.6%	
Childress	7,688	99.5%	1.2	99.2%	1.2	*	
Clay Cochran	11,006 3,730	93.3% 114.6%	0.7 2.1	107.8% 83.8%	0.7 1.1	33.3%	
Coke Coleman	3,864 9,235	105.1% 79.8%	0.9 2.1	109.5% 73.9%	0.5 1.6	100.0%	
Collin	491,675	96.5%	0.5	96.9%	0.7	93.2%	
Collingsworth	3,206	139.6%	2.1	69.2%	1.3	*	
Colorado	20,390	93.5%	0.7	100.5%	0.6	*	
Comal	78,021	81.8%	2.0	97.1%	1.2	92.4%	
Comanche	14,026	95.4%	0.5	112.3%	0.4	*	
Concho Cooke	3,966 36,363	102.7% 97.0%	2.0 1.4	119.7% 101.4%	0.6 1.2	*	
Coryell	74,978	75.9%	3.1	105.3%	0.6	118.2%	
Cottle	1,904	156.5%	1.8	125.0%	2.3	*	
Prane	3,996	104.0%	3.1	28.0%	17.6	*	
rockett	4,099	76.6%	2.5	108.9%	1.4	*	
rosby	7,072	116.9%	1.8	177.1%	1.3	183.3%	
Culberson	2,975	86.4%	1.7	106.0%	1.3	*	
Dallam	6,222	90.1%	0.7	107.3%	0.5	*	
Dallas Dawson	2,218,899 14,985	103.6% 109.4%	1.1 1.7	94.6% 129.1%	0.6 0.6	91.0% 123.4%	
Deaf Smith	20,013	95.1%	0.9	115.9%	0.9	123.470	
Pelta	18,561	151.8%	2.6	95.8%	1.1	114.3%	
Denton	5,327	94.1%	0.6	94.9%	0.6	*	
De Witt	432,976	88.8%	1.0	108.3%	0.7	*	
oickens Dimmit	2,762 10,248	166.7% 87.0%	4.8 2.6	63.2% 121.7%	1.9 2.4	* 250.0%	
onley	3,828	76.0%	4.5	86.4%	1.2	230.0%	
uval	13,120	73.5%	2.8	95.9%	2.0	76.9%	
astland	18,297	77.8%	6.6	97.5%	1.7	114.3%	
ctor	121,123	99.8%	1.1	101.1%	0.8	*	
dwards	2,162 679,622	91.2%	2.5	76.0%	0.8	25.0%	
llis	679,622	245.0%	1.4	91.6%	1.2		
l Paso	111,360	90.3%	0.7	91.5%	1.3	82.0%	
rath alls	33,001 18,576	117.1% 86.1%	1.3 1.2	95.8% 96.0%	0.6 0.5	97.7%	
annin	31,242	57.7%	10.5	113.2%	3.7	*	
ayette	21,804	90.2%	3.2	81.7%	2.0	140.0%	
isher	4,344	100.0%	1.4	84.2%	1.1	*	
loyd	7,771	104.0%	1.1	121.4%	1.2	*	
oard	1,622	90.9%	4.1	* 00.20/	5.1	*	
ort Bend ranklin	354,452 9,458	89.0% 83.3%	0.7 3.2	90.2% 75.0%	0.9 0.9	* 57.1%	
reestone rio	17,867 16,252	91.0% 58.1%	0.9 2.8	104.9% 77.6%	0.5 3.3	88.9% 64.3%	
rio laines	14,467	83.6%	2.8	104.5%	3.3 1.1	87.5%	
Galveston	250,158	106.0%	1.4	83.7%	0.5	81.0%	
	4,872	74.8%	1.8	131.0%	1.3	*	

^{*} No Data Reported

District Court Performance Measures

Clearance Rate and Backlog Index from September 1, 2002 to August 31, 2003 (Counties Listed in Alphabetical Order)

		(Counties Listed in Alphabetical Order)					
County	2000 Population	Civil Cases		Criminal Cases		Juvenile Cases	
		Clearance Rate	Backlog Index	Clearance Rate	Backlog Index	Clearance Rate	
Gillespie	20,814	60.8%	2.0	88.3%	1.1	*	
Glasscock Goliad	1,406 6,928	72.7% 62.9%	3.3 3.3	12.5% 206.5%	9.0 1.1	*	
Gonzales	18,628	111.6%	0.8	89.5%	1.8	102.8%	
Gray	22,744	102.8%	1.0	107.6%	0.8	92.3%	
Grayson	110,595	85.6%	2.3	94.7%	0.7	61.1%	
Gregg Grimes	111,379 23,552	104.6% 91.3%	1.1 4.0	90.3% 149.3%	1.0 1.1	97.1%	
Guadalupe	89,023	94.0%	0.7	72.0%	1.9	90.1%	
Hale	36,602	94.5%	0.7	96.7%	0.7	97.7%	
Hall	3,782	311.9%	1.5	77.6%	1.2	*	
Iamilton	8,229	108.0%	0.4	120.5%	0.7	76.0%	
Hansford Hardeman	5,369 4,724	68.6% 63.1%	1.6 3.7	58.1% 70.4%	2.4 2.1	200.0%	
Hardin	48,073	107.3%	1.1	84.8%	1.1	158.1%	
Harris	3,400,578	84.2%	1.2	98.2%	0.7	94.1%	
Harrison	62,110	127.6%	0.7	78.1%	0.5	*	
Hartley	5,537	106.8%	1.1	95.2%	0.5	*	
łaskell łays	6,093 97,589	182.2% 81.3%	1.0 2.0	106.4% 83.6%	0.5 1.1	66.7%	
-							
Hemphill Henderson	3,351 73,277	64.6% 79.9%	3.1 2.5	46.2% 82.4%	1.1 1.0	* 89.8%	
Iidalgo	569,463	73.6%	2.6	97.4%	0.8	104.8%	
Iill	32,321	94.8%	1.7	99.1%	1.2	84.5%	
Iockley	22,716	95.1%	1.5	93.8%	0.8	*	
lood	41,100	90.5%	0.7	93.2%	0.5	105.6%	
Hopkins Houston	31,960 23,185	79.4% 71.7%	1.5 1.6	95.7% 102.3%	0.5 0.6	83.3%	
loward	33,627	74.8%	1.0	74.5%	1.2	*	
Iudspeth	3,344	*	*	*	*	*	
Iunt	76,596	91.7%	0.7	102.5%	0.7	*	
Iutchinson	23,857	110.1%	3.0	95.4%	1.4	90.2%	
rion ack	1,771 8,763	127.8% 81.0%	2.5 3.6	92.9% 90.6%	2.2 0.7	200.0% 54.5%	
ackson	14,391	81.5%	1.3	104.9%	0.5	*	
asper	35,604	91.4%	1.4	33.3%	1.1	100.0%	
eff Davis	2,207	69.6%	2.2	275.0%	2.1	*	
efferson	252,051	93.9%	0.6	90.3%	0.8	94.7%	
im Hogg im Wells	5,281 39,326	95.8% 84.3%	2.6 0.9	108.6% 74.3%	0.9 1.0	700.0%	
						*	
ohnson ones	126,811 20,785	88.4% 85.8%	0.8 2.0	94.5% 109.0%	0.8 1.0	*	
Carnes	15,446	75.7%	2.5	121.0%	0.9	94.7%	
Caufman	71,313	97.7%	0.9	66.0%	2.4	*	
Cendall	23,743	82.9%	1.2	81.4%	0.8	*	
Kenedy	414	94.5%	1.1	107.1%	1.2	*	
Kent Kerr	859 43,653	100.0% 110.6%	1.7 1.1	87.5% 89.3%	0.7 0.9	*	
Cimble	4,468	178.8%	1.2	92.6%	0.9	60.0%	
King	356	80.0%	2.0	200.0%	1.0	*	
Kinney	3,379	93.0%	1.8	78.1%	0.7	107.1%	
Cleberg	31,549	81.0%	1.1	114.9%	0.9	*	
Knox Lamar	4,253 5,866	122.9% 96.7%	2.0 0.9	122.2% 91.8%	4.5 0.8	*	
amb	48,499	93.0%	2.4	89.1%	0.9	*	
ampasas	14,709	116.1%	0.8	80.7%	2.3	107.4%	
.a Salle	17,762	72.0%	3.5	102.4%	6.8	*	
avaca	19,210	109.3%	0.7	136.0%	0.6	20.0%	
.ee .eon	15,657 15,335	110.5% 92.2%	3.5 1.3	87.4% 118.6%	1.5 0.7	53.2% 125.0%	
			3.6		1.2	123.070	
iberty imestone	70,154 22,051	91.3% 61.4%	3.6 2.9	106.6% 98.7%	0.4	156.5%	
ipscomb	3,057	130.2%	1.8	52.4%	0.8	*	
ive Oak	12,309	99.4%	3.8	123.3%	1.7	100.0%	
lano	17,044	144.9%	1.0	75.2%	0.8	150.0%	
oving ubbock	67 242,628	50.0% 101.3%	0.5 0.7	* 95.6%	* 0.9	* 76.6%	
ynn	6,550	98.0%	2.2	95.6% 144.7%	0.9	90.0%	
AcCulloch	12,940	93.0%	1.3	124.0%	0.8	*	
1cLennan	10,941	91.5%	3.9	103.9%	0.5	94.0%	
1cMullen	4,746	87.9%	0.7	200.0%	1.3	*	
Aadison Aarion	3,738 37,957	123.1% 39.6%	1.5 5.2	135.3% 97.6%	0.9 1.2	* 157.1%	
iarion Iartin	37,957 47,297	39.6% 86.1%	2.4	52.9%	1.4	137.1%	
1ason	8,205	98.5%	1.3	103.6%	0.7	100.0%	
/Iatagorda	213,517	94.7%	1.4	106.6%	0.5	95.1%	
Maverick .	851	85.2%	2.6	108.3%	3.1	76.3%	
Aedina Acoust	39,304	91.7%	0.8	98.5%	0.9	*	
∕Ienard ∕Iidland	2,360 116,009	109.5% 96.8%	1.0 1.0	67.7% 96.0%	1.2 0.6	*	
Milam	24,238	94.3%	1.1	84.8%	0.8	77.9%	
Ailam Aills	24,238 5,151	94.5% 87.8%	0.5	106.3%	0.8	//.9%	
Mitchell	9,698	100.4%	1.5	83.0%	0.7	*	
Montague Montgomery	19,117	70.2%	1.1	73.9%	0.7	58.3%	
	293,768	148.0%	0.9	97.8%	1.2	87.9%	

^{*} No Data Reported

District Court Performance Measures

Clearance Rate and Backlog Index from September 1, 2002 to August 31, 2003 (Counties Listed in Alphabetical Order)

County	2000 Population	Civil Cases		Criminal Cases		Juvenile Cases	
		Clearance Rate	Backlog Index	Clearance Rate	Backlog Index	Clearance Rate	
Moore	20,121	82.7%	1.2	102.2%	0.4	*	
Morris	13,048	91.6%	1.4	97.9%	0.8	60.0%	
Motley Nacogdoches	1,426 59,203	73.7% 86.8%	9.3 2.3	116.7% 81.3%	2.9 0.8	* 64.8%	
Navarro	45,124	106.8%	1.0	93.7%	0.3	87.5%	
Newton	15,072	72.2%	2.2	101.8%	3.3	*	
Nolan	15,802	49.7%	3.6	110.7% 99.9%	0.9 0.8	*	
Nueces Ochiltree	313,645 9,006	100.1% 114.9%	0.7 1.1	109.9%	0.8	80.6%	
Oldham	2,185	70.0%	2.2	62.1%	1.3	*	
Orange	84,966	91.3%	1.3	106.3%	1.1	*	
Palo Pinto Panola	27,026 22,756	82.3% 149.4%	1.5 1.6	107.7% 116.8%	0.9 1.4	*	
Parker	88,495	72.5%	1.6	93.6%	0.5	94.6%	
Parmer	10,016	96.3%	0.4	112.9%	0.5	*	
Pecos	16,809	73.7%	2.7	156.8%	1.5	*	
Polk Potter	41,133 113,546	87.8% 99.8%	2.2 1.1	85.1% 97.7%	0.7 0.7	101.6%	
Presidio	7,304	166.2%	1.3	130.4%	1.7	*	
Rains	9,139	64.3%	0.4	99.4%	0.4	100.0%	
Randall Reagan	104,312 3,326	88.3% 98.4%	0.8 2.1	96.7% 141.4%	0.7 1.0	103.4%	
Reagan Real	3,326 3,047	108.9%	0.6	109.8%	0.6	*	
Red River	14,314	67.8%	1.9	88.0%	0.9	89.5%	
Reeves	13,137	96.0%	0.3	117.3%	0.4		
Refugio Roberts	7,828 887	97.8% 53.3%	2.5 2.6	94.6% 100.0%	2.9 1.5	*	
Robertson	16,000	92.4%	1.5	87.4%	0.6	125.0%	
Rockwall	43,080	94.7% 97.6%	0.7	92.5%	0.4	87.5% 85.7%	
Runnels	11,495	97.6%	1.1	112.8%	0.5	85.7%	
Rusk Sabine	47,372 10,469	89.9% 101.6%	1.7 2.6	102.4% 166.7%	0.9 5.6	100.0%	
San Augustine	8,946	105.0%	6.1	54.2%	6.5	100.0%	
San Jacinto San Patricio	22,246 67,138	96.5% 98.8%	1.4 0.7	110.1% 112.8%	1.1 0.9	100.0% 83.6%	
San Saba	6,186	124.8%	1.0	75.3%	0.6	50.0%	
Schleicher	2,935	84.6%	1.9	78.0%	1.3	57.1%	
Scurry	16,361	72.2%	1.9	98.2%	1.2	140.0%	
Shackelford Shelby	3,302 25,224	124.7% 96.4%	1.2 1.0	137.6% 123.0%	0.9 0.9	* 95.2%	
Sherman	3,186	55.3%	4.1	65.0%	0.6	*	
Smith	174,706	94.3%	0.6	82.0%	0.6	*	
Somervell	6,809	70.2%	0.8	95.9%	1.2	*	
Starr Stephens	53,597 9,674	78.5% 95.4%	4.2 1.6	90.2% 87.5%	3.2 3.7	100.0%	
Sterling	1,393	155.6%	1.3	66.7%	1.7	400.0%	
Stonewall	1,693	130.4%	3.9	133.3%	0.9	*	
Sutton Swisher	4,077 8,378	112.6% 76.5%	2.8 1.1	104.8% 127.1%	1.3 1.0	*	
Farrant	1,446,219	95.1%	0.8	97.7%	0.6	105.3%	
Γaylor	126,555	96.0%	0.9	86.7%	1.4	76.0%	
Terrell	1,081	100.0%	0.7	72.7%	1.5	100.0%	
Геггу Гhrockmorton	12,761 1,850	62.7% 377.1%	1.0 1.1	87.8% 218.2%	0.7 1.4	70.0%	
Γitus	28,118	101.8%	4.8	106.3%	1.7	27.3%	
Γom Green	104,010	96.1%	1.0	99.0%	0.5	109.4%	
Fravis	812,280	84.7%	2.0	100.6%	2.1	105.0%	
Γrinity Γyler	13,779 20,871	73.3% 105.5%	4.1 1.0	98.0% 101.1%	0.5 0.5	62.5% 140.0%	
Jpshur	35,291	92.1%	1.1	86.7%	0.4	57.9%	
Upton	3,404	72.5%	2.3	77.1%	1.3	*	
Jvalde Val Verde	25,926 44,856	72.2% 92.1%	0.4 1.4	94.0% 78.6%	1.0 1.3	* 300.0%	
vai verde Van Zandt	44,856 48,140	92.1%	2.7	78.6% 110.9%	1.3	300.0% *	
Victoria	84,088	127.0%	1.1	102.9%	0.5	*	
Walker	61,758	111.1%	2.7	127.6%	1.4	*	
Waller Ward	32,663 10,909	58.0% 90.2%	8.1 0.3	157.1% 103.2%	0.5 0.4	*	
waru Washington	30,373	88.9%	1.4	77.1%	0.4	*	
Webb	193,117	101.3%	0.9	117.5%	1.1	*	
Vharton	41,188	89.2%	1.3	81.0%	0.6	90.8%	
Wheeler Wichita	5,284 131,664	88.7% 100.1%	1.3 1.6	100.0% 104.8%	0.7 0.3	134.5%	
Vilbarger	14,676	80.2%	1.8	90.4%	3.4	400.0%	
Villacy	20,082	63.2%	5.9	112.1%	2.7	47.1%	
Williamson	249,967	69.6%	1.2	90.7%	0.8	70.7%	
Wilson Winkler	32,408 7,173	90.4% 86.3%	1.1 4.2	150.9% 151.8%	1.6 1.6	102.4%	
Wise	48,793	82.0%	1.1	106.9%	0.6	96.6%	
Wood	36,752	113.9%	0.8	98.0%	0.5	142.0%	
Yoakum	7,322	98.9%	1.0	102.6%	0.8	* 100.09/	
Young Zapata	17,943 12,182	90.3%	1.6	104.6%	1.0	100.0%	
Zavala	11,600	47.8%	7.0	214.3%	9.3	33.3%	
TOTALS	20,851,820	93.2%	1.2	96.4%	0.8	93.0%	

^{*} No Data Reported