
The 2000 Texas Liability Insurance Closed Claim Annual Report



Texas Department of Insurance

Background

This is the thirteenth Texas Liability Insurance Closed Claim Annual Report prepared by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) in accordance with the provisions of §§38.159 - 38.163, Texas Insurance Code. The legislative history of this statute indicates that there was an absence of reliable information concerning liability insurance claims, related court actions and other information pertinent to the claims settlement process and the civil justice system in Texas. The reporting requirements contained in this statute provide TDI with the authority to gather liability claims information and the responsibility of compiling the data and issuing an annual report. Following the statutory distinctions, the State Board of Insurance adopted two separate forms; the short form relating to liability claims closed with bodily injury indemnity settlements over \$10,000 but less than \$25,000; and the long form which relates to those claims with settlements of \$25,000 or more.

TDI collects the forms on a quarterly basis and reviews the forms manually and electronically to monitor data quality. Insurers submitted over 9,000 reports for claims that closed in 2000. These claim reports account for over \$1.6 billion in paid settlements.

Introduction

The data utilized in the preparation of this report include 9,092 commercial liability closed claims involving bodily injury settled under Texas law that were submitted for calendar year 2000 on the Quarterly Closed Claim Reports for the following lines of insurance:


- General Liability
- Medical Professional Liability
- Other Professional Liability
- Commercial Automobile Liability
- The Liability Portion of Commercial Multi-peril Insurance

The 2000 quarterly closed claim database includes claims from 354 insurance companies and 10 self-insurers. Claims included in the database have been settled or otherwise disposed of, and the insurer has made all indemnity and expense payments on the claim. These reports do not include property damage, open claims, or claims not settled under Texas law.

Payment amount in this report refers to the amount paid by the primary carrier on line 12 A.1 of the quarterly closed claim reports. Settlement amount refers to the amount paid by all parties on line 12 A.7 of the quarterly closed claim reports. The settlement amount may include payments from other insurers, the insured, excess carriers and other defendants that may not submit closed claims due to the reporting requirements.

Of the 9,092 forms available for the preparation of this report, 3,835 were short forms that are defined as claims with bodily injury settlements of greater than \$10,000 but less than \$25,000. Long forms accounted for the other 5,257 claims and include bodily injury settlements of \$25,000 or more. Although they account for 42% of all reports, only 6% of the total payments were reported on the short forms as illustrated in Figure 1.


FIGURE 1
SHORT FORM VS. LONG FORM



The report differentiated between the single defendant cases (single-party) and the more complex cases that involved multiple defendants (multi-party). Single-party claims involve only payments by the reporting insurer as primary carrier and/or payments by the insured for deductibles or payments in excess of policy limits. Multi-party claims which involve payments by excess carriers or amounts paid on behalf of other defendants, account for 19% of the 2000 reports and 60% of the settlement dollars as shown in Figure 2.

Carriers report the amount they paid on a claim as well as the total known settlement amount paid to the claimant. The total settlement amount may include payments from other insurers, the insured, excess carriers and other defendants. Although single-party claims make up the majority of all claim reports, the majority of settlement dollars come from multiparty claims.

FIGURE 2
SINGLE-PARTY VS. MULTI-PARTY



Payments and Expenses

A total of \$1,690,690,500 in settlements from 9,092 closed claim forms are included in this report as shown in the last line of Figure 3. One should keep in mind that the total settlement amount might be incomplete. Reports indicating involvement of other contributing parties may not have included the other contributing parties' payments in the total settlement amount.

It is also possible for a closed claim report to indicate payment by more than one contributing party; therefore, the number of claims shown on the "Total settlement" row may not equal the sum for each contributing party.

FIGURE 3
2000 CLOSED CLAIM DATA BASE SUMMARY

Contributing Parties	Claims	Amount Paid
Primary carrier	8,819	\$1,010,972,025
Insured due to deductible	594	\$42,008,589
Insured in excess of policy limits	14	\$853,500
Excess carrier	147	\$203,207,422
Other insured defendants	854	\$413,108,508
Other uninsured defendants	98	\$20,540,456
Total settlement	9,092	\$1,690,690,500

Figure 4 shows the average payment amount for all claims was \$111,194. The single-party average claim payment was \$79,597 as compared to the multi-party average claim payment of \$243,755.

FIGURE 4

THE AVERAGE 2000 COMMERCIAL LIABILITY CLAIM SINGLE-PARTY VS. MULTI-PARTY

Average	Single-Party	Multi-Party	All Forms
Payment Amount	\$79,597	\$243,755	\$111,194
Final Indemnity Reserve	\$89,387	\$297,383	\$129,421
Allocated Loss Adjustment Expense	\$13,535	\$54,713	\$21,461
Final Expense Reserve	\$9,385	\$40,503	\$15,374
Claim Duration (Months)	19.9	25.9	21.0

It appears that the majority of payments paid by the primary carrier occur in a small number of claims. Although claims with payments greater than \$150,000 comprise only 16% of claims reported, they account for over 74% of the total dollar amount of payments reported in the study. Claim payments of \$1,000,000 and over are usually multi-party claims. These ranges are shown in Figure 5 below.

FIGURE 5

NUMBER OF PAYMENTS BY PAYMENT RANGE

Payment Range	Single-Party	Multi-Party	Total
\$10,000 and less	273	51	324
\$10,001 - \$24,999	3,649	184	3,833
\$25,000 - \$74,999	1,879	561	2,440
\$75,000 - \$149,999	678	328	1,006
\$150,000 - \$299,999	432	281	713
\$300,000 - \$499,999	206	133	339
\$500,000 - \$999,999	159	131	290
\$1,000,000 and over	66	81	147
All Payments	7,342	1,750	9,092


If the settlement was \$25,000 or greater, adjusters were asked for their opinions as to whether the settlement was influenced by non-economic losses, exemplary damages or prejudgment interest. For the 1,411 long forms that indicated the categories of non-economic losses, exemplary damages or prejudgment interest influenced the settlement of the claim, 28% of the damages were designated to economic losses, 61% to non-economic losses, 9% to exemplary damages, and 2% to prejudgment interest. These percentages are displayed in Figure 6.

On the short forms, adjusters were asked for their opinions as to whether the potential for the assessment of exemplary damages influenced settlement amounts. They were asked to allocate damages into categories when exemplary damages influenced the settlement. These categories are (1) economic losses; (2) non-economic losses; (3) exemplary damages; and (4) prejudgment interest. In the adjusters' opinions, there were 217 settlements of less than \$25,000 that were influenced by exemplary damages. The percentages by categories are also shown in Figure 6.


FIGURE 6

DAMAGES INFLUENCED BY EXEMPLARY DAMAGES

1,411 Long Forms



217 Short Forms



The available data from 5,257 long forms indicates the use of structured settlements in the final resolution of 411 indemnity claims (8%). These structured settlements amounted to \$410,422,298 with an average settlement amount of \$998,594 and a median settlement amount of \$300,000. In these 411 cases, the immediate payment was on average 64% of the total settlement amount, while the structured portion was 36% of the total settlement amount.

Of the 5,257 long forms, 2,182 (42%) indicated that they were aware of the availability of some collateral sources of reimbursements to the injured person. Since claimants are not required to make this information available to insurers, this data may not have been reported in all cases where collateral sources were available. Figure 7 shows the collateral sources reported.

FIGURE 7
COLLATERAL SOURCES

Collateral Sources	Number of Forms
Workers' Compensation	803
Medical Insurance	1,014
Disability Insurance	50
Social Security Benefits	81
Medicare, Medicaid	366
Sick Leave	68
Other	71

Insurers were asked on all forms to divide their loss adjustment expenses into three categories: (1) outside defense counsel; (2) in-house defense counsel; (3) other expenses. A total of 3,005 forms indicate no allocated loss adjustment expense for the claim reported.

One of the three categories of expense was shown on the other 6,087 reports. Figure 8 shows the relationship of loss adjustment expenses to claim payments. The ALAE to payment ratio increased as average payment increased. Since unallocated loss adjustment expenses are not reported on the closed claim forms, the data in this section understates the amount of expenses incurred while settling the claim.

FIGURE 8


RATIO OF ALAE TO CLAIM PAYMENT
BY ALAE RANGE

ALAE Range	Claims	Average Payment	ALAE/Payment Ratio
\$0	3,005	\$34,168	0.000
\$1 - \$ 2,999	1,417	\$44,598	0.025
\$3,000 - \$ 5,999	685	\$56,570	0.078
\$6,000 - \$ 9,999	616	\$79,713	0.099
\$10,000 - \$24,999	1,402	\$104,513	0.159
\$25,000 - \$49,999	958	\$172,507	0.205
\$50,000 and over	1,009	\$441,486	0.288
Total	9,092	\$111,194	0.193

The data from all forms indicates that the average allocated loss adjustment expense was 19.3% of the insurer's payment. Figure 9 compares the ALAE/Payment ratio by line of insurance. Claims without allocated adjustment expenses are included. The ratio for all lines of 0.193 is shown by a dotted line for comparison purposes.

FIGURE 9

ALAE/PAYMENT RATIO
BY LINE OF INSURANCE




Insurance Policy Data

Commercial auto liability claims represent 58% of the closed claim reports filed and 36% of the insurer payments. General liability claims represent 15% of the closed claim reports filed and 14% of the insurer payments. Claims related to the liability portion on commercial multi-peril policies represent 12% of the reports filed and 11% of the insurer payments. Medical professional liability claims represent 14% of the reports filed and 38% of the insurer payments. Other professional liability claims represent 1% of the reports filed and 1% of the insurer payments. Only 77 cases involve other professional liability; therefore, the data relating to that line is highly variable and is treated accordingly. Figure 10 illustrates the comparative relationship between numbers of claims and amounts of claim payments among the five lines of insurance.


FIGURE 10

DISTRIBUTION OF CLAIMS AND CLAIM PAYMENTS BY LINE



Based on all forms from all lines, the average insurer payment is \$111,194. Claims involving medical professional liability reflect the highest average with an average payment of \$287,704. Commercial auto claims average \$70,144, considerably below the average. These comparisons are illustrated in Figure 11. Note that these averages do not include settlements of \$10,000 or less.

FIGURE 11



Among the major insured business classifications reviewed, claims were most frequently cited in transportation, wholesale-retail trade, other (miscellaneous), construction firms, and physicians and surgeons. Twenty-six business classifications are included on the closed claim forms. The twelve business classifications listed in Figure 12 account for nearly 93% of the total reported claims and nearly 92% of the total payments reported for calendar year 2000.

FIGURE 12

FREQUENCY OF CLAIMS
BY BUSINESS CLASSIFICATION





Figure 13 compares the average payment for each of the twelve major business classifications shown in Figure 12. The all lines average payment amount of \$111,194 is represented by a dotted line for comparison purposes. Hospitals had an average claim payment of \$546,086, the highest of the major classifications.

FIGURE 13

MAJOR BUSINESS CLASSIFICATIONS
AVERAGE CLAIM PAYMENT



A comparison of final demand or payment to the policy limit may be misleading due to the presence of multiple claimants and/or property damage in an occurrence. However, a <u>minimum</u> number of injuries affected by impaired or exhausted policy limits can be determined from the closed claim forms.

Figure 14 shows 399 claims (4%) were affected by impaired or exhausted policy limits while 58 (15%) of those claims involved excess carriers. The most frequent policy limit was \$1,000,000 for each line of insurance.

FIGURE 14


IMPAIRED OR EXHAUSTED POLICY LIMITS
BY LINE OF INSURANCE

Line of Insurance	Frequency	Average Payment	Excess Coverage Involved
General Liability	31	\$803,422	13
Commercial Auto	212	\$206,319	26
Commercial Multi-peril	32	\$823,906	15
Medical Professional	123	\$956,414	4
All Lines	398	\$534,296	58

Since some insurers include expense reserves with indemnity reserves, they were combined in this report. The sum of the payment and allocated loss adjustment expenses is designated as an expenditure. Overall, the average initial case basis reserve of \$48,082 was 36% of the average expenditure of \$132,654. Fifty-six percent of the claims were over-reserved by \$248,214,433 (just before the claim closed) while 33% were under-reserved by \$137,823,521. The aggregate data from all forms indicates that expenditures were over-reserved by an average of 9%. A comparison by line of insurance is shown in Figure 15.

ALL LINES
RATIO OF FINAL CASE BASIS RESERVES TO EXPENDITURE
BY EXPENDITURE RANGE

FIGURE 15



Bodily Injury

The long form contains information relating to the type of injury, how the injury occurred, and the age of the injured person. Eighteen separate types of injuries are listed on the long form and insurers are instructed to select all applicable types of injuries. Please note that some forms may have incomplete settlement amounts because they are multi-party claims. The data from 5,257 long form settlements indicates that multiple injuries (broken limbs, lacerations, contusions) were the most common type of injury as shown in Figure 16. Insurers were instructed to select all the types of injuries applicable to the claim. Thus, in cases other than death, the same claim may be shown under more than one category of injury.

FIGURE 16 INJURY TYPE NUMBER OF CLAIMS





Figure 17 shows settlement amounts by injury type. Because of the possibility of duplicate reporting of injuries and the effect a large claim could have on the average claim amount, median settlement figures are used. The most costly claims closed in 2000 involved brain damage injuries.


FIGURE 17
INJURY TYPE
MEDIAN SETTLEMENT AMOUNT



The long form also has 15 categories based upon the activity engaged in at the time of the injury. The ten most frequent activities are shown in Figure 18. The adjusters' opinions here are subjective and may include more than one activity. For example a claim involving a defective automobile may be marked as use of defective product, motor vehicle or both. The operation of a motor vehicle was indicated as the most common injury related activity.

FIGURE 18


HOW THE INJURY OCCURRED NUMBER OF CLAIMS



The age of the injured person at the time of injury was reported only on the long forms. Figure 19 indicates the age distribution. The median age of the injured party was 40 years.

FIGURE 19

ALL INJURIES AGE DISTRIBUTION



There were 9,033 injuries that occurred in Texas and 59 injuries that occurred outside of Texas. Figure 20 shows the Texas counties with 70 or more injuries and shows the ratio of claims to county population. The statewide ratio is 43.32 claims for every 100,000 population.

FIGURE 20

WHERE THE INJURY OCCURRED


COUNTIES WITH 70 OR MORE INJURIES

County	Number of Claims	Number of Claims/ 100,000 Population	Median Settlement Amount	Maximum Settlement Amount
Harris	1,667	49.02	\$30,000	\$15,000,000
Dallas	1,394	62.82	\$25,000	\$7,497,500
Tarrant	652	45.08	\$27,500	\$24,000,000
Bexar	577	41.42	\$29,000	\$8,700,000
Travis	337	41.49	\$31,000	\$9,500,000
Hidalgo	288	50.57	\$29,550	\$10,700,000
Jefferson	243	96.41	\$75,000	\$11,500,000
El Paso	204	30.02	\$25,375	\$11,250,000
Nueces	199	63.45	\$37,500	\$3,600,000
Collin	139	28.27	\$25,000	\$5,000,000
Denton	133	30.72	\$23,989	\$4,500,000
Cameron	126	37.59	\$27,250	\$5,850,000
Webb	107	55.41	\$27,500	\$15,000,000
Smith	101	57.81	\$38,000	\$2,300,000
Galveston	91	36.38	\$32,000	\$1,300,000
Brazoria	86	35.57	\$40,000	\$1,496,000
Montgomery	84	28.59	\$52,500	\$10,000,000
Potter	82	72.22	\$42,500	\$3,500,000
Lubbock	81	33.38	\$30,000	\$820,000
McClennan	70	32.78	\$29,500	\$1,500,000

Claims Process

As can be seen in Figure 21, only two percent of all reported settlements involved a court verdict. The overwhelming majority of claims were settled without the necessity of a trial. There were court verdicts for 164 injuries reported on 30 short forms and 134 long forms. Since the claim settlement process does not end with the trial court's verdict, the closed claim forms were designed to capture data relating to the court verdict and the final settlement. The other defendants or the excess carrier may have contributed to the settlement without being involved in the court verdict and may have agreed to a settlement before or after a verdict. Since the total settlement amount for multi-party claims may be inaccurate or unknown, the section on court verdicts deals only with the payments of the 151 claims that appear to be complete.


FIGURE 21
STAGES OF THE CLAIMS PROCESS



All closed claims that involved a court verdict required a distribution of the court verdict into four distinct categories of damages. The allocation of the verdict amount into damages is indicated in Figure 22.

FIGURE 22

COURT VERDICTS DAMAGES BY CATEGORY



In reviewing the court verdicts in Figure 23 it was found that, on average, the verdict amount was reduced by 44% before the case was finally settled.


FIGURE 23
COURT VERDICT VS. SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

	Claims	Total Verdict Amount	Total Settlement Amount	Amount of Difference	Percent Difference
Verdict more than settlement	62	\$105,851,852	\$47,816,310	(\$58,035,542)	(54.8%)
Verdict equal to settlement	49	\$4,492,796	\$4,492,796	\$0	0.0%
Verdict less than settlement	40	\$11,940,696	\$16,086,057	\$4,145,361	34.7%
Total	151	\$122,285,344	\$68,395,163	(\$53,890,181)	(44.1%)

The data from all 9,092 reports shows that 93% of all cases indicated the involvement of an attorney on behalf of the injured person (plaintiff), while 61% indicated an attorney for the insurer, and 6% indicated the hiring of an attorney to represent the insured. Figure 24 summarizes the attorney involvement for all policy lines studied except other professional liability.

FIGURE 24

ATTORNEY INVOLVEMENT BY LINE



There were 1,651 multiple-defendant cases that were settled before a court verdict and 47 judgments involving multiple defendants. In 209 (13%) of the 1,651 cases settled prior to a court verdict, the doctrine of joint and several liability impacted the settlement. Sixteen of the 47 judgments involving multiple defendants provided for joint and several liability in regard to any defendant.

Focusing on the doctrine of comparative responsibility, a total of 1,120 cases (21% of all long form settlements) indicate some fault attributable to the injured person. These 1,120 cases had a median settlement amount of \$70,000 (approximately 13% less than the all long forms' median of \$80,000) and, on average, estimated the injured person to be 36% at fault.

Generally, Texas law allows a plaintiff to bring suit in one of several counties (See Chapter 15, *Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code*). Figure 25 presents the relationship of the suits involving choice of venue by line of insurance.

FIGURE 25

CHOICE OF VENUE BY LINE OF INSURANCE

Line of Insurance	Total Number of Suits Filed	Number of Suits Filed in County Other Than Where Injury Occurred	Percent of Total
General Liability	1,060	81	7.6%
Commercial Auto	2,247	214	9.5%
Commercial Multi-peril	760	42	5.5%
Medical Professional	1,211	89	7.3%
Other Professional	50	3	6.0%
All Lines	5,328	429	8.1%

A total of 5,328 forms reported that suits were filed, with 4,899 filed in the county of injury; meanwhile, 429 forms indicated that the suit was filed in a county other than the county where the injury occurred. The median settlement amount in the 4,899 cases was \$55,000, while the median settlement amount in the remaining 429 cases was \$90,000. Figure 26 shows the counties with an influx of seven or more lawsuits.

FIGURE 26

CHOICE OF VENUE COUNTIES THAT GAINED SUITS

County	Number of Suits Filed	Number of Suits Incoming	Percent of Suits Incoming	Median Settlement Amount of Suits Filed in County Listed
Harris	991	69	7.0%	\$55,000
Dallas	733	51	7.0%	\$50,000
Tarrant	329	27	8.2%	\$60,000
Bexar	340	21	6.2%	\$50,000
Galveston	85	16	18.8%	\$47,618
Travis	180	13	7.2%	\$75,000
Jefferson	210	12	5.7%	\$100,000
Harrison	28	10	35.7%	\$135,000
Johnson	39	10	25.6%	\$55,000
Nueces	128	9	7.0%	\$66,000
Hidalgo	191	9	4.7%	\$55,000
Grayson	36	8	22.2%	\$65,500
Angelina	43	7	16.3%	\$60,000
Denton	54	7	13.0%	\$61,847
Orange	43	6	14.0%	\$118,500
Brazoria	66	6	9.1%	\$49,250
Duval	8	5	62.5%	\$197,500
Val Verde	14	5	35.7%	\$43,100
Smith	53	5	9.4%	\$70,000
Webb	85	5	5.9%	\$30,387
Counties Listed	3,656	301	8.2%	\$57,000
Counties Not Listed	1,672	128	7.7%	\$60,000
All Counties	5,328	429	8.1%	\$57,000

Comparative Analyses

Figure 27 shows the time comparison between single-party and multi-party cases. As expected, the multi-party cases take longer to close than the single-party cases.

FIGURE 27

ALL LINES TIME STUDY BY PARTY (MONTHS)

Category	Number of Claims	Total Payments	Injury to Notice	Notice to Settlement	Settlement to Close	Injury to Close
Single-Party	7,342	\$584,400,085	3.8	19.9	2.3	26.1
Multi-Party	1,750	\$426,571,940	14.2	25.9	4.5	44.6
All Parties	9,092	\$1,010,972,025	5.8	21.2	2.7	29.6

Figure 28 shows time comparisons among various lines of insurance. The data for other professional liability is included with general liability due to only 77 claims being reported for this line of insurance. Medical professional liability cases involve the longest average span of time (45.4 months) from the date of injury to the actual closing of the claim.

FIGURE 28

ALL LINES TIME STUDY BY POLICY TYPE (MONTHS)

	Number of Claims	Total Payments	Injury to Notice	Notice to Settlement	Settlement to Close	Injury to Close
General Liability	1,457	\$156,325,289	12.9	24.1	3.7	40.9
Commercial Auto	5,243	\$367,766,697	0.8	19.0	2.0	21.7
Commercial Multiperil	1,067	\$105,672,113	7.0	23.9	2.7	33.6
Medical Professional	1,325	\$381,207,926	17.1	23.8	4.5	45.4
All Claims	9,092	\$1,010,972,025	5.8	21.2	2.7	29.6

Figure 29 displays the average times for each injury type. Of the studies based on type of injury, those involving a respiratory condition, on average, took the longest time from injury to close (100.1 months), which is nearly three times the average of 35.0 months for all long form claims. The total payments column for all long forms does not equal to the subtotal of the separate injury types because the long form allows for multiple selections of injury type.

ONG FORMS TIME STUDY

ALL LONG FORMS TIME STUDY BY INJURY TYPE (MONTHS)


FIGURE 29

Injury Type	Number of Claims	Total Payments	Injury to Notice	Notice to Settlement	Settlement to Close	Injury to Close
Death	938	\$288,119,963	11.8	23.9	4.5	40.1
Amputation	83	\$26,838,503	10.1	23.8	2.7	36.6
Burns (heat)	88	\$21,034,024	6.6	19.4	6.6	32.5
Burns (chemical)	23	\$4,912,000	5.2	22.0	4.7	32.0
Systemic Poisoning (toxic)	46	\$5,318,982	32.3	30.6	11.8	74.7
Systemic. Poisoning (other)	13	\$2,985,966	25.7	29.9	3.9	59.5
Eye injury (blindness)	49	\$11,954,448	8.6	28.4	2.7	39.7
Respiratory condition	60	\$11,982,719	57.7	33.5	8.9	100.1
Nervous condition	47	\$6,194,340	18.2	30.1	4.9	53.2
Hearing loss/impairment	19	\$4,037,918	26.9	31.1	4.3	62.3
Circulatory condition	39	\$10,707,284	19.6	29.3	4.7	53.6
Multiple injuries	1,710	\$216,399,201	3.5	23.5	2.5	29.5
Back injury	1,619	\$175,068,896	2.8	25.9	2.2	30.9
Skin disorder	36	\$8,517,803	18.9	26.6	3.3	48.8
Brain damage	202	\$168,840,307	14.9	27.6	4.1	46.5
Scarring	204	\$40,820,304	2.7	21.2	2.7	26.6
Spinal cord injuries	80	\$30,809,584	7.9	26.5	3.0	37.5
Other	1,196	\$158,442,931	11.6	23.5	3.6	38.7
All Long Forms	5,257	\$953,146,719	7.6	24.2	3.2	35.0

Injury type is only reported on the long form. There were 938 injuries (18%) reported on the long form that resulted in death. As shown in figure 30, medical professional liability claims account for 52% of the death claims, commercial auto liability for 21%, general liability for 16%, and commercial multi-peril liability for 11%.

FIGURE 30

DEATH CLAIMS
BY LINE OF INSURANCE



Of the 5,257 settlements reported on long forms, 953 claims indicated work-related injuries. On a percentage basis, the business classification of manufacturer of chemical and allied products indicated the highest rate of work-related injuries with 52.5% of the claims relating to the job, followed by oil wells & drilling at 40.7% and construction firms with 38.2% of the claims reported as work-related. Figure 31 shows data for the business classifications with more than 20 work-related injuries.

FIGURE 31

WORK-RELATED INJURIES
BY BUSINESS CLASSIFICATION

Business Classification	Work- Related Claims	Percent Work- Related	Average Payment	Claim Duration	Injury to Close
Construction firms	221	38.2%	\$161,533	24.8	28.04
Transportation	164	18.8%	\$159,357	26.7	31.9
Wholesale-retail trade	138	17.6%	\$186,094	25.7	36.4
Other	133	18.3%	\$154,950	25.4	35.2
Other products mfg.	108	34.7%	\$212,242	28.4	64.1
Oil wells & drilling	50	40.7%	\$119,084	25.0	37.5
Manuf. chem & allied	31	52.5%	\$153,421	32.3	74.5
Physicians & surgeons	27	3.3%	\$186,593	32.9	53.3
All Business Classes	953	18.1%	\$164,848	26.5	40.7

The 2000 closed claim report data contains 671 claims involving the business classifications of drug manufacturers, manufacturers of chemical and allied products, medical products manufacturers, and other products manufacturers. The average payment for product liability claims of \$107,478 was 3% less than the average of \$111,194 for all lines. The average allocated loss adjustment expense for product liability claims of \$24,029 exceeded the average of \$21,460 for all lines. The claims are categorized in this section as product liability claims, but are not necessarily caused by use of a defective product. Figure 32 presents the average claim information derived from the product liability claims reported.

FIGURE 32

PRODUCT LIABILITY AVERAGE CLAIM

	Payment Amount	Final Indemnity Reserve	ALAE	Final Expense Reserve	Claim Duration (Months)
Product Liability	\$107,478	\$124,352	\$24,029	\$20,092	22.4
All Forms	\$111,194	\$129,421	\$21,460	\$15,375	21.1

Only 191 settlements indicated municipal/public liability as the insured business classification. Data derived from these reports show the average payment amount to be \$62,519, which is 44% less than the average of \$111,194 for all forms. Figure 33 presents the average claim information for municipal/public liability claims reported.

FIGURE 33

MUNICIPAL/PUBLIC LIABILITY AVERAGE CLAIMS

	Payment Amount	Final Indemnity Reserve	ALAE	Final Expense Reserve	Claim Duration (Months)
Municipal Liability	\$62,519	\$77,988	\$18,044	\$17,604	25.3
All Forms	\$111,194	\$129,421	\$21,460	\$15,375	21.1

2000 Calendar Year Annual Aggregate Closed Claim Report

The 2000 Calendar Year Annual Aggregate Closed Claim Report was filed on an annual basis for bodily injury indemnity payments of \$10,000 or less. Three hundred sixty-nine insurance companies and ten self-insured entities are included in the Annual Aggregate Closed Claim Database. A summary of the Aggregate Closed Claim Report is presented below.

	(1) Aggregate Number of Claims \$ 0 Indemnity Payments	(2) Aggregate Number of Claims \$1 to \$10,000 Indemnity Payments	(3) Total Number of Claims (1 + 2)	(4) Aggregate Dollar Amount Paid Out
General Liability	48,741	11,190	59,931	\$21,989,870
Other Professional Liability	1,554	119	1,673	\$415,298
Commercial Auto Liability	27,869	25,089	52,958	\$83,803,968
Commercial Multi-peril Liability	11,970	6,556	18,526	\$15,241,994
Medical Professional Liability	6,503	282	6,785	\$1,585,668
Total	96,637	43,236	139,873	\$123,036,798

2000 Closed Claim Reconciliation Form

The Texas Department of Insurance required companies to submit a reconciliation form for calendar year 2000 to ensure that all applicable closed claims were reported. The payments reported on Quarterly Closed Claim Reports with bodily injury over \$10,000 and the Aggregate Closed Claim Reports with bodily injury of \$10,000 or less were compared to the direct losses paid by line of insurance. These losses were reported to the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) on the 2000 Annual Statement, Texas Statutory Page 14. **Self-insured entities did not complete a Reconciliation Form and therefore, are not included in this section.**

There were 532 insurance companies that reported a total of \$2,358,947,027 direct losses paid on the 2000 Annual Statement, Texas Statutory Page 14 for the lines of business mentioned in §§38.159 – 38.163, Texas Insurance Code. Forty-six percent (46%) of the direct losses paid for general liability, commercial auto liability, Texas commercial multi-peril liability, and medical professional liability were reported on closed claim reports. The remaining \$1,285,251,878 of direct losses paid involved amounts that were not reported during calendar year 2000 on closed claim reports. The following chart summarizes the information from the reconciliation forms. The data allows a comparison to be made by line of insurance between payment amounts that are required to be reported under §§38.159 – 38.163 of the Texas Insurance Code and payments made during calendar year 2000 that are not reportable on closed claim reports. General Liability and Other Professional Liability are combined on the Reconciliation Form.

Line 1 of the reconciliation form shows the amount of claims reported for each line of insurance on the Closed Claim Report of Accepted Transactions at the beginning of the reconciliation project.

Line 2 of the reconciliation form indicates the amount of claims as shown on the Aggregate Closed Claim Report.

Line 3 is the subtotal of lines 1 and 2 of the closed claim reconciliation form.

Lines 4 and 5 consist of payments that did not involve bodily injury. These payments were mainly for property damage losses, but also included amounts for medical payments, personal injury protection, uninsured and underinsured motorist payments, professional liability, and payments on claims involving mental anguish, improper termination, libel, slander, etc.

Lines 6 and 7 include payments made on open claims that will not be reported until the claim closes and payments made in preceding calendar years.

Line 8 shows the excess payments by line of business as reported on the reconciliation forms. Excess payments on bodily injury are part of the settlement amount of a claim. Many insurance companies do not know the amount paid by an excess carrier and therefore, do not report it correctly in the settlement amount on a closed claim report. This is a major reason for reporting the 2000 Closed Claim Annual Report on a payment basis instead of a settlement basis.

Only claims settled under Texas law are reportable on the Closed Claim Reports. **Line 9** shows direct losses paid by line of insurance on policies written in Texas for claims that were not settled under Texas law. Included for comparison purposes on **Line 10** are the amounts reported on closed claim reports for policies written in another state where the claim was settled under Texas law.

On **Line 12**, the reconciliation form shows \$26,737,729 for total reimbursements received. This was primarily deductibles recovered for liability and property damage claims.

Adjustments made due to company rounding and estimating procedures are shown on Line 13.

Line 14 relates primarily to losses from catastrophes and class action suits that involve more than ten claimants.

Line 15 shows the amount of claims that companies were unable to reconcile during the reconciliation process.

Line 16 shows the amount of claims that were on the Closed Claim Report of Unaccepted Transactions at the beginning of the reconciliation process.

Line 17 and 18 are losses moved to or from a Texas closed claim report to another line of insurance or company.

Losses reported on Quarterly Closed Claim reports received during the reconciliation process are shown on **Line 19**.

Lines 20 and 21 indicate the amount of direct losses paid for each line of insurance as shown on the 2000 Annual Statement, Texas Statutory Page 14.

TEXAS CLOSED CLAIM RECONCILIATION FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2000

TOTALS FOR ALL COMPANIES 532 COMPANIES ON FILE

		General Liability	Commercial Auto	Commercial Multi-peril	Medical Professional	TOTAL
1.	Payments Included in Quarterly Closed Claim Reports from the ATL	\$147,326,499	\$314,621,393	\$101,699,841	\$284,712,926	\$848,360,659
2.	Payments reported on Annual (Summary) Closed Claim Report	\$21,458,022	\$83,027,513	\$15,185,839	\$1,463,702	\$121,135,076
3.	Total Closed Claim Payments Reported	\$168,784,521	\$397,648,906	\$116,885,680	\$286,176,628	\$969,495,735
		ADJUSTME	ENTS TO LINE	3		
4.	Property damage losses paid	\$423,221,141	\$240,594,306	\$57,343,377	\$20,734	\$721,179,558
5.	Other losses reported on <i>TX Statutory</i> Page 14 that did not entail bodily injury	\$270,598,009	\$38,321,089	\$23,785,895	\$8,733,302	\$341,438,295
6.	Payments on claims not closed in calendar year 2000	\$169,376,463	\$110,687,651	\$36,200,025	\$81,137,025	\$397,401,164
7.	Payments made prior to Jan. 1 on claims closed during the year 2000	(\$354,869,869)	(\$86,914,465)	(\$26,613,774)	(\$63,422,676)	(\$531,820,784)
8.	Excess coverage payments not reportable on Quarterly Closed Claim Reports	\$302,159,695	\$20,906,222	\$683,899	\$18,537,071	\$342,286,887
9.	Losses paid on claims not settled under Texas law	\$91,341,578	\$66,629,855	\$10,213,015	\$9,100,238	\$177,284,686
10.	Payments on claims reported on policies written in another state	(\$103,063,793)	(\$39,404,783)	(\$17,577,471)	(\$3,805,266)	(\$163,851,313)
11.	Payments of \$10,000 or less that were reported on Quarterly reports	(\$47,289)	(\$684,709)	(\$53,460)	\$0	(\$785,458)
12.	Reimbursements received	(\$7,518,904)	(\$15,516,238)	(\$1,972,203)	(\$1,730,384)	(\$26,737,729)
13.	Rounding and Statistical Adjustments	\$239,436	\$2,485,071	\$1,049,688	(\$1,182,037)	\$2,592,158
14.	Unusual Circumstances	\$15,448,057	\$0	\$755,463	\$0	\$16,203,520
15.	Unreconciled amounts	\$2,905,781	\$6,754,364	(\$46,632)	\$15,818,368	\$25,431,881
16.	Payments for claims on the Closed Claim Report of Unaccepted Transactions	\$4,766,807	\$4,375,760	\$2,630,164	\$4,734,000	\$16,506,731
17.	Closed Claim subtractions	(\$47,840,418)	(\$34,196,891)	(\$36,695,269)	(\$30,014,332)	(\$148,746,910)
18.	Closed Claim additions	\$21,451,913	\$31,624,590	\$27,293,088	\$53,006,332	\$133,375,923
19.	Late Quarterly Closed Claim Reports (Filed after July 2001)	\$15,189,729	\$45,689,971	\$11,330,850	\$15,482,133	\$87,692,683
20.	Sum of lines 3 through 19, (Must equal line 21)	\$972,142,857	\$789,000,699	\$205,212,335	\$392,591,136	\$2,358,947,027
21.	Annual Statement Texas Statutory Page 14, DIRECT LOSSES PAID	\$972,142,857	\$789,000,699	\$205,212,335	\$392,591,136	\$2,358,947,027

Additional Information

The data used for developing this report is available electronically upon request from the Department or can be downloaded from TDI's website.

Visit TDI's website at www.tdi.state.tx.us.

Submit written data requests to:

Texas Department of Insurance ATTN: Vicky Knox Data Services Division – MC 105-5D P.O. Box 149104 Austin, TX 78714-9104 (512) 475-1878

e-mail: Vicky.Knox@tdi.state.tx.us