

DRAFT 2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 1. Regional, Rural, and Urban Funding Amounts

The table below shows the allocation of funds to the 13 State Service Regions and the corresponding rural and urban/exurban distribution within each region.

Region	Place for Geographical Reference	Regional Funding Amount	Regional Funding %	Rural Funding Amount	Rural Funding %	Urban Funding Amount	Urban Funding %
1	Lubbock	\$65,423	3.3%	\$40,409	61.8%	\$25,014	38.2%
2	Abilene	\$41,153	2.1%	\$25,382	61.7%	\$15,771	38.3%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$466,541	23.3%	\$33,702	7.2%	\$432,839	92.8%
4	Tyler	\$103,918	5.2%	\$63,086	60.7%	\$40,832	39.3%
5	Beaumont	\$41,589	2.1%	\$26,499	63.7%	\$15,090	36.3%
6	Houston	\$419,744	21.0%	\$22,583	5.4%	\$397,161	94.6%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$86,120	4.3%	\$6,501	7.5%	\$79,618	92.5%
8	Waco	\$87,166	4.4%	\$22,401	25.7%	\$64,765	74.3%
9	San Antonio	\$140,698	7.0%	\$20,599	14.6%	\$120,099	85.4%
10	Corpus Christi	\$87,681	4.4%	\$37,011	42.2%	\$50,671	57.8%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$334,744	16.7%	\$103,583	30.9%	\$231,161	69.1%
12	San Angelo	\$45,381	2.3%	\$16,806	37.0%	\$28,575	63.0%
13	El Paso	\$79,843	4.0%	\$13,395	16.8%	\$66,447	83.2%
	Total	\$2,000,000	100.0%	\$431,958	21.6%	\$1,568,042	78.4%

DRAFT 2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 2. Regional Measures of Affordable Housing Need

Total RAF Funding: **\$ 2,000,000**

Region	Poverty			Rental Cost Burden			Overcrowding			Substandard Housing			Combined Need Measures	
	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount		
	50%		\$ 1,000,000	36%		\$ 720,000	12%		\$ 240,000	2%		\$ 40,000		
	# of Persons	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Region's Need Based %
1	111,390	4%	\$ 35,482	46,434	4%	\$ 26,249	10,129	2%	\$ 5,486	1,606	3%	\$ 1,091	\$ 68,308	3.4%
2	66,595	2%	\$ 21,213	28,904	2%	\$ 16,339	4,186	1%	\$ 2,267	1,114	2%	\$ 756	\$ 40,576	2.0%
3	597,641	19%	\$ 190,372	352,544	28%	\$ 199,290	114,152	26%	\$ 61,831	10,857	18%	\$ 7,373	\$ 458,866	22.9%
4	140,760	4%	\$ 44,838	59,830	5%	\$ 33,821	10,302	2%	\$ 5,580	2,981	5%	\$ 2,024	\$ 86,264	4.3%
5	107,638	3%	\$ 34,287	42,007	3%	\$ 23,746	7,660	2%	\$ 4,149	2,045	3%	\$ 1,389	\$ 63,571	3.2%
6	664,792	21%	\$ 211,763	285,161	22%	\$ 161,199	125,032	28%	\$ 67,724	11,199	19%	\$ 7,605	\$ 448,291	22.4%
7	159,707	5%	\$ 50,873	114,292	9%	\$ 64,608	25,044	6%	\$ 13,565	3,816	6%	\$ 2,591	\$ 131,638	6.6%
8	140,291	4%	\$ 44,688	63,586	5%	\$ 35,945	11,899	3%	\$ 6,445	2,278	4%	\$ 1,547	\$ 88,625	4.4%
9	270,157	9%	\$ 86,056	108,344	9%	\$ 61,246	34,577	8%	\$ 18,729	4,409	7%	\$ 2,994	\$ 169,025	8.5%
10	119,603	4%	\$ 38,098	39,000	3%	\$ 22,047	11,552	3%	\$ 6,257	2,179	4%	\$ 1,480	\$ 67,882	3.4%
11	520,737	17%	\$ 165,875	67,171	5%	\$ 37,971	63,485	14%	\$ 34,387	12,453	21%	\$ 8,457	\$ 246,691	12.3%
12	72,054	2%	\$ 22,952	25,585	2%	\$ 14,463	6,705	2%	\$ 3,632	1,326	2%	\$ 900	\$ 41,947	2.1%
13	167,963	5%	\$ 53,503	40,821	3%	\$ 23,076	18,364	4%	\$ 9,947	2,639	4%	\$ 1,792	\$ 88,318	4.4%
Ttl.	3,139,327	100%	\$ 1,000,000	1,273,677	100%	\$ 720,000	443,088	100%	\$ 240,000	58,902	100%	\$ 40,000	\$ 2,000,000	100%

DRAFT 2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 3. Regional Distribution of Available Housing Resources

Region	HTF	HOPWA	PHA Capital	S8	USDA MF	USDA RA	HTC	MF Bond	HTC w/ Bond	USDA SF	SF Bond	HOME	Region's Resource Total	Region's Resource %
1	\$ -	\$ 203,771	\$ 2,505,750	\$ 20,355,546	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,585,001	\$ 3,583,464	\$ 9,300,000	\$ 9,468,848	\$ 227,336	\$ 21,558,549	\$ 1,601,203	\$ 74,389,468	3.6%
2	\$ -	\$ 41,429	\$ 5,639,721	\$ 6,398,754	\$ -	\$ 2,950,715	\$ 8,945,144	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,371,551	\$ 14,446,440	\$ 1,838,173	\$ 41,631,927	2.0%
3	\$ 1,062,814	\$ 4,205,197	\$ 13,063,757	\$ 219,837,690	\$ -	\$ 5,932,275	\$ 80,726,528	\$ 12,400,000	\$ 9,536,448	\$ 5,861,417	\$ 100,869,453	\$ 15,791,270	\$ 469,286,849	22.5%
4	\$ -	\$ 367,973	\$ 4,527,081	\$ 21,487,284	\$ 4,360,538	\$ 4,161,842	\$ 21,033,264	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,290,595	\$ 1,345,502	\$ 6,151,848	\$ 66,725,927	3.2%
5	\$ 552,681	\$ 229,466	\$ 4,792,521	\$ 35,665,248	\$ 250,000	\$ 2,542,516	\$ 22,344,408	\$ 9,734,000	\$ 6,444,520	\$ 2,256,954	\$ 16,866,853	\$ 2,093,483	\$ 103,772,650	5.0%
6	\$ 434,416	\$ 4,691,589	\$ 16,601,830	\$ 122,551,444	\$ -	\$ 4,212,513	\$ 98,000,912	\$ 25,358,000	\$ 29,986,648	\$ 4,370,279	\$ 179,738,614	\$ 12,296,216	\$ 498,242,461	23.8%
7	\$ 249,996	\$ 899,508	\$ 5,381,623	\$ 62,008,329	\$ 4,461,735	\$ 1,505,177	\$ 19,477,328	\$ -	\$ 3,904,336	\$ 5,680,315	\$ 91,653,487	\$ 14,078,358	\$ 209,300,192	10.0%
8	\$ -	\$ 166,808	\$ 5,968,323	\$ 30,577,725	\$ 1,226,905	\$ 4,177,823	\$ 18,038,104	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,377,601	\$ 25,500,087	\$ 6,093,657	\$ 94,127,033	4.5%
9	\$ 500,000	\$ 830,032	\$ 12,857,867	\$ 88,584,715	\$ -	\$ 1,308,146	\$ 28,527,736	\$ 20,770,000	\$ 4,813,896	\$ 1,590,537	\$ 39,533,178	\$ 6,895,364	\$ 206,211,471	9.9%
10	\$ -	\$ 307,453	\$ 6,766,778	\$ 18,750,719	\$ -	\$ 2,193,485	\$ 9,118,504	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,068,381	\$ 3,806,180	\$ 1,662,359	\$ 44,673,859	2.1%
11	\$ 750,000	\$ 462,427	\$ 11,207,125	\$ 54,686,572	\$ -	\$ 3,939,582	\$ 32,985,296	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,461,205	\$ 16,545,549	\$ 10,964,375	\$ 141,002,131	6.7%
12	\$ -	\$ 159,040	\$ 1,691,670	\$ 13,267,139	\$ 1,043,171	\$ 1,075,347	\$ 15,724,840	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 430,537	\$ 5,242,885	\$ 641,206	\$ 39,275,835	1.9%
13	\$ 250,000	\$ 353,988	\$ 10,318,147	\$ 28,453,843	\$ -	\$ 488,395	\$ 23,631,016	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,440,144	\$ 32,724,393	\$ 1,477,448	\$ 101,137,374	4.8%
Total	\$ 3,799,907	\$ 12,918,681	\$ 101,322,193	\$ 722,625,008	\$ 14,342,349	\$ 37,072,817	\$ 382,136,544	\$ 77,562,000	\$ 64,154,696	\$ 42,426,852	\$ 549,831,169	\$ 81,584,960	\$ 2,089,777,177	100%
% of Total Resources	0.2%	0.6%	4.8%	34.6%	0.7%	1.8%	18.3%	3.7%	3.1%	2.0%	26.3%	3.9%		

% of Resources not Regionally Allocated:

34.6%

DRAFT 2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 4. Regional Available Housing Resources Funding Adjustment

Region	Difference between Resources and Need			Over Allocated Regional Resource Differences						Under Allocated Regional Resource Differences		
	Region's Resource %	Region's Need Based %	Resource Difference	Over Allocated Resource Differences	Over Allocation %	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment	Under Allocated Resource Differences	Under Allocation %	Base Resource Funding Adjustment
1	3.6%	3.4%	0.1%	0.1%	1.7%	(2,886)	68,308	(23,620)	(2,886)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
2	2.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	40,576	-	-	0.0%	-0.4%	\$ 577
3	22.5%	22.9%	-0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	-	458,866	-	-	-0.5%	-5.6%	\$ 7,675
4	3.2%	4.3%	-1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	-	86,264	-	-	-1.1%	-12.9%	\$ 17,654
5	5.0%	3.2%	1.8%	1.8%	20.5%	(35,743)	63,571	(21,982)	(21,982)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
6	23.8%	22.4%	1.4%	1.4%	16.4%	(28,547)	448,291	(155,011)	(28,547)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
7	10.0%	6.6%	3.4%	3.4%	39.4%	(68,671)	131,638	(45,518)	(45,518)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
8	4.5%	4.4%	0.1%	0.1%	0.8%	(1,459)	88,625	(30,645)	(1,459)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
9	9.9%	8.5%	1.4%	1.4%	16.3%	(28,327)	169,025	(58,446)	(28,327)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
10	2.1%	3.4%	-1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	-	67,882	-	-	-1.3%	-14.4%	\$ 19,800
11	6.7%	12.3%	-5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	-	246,691	-	-	-5.6%	-64.2%	\$ 88,054
12	1.9%	2.1%	-0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	-	41,947	-	-	-0.2%	-2.5%	\$ 3,434
13	4.8%	4.4%	0.4%	0.4%	4.9%	(8,475)	88,318	(30,539)	(8,475)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
Ttl.	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	8.7%	100.0%	(174,108)	2,000,000	(365,760)	(137,194)	-8.7%	-100.0%	\$ 137,194

State Resource Difference: 8.7%

Total RAF Funding: 2,000,000

State Over Allocated Resource Amount: (174,108)

Regional Resource Funding Adjustment Limit: 34.6%

State Under Allocated Resource Amount



DRAFT 2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 5. Regional Funding Amounts

Region	Place for Geographic Reference	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Region's Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Funding Amount	Region's Funding Percentage
1	Lubbock	\$ 68,308	\$ (2,886)	\$ 65,423	3.3%
2	Abilene	\$ 40,576	\$ 577	\$ 41,153	2.1%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$ 458,866	\$ 7,675	\$ 466,541	23.3%
4	Tyler	\$ 86,264	\$ 17,654	\$ 103,918	5.2%
5	Beaumont	\$ 63,571	\$ (21,982)	\$ 41,589	2.1%
6	Houston	\$ 448,291	\$ (28,547)	\$ 419,744	21.0%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$ 131,638	\$ (45,518)	\$ 86,120	4.3%
8	Waco	\$ 88,625	\$ (1,459)	\$ 87,166	4.4%
9	San Antonio	\$ 169,025	\$ (28,327)	\$ 140,698	7.0%
10	Corpus Christi	\$ 67,882	\$ 19,800	\$ 87,681	4.4%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$ 246,691	\$ 88,054	\$ 334,744	16.7%
12	San Angelo	\$ 41,947	\$ 3,434	\$ 45,381	2.3%
13	El Paso	\$ 88,318	\$ (8,475)	\$ 79,843	4.0%
Ttl.		\$ 2,000,000	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000	100%

DRAFT 2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 6. Measuring Affordable Housing Need in Rural and Urban/Exurban Places

Poverty Measure

Measure Weight: 50%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Persons	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Persons	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 65,423	\$ 32,711	41,503	42%	\$ 13,822	5.4108845679	58%	\$ 18,889
2	\$ 41,153	\$ 20,576	30,027	53%	\$ 10,828	3.7260104011	47%	\$ 9,749
3	\$ 466,541	\$ 233,271	52,069	9%	\$ 21,671	95.489353834	91%	\$ 211,599
4	\$ 103,918	\$ 51,959	51,734	61%	\$ 31,469	6.2196611659	39%	\$ 20,490
5	\$ 41,589	\$ 20,795	39,783	54%	\$ 11,228	9.0081667449	46%	\$ 9,567
6	\$ 419,744	\$ 209,872	45,883	8%	\$ 17,813	20.882392375	92%	\$ 192,059
7	\$ 86,120	\$ 43,060	16,309	12%	\$ 5,230	78.828917297	88%	\$ 37,830
8	\$ 87,166	\$ 43,583	26,789	22%	\$ 9,707	2.6145655257	78%	\$ 33,876
9	\$ 140,698	\$ 70,349	28,919	12%	\$ 8,612	09.145589158	88%	\$ 61,737
10	\$ 87,681	\$ 43,841	47,984	47%	\$ 20,438	46.120323748	53%	\$ 23,403
11	\$ 334,744	\$ 167,372	153,405	35%	\$ 58,048	14.347721017	65%	\$ 109,324
12	\$ 45,381	\$ 22,690	21,395	35%	\$ 7,985	99.903490231	65%	\$ 14,705
13	\$ 79,843	\$ 39,921	17,189	11%	\$ 4,280	38.096223965	89%	\$ 35,641
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	572,989	100%	\$ 221,130	0	0%	\$ 778,870

Cost Burden Measure

Measure Weight: 36%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 65,423	\$ 23,552	13,489	32%	\$ 7,576	3.2030785704	68%	\$ 15,976
2	\$ 41,153	\$ 14,815	11,471	47%	\$ 6,893	2.4579329625	53%	\$ 7,922
3	\$ 466,541	\$ 167,955	28,145	9%	\$ 14,487	61.130925341	91%	\$ 153,468
4	\$ 103,918	\$ 37,410	21,362	58%	\$ 21,753	5.9764861419	42%	\$ 15,657
5	\$ 41,589	\$ 14,972	15,795	55%	\$ 8,187	8.6372688384	45%	\$ 6,785
6	\$ 419,744	\$ 151,108	18,730	9%	\$ 12,981	07.255865867	91%	\$ 138,127
7	\$ 86,120	\$ 31,003	10,212	10%	\$ 3,217	7.1394880501	90%	\$ 27,786
8	\$ 87,166	\$ 31,380	10,180	19%	\$ 5,898	8.6307382814	81%	\$ 25,482
9	\$ 140,698	\$ 50,651	12,002	13%	\$ 6,439	7.1385828286	87%	\$ 44,212
10	\$ 87,681	\$ 31,565	11,751	34%	\$ 10,835	2.3089608315	66%	\$ 20,730
11	\$ 334,744	\$ 120,508	16,949	28%	\$ 34,141	6.4693458365	72%	\$ 86,367
12	\$ 45,381	\$ 16,337	6,016	27%	\$ 4,437	34.259236727	73%	\$ 11,900
13	\$ 79,843	\$ 28,743	2,937	7%	\$ 2,151	4.7880546267	93%	\$ 26,592
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 720,000	179,038	100%	\$ 138,997	0	0%	\$ 581,003

DRAFT 2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Over Crowding Measure

Measure Weight: 12%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 65,423	\$ 7,851	4,212	47%	\$ 3,655	47176797885	53%	\$ 4,196
2	\$ 41,153	\$ 4,938	1,763	48%	\$ 2,390	13299949018	52%	\$ 2,548
3	\$ 466,541	\$ 55,985	5,811	5%	\$ 2,976	95.498560005	95%	\$ 53,009
4	\$ 103,918	\$ 12,470	3,748	58%	\$ 7,194	8.7049000793	42%	\$ 5,276
5	\$ 41,589	\$ 4,991	2,673	50%	\$ 2,493	07010951818	50%	\$ 2,497
6	\$ 419,744	\$ 50,369	6,148	6%	\$ 2,967	3.1473722887	94%	\$ 47,402
7	\$ 86,120	\$ 10,334	2,440	11%	\$ 1,187	7.7058797905	89%	\$ 9,147
8	\$ 87,166	\$ 10,460	2,274	22%	\$ 2,345	99233126776	78%	\$ 8,114
9	\$ 140,698	\$ 16,884	2,879	9%	\$ 1,577	50.581843053	91%	\$ 15,307
10	\$ 87,681	\$ 10,522	4,064	41%	\$ 4,263	90351376184	59%	\$ 6,258
11	\$ 334,744	\$ 40,169	17,069	31%	\$ 12,636	3.4932092394	69%	\$ 27,534
12	\$ 45,381	\$ 5,446	1,873	33%	\$ 1,793	58856143118	67%	\$ 3,653
13	\$ 79,843	\$ 9,581	1,749	10%	\$ 955	4.1590125589	90%	\$ 8,626
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 240,000	56,704	100%	\$ 46,433	0	0%	\$ 193,567

Substandard Measure

Measure Weight: 2%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 65,423	\$ 1,308	543	40%	\$ 517	34266180733	60%	\$ 792
2	\$ 41,153	\$ 823	456	53%	\$ 434	09762968262	47%	\$ 389
3	\$ 466,541	\$ 9,331	1,169	12%	\$ 1,120	57740365318	88%	\$ 8,211
4	\$ 103,918	\$ 2,078	850	58%	\$ 1,198	58033272761	42%	\$ 880
5	\$ 41,589	\$ 832	567	52%	\$ 435	83063251728	48%	\$ 397
6	\$ 419,744	\$ 8,395	796	9%	\$ 759	16938873081	91%	\$ 7,636
7	\$ 86,120	\$ 1,722	513	18%	\$ 303	80143133175	82%	\$ 1,419
8	\$ 87,166	\$ 1,743	456	32%	\$ 562	83547753384	68%	\$ 1,182
9	\$ 140,698	\$ 2,814	577	18%	\$ 498	16004461195	82%	\$ 2,316
10	\$ 87,681	\$ 1,754	802	51%	\$ 892	99342295274	49%	\$ 861
11	\$ 334,744	\$ 6,695	4,867	50%	\$ 3,363	54552279934	50%	\$ 3,332
12	\$ 45,381	\$ 908	289	28%	\$ 256	12145098581	72%	\$ 652
13	\$ 79,843	\$ 1,597	513	22%	\$ 351	69902817386	78%	\$ 1,246
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 40,000	12,398	100%	\$ 10,687	0	0%	\$ 29,313

DRAFT 2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Overall Measure of Affordable Housing Need

Region	Region's Funding Amount				Rural Need Based Funding Amount	Rural Need Based %		Urban Need Based Funding Amount	Urban Need Based %
1	\$ 65,423				\$ 25,571	39.1%		\$ 39,852	60.9%
2	\$ 41,153				\$ 20,545	49.9%		\$ 20,607	50.1%
3	\$ 466,541				\$ 40,255	8.6%		\$ 426,287	91.4%
4	\$ 103,918				\$ 61,614	59.3%		\$ 42,304	40.7%
5	\$ 41,589				\$ 22,343	53.7%		\$ 19,246	46.3%
6	\$ 419,744				\$ 34,519	8.2%		\$ 385,225	91.8%
7	\$ 86,120				\$ 9,938	11.5%		\$ 76,182	88.5%
8	\$ 87,166				\$ 18,512	21.2%		\$ 68,654	78.8%
9	\$ 140,698				\$ 17,126	12.2%		\$ 123,572	87.8%
10	\$ 87,681				\$ 36,429	41.5%		\$ 51,253	58.5%
11	\$ 334,744				\$ 108,187	32.3%		\$ 226,557	67.7%
12	\$ 45,381				\$ 14,471	31.9%		\$ 30,910	68.1%
13	\$ 79,843				\$ 7,737	9.7%		\$ 72,106	90.3%
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000				\$ 417,246	20.9%		\$ 1,582,754	79.1%

DRAFT 2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 7. Measuring Available Housing Resources in Rural and Urban Places

Region	Reg All Res Rur	Reg All Res Urb	Reg All Res	Rural Resource %	Urban Resource %
1	\$ 12,193,173	\$ 62,136,421	\$ 74,329,594	16.4%	83.6%
2	\$ 15,854,945	\$ 25,680,915	\$ 41,535,860	38.2%	61.8%
3	\$ 46,946,252	\$ 420,979,672	\$ 467,925,923	10.0%	90.0%
4	\$ 38,139,238	\$ 27,761,519	\$ 65,900,757	57.9%	42.1%
5	\$ 44,975,612	\$ 57,871,027	\$ 102,846,639	43.7%	56.3%
6	\$ 73,060,615	\$ 414,356,559	\$ 487,417,174	15.0%	85.0%
7	\$ 41,160,915	\$ 163,195,642	\$ 204,356,558	20.1%	79.9%
8	\$ 15,718,852	\$ 77,974,797	\$ 93,693,648	16.8%	83.2%
9	\$ 20,001,633	\$ 186,129,395	\$ 206,131,029	9.7%	90.3%
10	\$ 18,209,268	\$ 26,331,013	\$ 44,540,282	40.9%	59.1%
11	\$ 47,413,980	\$ 93,301,793	\$ 140,715,773	33.7%	66.3%
12	\$ 10,478,862	\$ 28,703,277	\$ 39,182,139	26.7%	73.3%
13	\$ 2,630,775	\$ 98,424,760	\$ 101,055,535	2.6%	97.4%
Ttl.	\$ 386,784,120	\$ 1,682,846,791	\$ 2,069,630,911	18.7%	81.3%

DRAFT 2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 8. Rural and Urban Resource Adjustment

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Rural Areas							Urban Areas						
		Area's Resource %	Area's Need%	Area's Resource Difference	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Resource %	Area's Need%	Area's Resource Difference	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment
1	\$ 65,423	16.4%	39.1%	-22.7%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	83.6%	60.9%	22.7%	\$ (14,838)	\$ 39,852	\$ (24,276)	\$ (14,838)
2	\$ 41,153	38.2%	49.9%	-11.8%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	61.8%	50.1%	11.8%	\$ (4,837)	\$ 20,607	\$ (10,319)	\$ (4,837)
3	\$ 466,541	10.0%	8.6%	1.4%	\$ (6,553)	\$ 40,255	\$ (13,919)	\$ (6,553)	90.0%	91.4%	-1.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	\$ 103,918	57.9%	59.3%	-1.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	42.1%	40.7%	1.4%	\$ (1,473)	\$ 42,304	\$ (17,222)	\$ (1,473)
5	\$ 41,589	43.7%	53.7%	-10.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	56.3%	46.3%	10.0%	\$ (4,156)	\$ 19,246	\$ (8,906)	\$ (4,156)
6	\$ 419,744	15.0%	8.2%	6.8%	\$ (28,398)	\$ 34,519	\$ (11,936)	\$ (11,936)	85.0%	91.8%	-6.8%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7	\$ 86,120	20.1%	11.5%	8.6%	\$ (7,408)	\$ 9,938	\$ (3,436)	\$ (3,436)	79.9%	88.5%	-8.6%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	\$ 87,166	16.8%	21.2%	-4.5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	83.2%	78.8%	4.5%	\$ (3,888)	\$ 68,654	\$ (54,073)	\$ (3,888)
9	\$ 140,698	9.7%	12.2%	-2.5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	90.3%	87.8%	2.5%	\$ (3,473)	\$ 123,572	\$ (108,531)	\$ (3,473)
10	\$ 87,681	40.9%	41.5%	-0.7%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	59.1%	58.5%	0.7%	\$ (582)	\$ 51,253	\$ (29,959)	\$ (582)
11	\$ 334,744	33.7%	32.3%	1.4%	\$ (4,604)	\$ 108,187	\$ (37,409)	\$ (4,604)	66.3%	67.7%	-1.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	\$ 45,381	26.7%	31.9%	-5.1%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	73.3%	68.1%	5.1%	\$ (2,335)	\$ 30,910	\$ (21,053)	\$ (2,335)
13	\$ 79,843	2.6%	9.7%	-7.1%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	97.4%	90.3%	7.1%	\$ (5,658)	\$ 72,106	\$ (65,118)	\$ (5,658)
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000	18.7%	20.9%	-2.2%	(46,963)	192,899	(66,701)	(26,529)	81.3%	79.1%	2.2%	(41,241)	\$ 468,503	\$ (339,457)	(41,241)

Resource Funding Adjustment Limit:

DRAFT 2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 9. Rural and Urban Area Funding Amount

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Rural Areas					Urban Areas				
		Area's Need %	Area's Need Based Funding Amount	Area's Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Rural	Area's Need %	Area's Need Based Funding Amount	Area's Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Urban
1	\$ 65,423	39.1%	25,571	\$ 14,838	\$ 40,409	61.8%	60.9%	\$ 39,852	\$ (14,838)	\$ 25,014	38.2%
2	\$ 41,153	49.9%	20,545	\$ 4,837	\$ 25,382	61.7%	50.1%	\$ 20,607	\$ (4,837)	\$ 15,771	38.3%
3	\$ 466,541	8.6%	40,255	\$ (6,553)	\$ 33,702	7.2%	91.4%	\$ 426,287	\$ 6,553	\$ 432,839	92.8%
4	\$ 103,918	59.3%	61,614	\$ 1,473	\$ 63,086	60.7%	40.7%	\$ 42,304	\$ (1,473)	\$ 40,832	39.3%
5	\$ 41,589	53.7%	22,343	\$ 4,156	\$ 26,499	63.7%	46.3%	\$ 19,246	\$ (4,156)	\$ 15,090	36.3%
6	\$ 419,744	8.2%	34,519	\$ (11,936)	\$ 22,583	5.4%	91.8%	\$ 385,225	\$ 11,936	\$ 397,161	94.6%
7	\$ 86,120	11.5%	9,938	\$ (3,436)	\$ 6,501	7.5%	88.5%	\$ 76,182	\$ 3,436	\$ 79,618	92.5%
8	\$ 87,166	21.2%	18,512	\$ 3,888	\$ 22,401	25.7%	78.8%	\$ 68,654	\$ (3,888)	\$ 64,765	74.3%
9	\$ 140,698	12.2%	17,126	\$ 3,473	\$ 20,599	14.6%	87.8%	\$ 123,572	\$ (3,473)	\$ 120,099	85.4%
10	\$ 87,681	41.5%	36,429	\$ 582	\$ 37,011	42.2%	58.5%	\$ 51,253	\$ (582)	\$ 50,671	57.8%
11	\$ 334,744	32.3%	108,187	\$ (4,604)	\$ 103,583	30.9%	67.7%	\$ 226,557	\$ 4,604	\$ 231,161	69.1%
12	\$ 45,381	31.9%	14,471	\$ 2,335	\$ 16,806	37.0%	68.1%	\$ 30,910	\$ (2,335)	\$ 28,575	63.0%
13	\$ 79,843	9.7%	7,737	\$ 5,658	\$ 13,395	16.8%	90.3%	\$ 72,106	\$ (5,658)	\$ 66,447	83.2%
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000	20.9%	417,246	\$ 14,711	\$ 431,958	21.6%	79.1%	\$ 1,582,754	\$ (14,711)	\$ 1,568,042	78.4%