

DRAFT 2010 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Table 1. Regional, Rural, and Urban Funding Amounts

The table below shows the allocation of funds to the 13 State Service Regions and the corresponding rural and urban/exurban distribution within each region.

Region	Place for Geographical Reference	Regional Funding Amount	Regional Funding %	Rural Funding Amount	Rural Funding %	Urban Funding Amount	Urban Funding %
1	Lubbock	\$1,854,383	5.3%	\$1,853,972	100.0%	\$411	0.0%
2	Abilene	\$1,247,828	3.6%	\$1,214,720	97.3%	\$33,109	2.7%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$6,814,244	19.6%	\$1,915,196	28.1%	\$4,899,047	71.9%
4	Tyler	\$3,802,970	11.0%	\$3,276,048	86.1%	\$526,922	13.9%
5	Beaumont	\$1,770,728	5.1%	\$1,628,770	92.0%	\$141,958	8.0%
6	Houston	\$2,559,265	7.4%	\$895,649	35.0%	\$1,663,616	65.0%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$1,853,763	5.3%	\$750,011	40.5%	\$1,103,753	59.5%
8	Waco	\$1,081,731	3.1%	\$754,371	69.7%	\$327,361	30.3%
9	San Antonio	\$1,835,643	5.3%	\$1,160,118	63.2%	\$675,525	36.8%
10	Corpus Christi	\$2,324,321	6.7%	\$1,613,993	69.4%	\$710,327	30.6%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$6,938,992	20.0%	\$3,866,869	55.7%	\$3,072,124	44.3%
12	San Angelo	\$1,480,517	4.3%	\$651,394	44.0%	\$829,123	56.0%
13	El Paso	\$1,135,614	3.3%	\$838,439	73.8%	\$297,175	26.2%
	Total	\$34,700,000	100.0%	\$20,419,551	58.8%	\$14,280,449	41.2%

DRAFT 2010 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Table 2. Regional Measures of Affordable Housing Need

Total RAF Funding: **\$ 34,700,000**

Region	Poverty			Rental Cost Burden			Overcrowding			Substandard Housing			Combined Need Measures	
	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount		
	50%		\$ 17,350,000	36%		\$ 12,492,000	12%		\$ 4,164,000	2%		\$ 694,000		
	# of Persons	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Region's Need Based %
1	54,675	5%	\$ 848,415	17,995	4%	\$ 509,733	5,294	4%	\$ 186,856	775	3%	\$ 20,694	\$ 1,565,698	4.5%
2	39,975	4%	\$ 620,306	15,886	4%	\$ 449,983	2,324	2%	\$ 82,049	715	3%	\$ 19,109	\$ 1,171,447	3.4%
3	154,089	14%	\$ 2,391,058	115,121	26%	\$ 3,260,918	20,607	17%	\$ 727,395	3,368	13%	\$ 89,983	\$ 6,469,354	18.6%
4	115,834	10%	\$ 1,797,444	47,765	11%	\$ 1,352,993	8,059	7%	\$ 284,484	2,457	9%	\$ 65,636	\$ 3,500,558	10.1%
5	61,822	6%	\$ 959,324	24,363	6%	\$ 690,100	4,150	4%	\$ 146,481	1,331	5%	\$ 35,566	\$ 1,831,471	5.3%
6	107,332	10%	\$ 1,665,523	52,246	12%	\$ 1,479,911	14,336	12%	\$ 506,038	2,226	9%	\$ 59,468	\$ 3,710,940	10.7%
7	63,370	6%	\$ 983,336	47,681	11%	\$ 1,350,619	8,768	7%	\$ 309,512	1,665	6%	\$ 44,493	\$ 2,687,961	7.7%
8	48,707	4%	\$ 755,801	22,207	5%	\$ 629,042	4,491	4%	\$ 158,527	941	4%	\$ 25,143	\$ 1,568,513	4.5%
9	52,599	5%	\$ 816,198	23,780	5%	\$ 673,594	5,244	4%	\$ 185,099	1,407	5%	\$ 37,577	\$ 1,712,467	4.9%
10	74,994	7%	\$ 1,163,717	21,647	5%	\$ 613,177	6,631	6%	\$ 234,062	1,618	6%	\$ 43,214	\$ 2,054,169	5.9%
11	253,947	23%	\$ 3,940,600	30,026	7%	\$ 850,509	29,053	25%	\$ 1,025,526	7,254	28%	\$ 193,789	\$ 6,010,423	17.3%
12	46,452	4%	\$ 720,822	15,313	3%	\$ 433,742	4,345	4%	\$ 153,361	845	3%	\$ 22,584	\$ 1,330,509	3.8%
13	44,302	4%	\$ 687,456	6,979	2%	\$ 197,680	4,663	4%	\$ 164,610	1,375	5%	\$ 36,745	\$ 1,086,490	3.1%
Ttl.	1,118,098	100%	\$ 17,350,000	441,010	100%	\$ 12,492,000	117,966	100%	\$ 4,164,000	25,977	100%	\$ 694,000	\$ 34,700,000	100%

DRAFT 2010 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Table 3. Regional Distribution of Available Housing Resources

Region	HTF	HOPWA	PHA Capital	S8	USDA MF	USDA RA	HTC	MF Bond	HTC w/ Bond	USDA SF	SF Bond	HOME	Region's Resource Total	Region's Resource %
1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,587,023	\$ 3,458,251	\$ -	\$ 2,543,195	\$ 1,349,160	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 227,336	\$ 3,019,228	\$ 166,761	\$ 12,350,954	2.1%
2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,290,686	\$ 2,500,796	\$ -	\$ 2,854,648	\$ 743,008	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,371,551	\$ 3,586,937	\$ 801,400	\$ 16,149,026	2.7%
3	\$ 402,100	\$ 160,837	\$ 3,731,835	\$ 29,008,279	\$ -	\$ 4,168,314	\$ 22,725,864	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,920,607	\$ 22,343,889	\$ 5,528,179	\$ 92,989,904	15.8%
4	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,527,081	\$ 12,881,158	\$ 4,360,538	\$ 3,661,520	\$ 8,760,032	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,290,595	\$ 972,722	\$ 6,133,483	\$ 44,587,129	7.6%
5	\$ 178,321	\$ 125,082	\$ 2,548,623	\$ 13,732,364	\$ 250,000	\$ 2,229,142	\$ 3,658,072	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,874,296	\$ 6,286,303	\$ 1,307,770	\$ 32,189,973	5.5%
6	\$ 69,156	\$ -	\$ 3,264,207	\$ 14,777,096	\$ -	\$ 2,746,202	\$ 31,307,984	\$ 8,060,000	\$ 6,709,496	\$ 1,011,249	\$ 40,933,688	\$ 2,314,169	\$ 111,193,247	18.8%
7	\$ 208,330	\$ 22,295	\$ 2,290,807	\$ 5,246,083	\$ 4,461,735	\$ 1,492,725	\$ 19,123,400	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,680,315	\$ 55,596,448	\$ 9,091,968	\$ 103,214,106	17.5%
8	\$ -	\$ 32,521	\$ 2,770,075	\$ 11,448,320	\$ 1,226,905	\$ 3,030,862	\$ 9,381,776	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,504,664	\$ 12,306,539	\$ 3,752,420	\$ 45,454,082	7.7%
9	\$ 250,000	\$ -	\$ 1,296,434	\$ 5,078,661	\$ -	\$ 1,219,147	\$ 7,319,992	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,452,037	\$ 2,430,817	\$ 3,990,230	\$ 23,037,318	3.9%
10	\$ -	\$ 50,231	\$ 3,990,924	\$ 10,977,377	\$ -	\$ 2,193,485	\$ 377,560	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,068,381	\$ 909,237	\$ 1,010,567	\$ 21,577,762	3.7%
11	\$ 750,000	\$ 23,292	\$ 5,326,479	\$ 17,010,473	\$ -	\$ 1,948,189	\$ 16,737,104	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,500,245	\$ 4,987,938	\$ 4,017,953	\$ 56,301,673	9.5%
12	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,327,195	\$ 4,909,521	\$ 1,043,171	\$ 981,651	\$ 6,227,264	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 430,537	\$ 105,274	\$ 187,200	\$ 15,211,813	2.6%
13	\$ 250,000	\$ -	\$ 272,710	\$ 3,453,821	\$ -	\$ 288,641	\$ 6,563,488	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,440,144	\$ 1,087,021	\$ 696,600	\$ 16,052,425	2.7%
Total	\$ 2,107,907	\$ 414,258	\$ 37,224,079	\$ 134,482,200	\$ 11,342,349	\$ 29,357,721	\$ 134,274,704	\$ 8,060,000	\$ 6,709,496	\$ 32,771,957	\$ 154,566,041	\$ 38,998,700	\$ 590,309,412	100%
% of Total Resources	0.4%	0.1%	6.3%	22.8%	1.9%	5.0%	22.7%	1.4%	1.1%	5.6%	26.2%	6.6%		

% of Resources not Regionally Allocated: 31.0%

DRAFT 2010 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Table 4. Regional Available Housing Resources Funding Adjustment

Region	Difference between Resources and Need			Over Allocated Regional Resource Differences						Under Allocated Regional Resource Differences				
	Region's Resource %	Region's Need Based %	Resource Difference	Over Allocated Resource Differences	Over Allocation %	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment	Under Allocated Resource Differences	Under Allocation %	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment
1	2.1%	4.5%	-2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	-	1,565,698	-	-	-2%	-11%	288,685	\$ 485,908	\$ 288,685
2	2.7%	3.4%	-0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	-	1,171,447	-	-	-1%	-3%	76,381	\$ 363,554	\$ 76,381
3	15.8%	18.6%	-2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	-	6,469,354	-	-	-3%	-14%	344,889	\$ 2,007,737	\$ 344,889
4	7.6%	10.1%	-2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	-	3,500,558	-	-	-3%	-12%	302,413	\$ 1,086,383	\$ 302,413
5	5.5%	5.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.8%	(60,743)	1,831,471	(568,389)	(60,743)	0%	0%	-	\$ -	\$ -
6	18.8%	10.7%	8.1%	8.1%	38.3%	(2,825,303)	3,710,940	(1,151,675)	(1,151,675)	0%	0%	-	\$ -	\$ -
7	17.5%	7.7%	9.7%	9.7%	45.9%	(3,379,246)	2,687,961	(834,197)	(834,197)	0%	0%	-	\$ -	\$ -
8	7.7%	4.5%	3.2%	3.2%	15.0%	(1,103,403)	1,568,513	(486,781)	(486,781)	0%	0%	-	\$ -	\$ -
9	3.9%	4.9%	-1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	1,712,467	-	-	-1%	-5%	123,175	\$ 531,457	\$ 123,175
10	3.7%	5.9%	-2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	-	2,054,169	-	-	-2%	-11%	270,152	\$ 637,503	\$ 270,152
11	9.5%	17.3%	-7.8%	0.0%	0.0%	-	6,010,423	-	-	-8%	-37%	928,569	\$ 1,865,310	\$ 928,569
12	2.6%	3.8%	-1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	-	1,330,509	-	-	-1%	-6%	150,008	\$ 412,918	\$ 150,008
13	2.7%	3.1%	-0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	-	1,086,490	-	-	0%	-2%	49,124	\$ 337,188	\$ 49,124
Ttl.	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	21.2%	100.0%	(7,368,695)	34,700,000	(3,041,043)	(2,533,396)	-21%	-100%	2,533,396	\$ 10,768,999	\$ 2,533,396

State Resource Difference: 21.2%
 Total RAF Funding: 34,700,000
 State Over Allocated Resource Amount: (7,368,695)
 Regional Resource Funding Adjustment Limit: 31.0%

State Under Allocated Resource Amount



DRAFT 2010 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Table 5. Regional Funding Amounts

Region	Place for Geographic Reference	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Region's Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Funding Amount	Region's Funding Percentage
1	Lubbock	\$ 1,565,698	\$ 288,685	\$ 1,854,383	5.3%
2	Abilene	\$ 1,171,447	\$ 76,381	\$ 1,247,828	3.6%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$ 6,469,354	\$ 344,889	\$ 6,814,244	19.6%
4	Tyler	\$ 3,500,558	\$ 302,413	\$ 3,802,970	11.0%
5	Beaumont	\$ 1,831,471	\$ (60,743)	\$ 1,770,728	5.1%
6	Houston	\$ 3,710,940	\$ (1,151,675)	\$ 2,559,265	7.4%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$ 2,687,961	\$ (834,197)	\$ 1,853,763	5.3%
8	Waco	\$ 1,568,513	\$ (486,781)	\$ 1,081,731	3.1%
9	San Antonio	\$ 1,712,467	\$ 123,175	\$ 1,835,643	5.3%
10	Corpus Christi	\$ 2,054,169	\$ 270,152	\$ 2,324,321	6.7%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$ 6,010,423	\$ 928,569	\$ 6,938,992	20.0%
12	San Angelo	\$ 1,330,509	\$ 150,008	\$ 1,480,517	4.3%
13	El Paso	\$ 1,086,490	\$ 49,124	\$ 1,135,614	3.3%
Ttl.		\$ 34,700,000	\$ (0)	\$ 34,700,000	100%

DRAFT 2010 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Table 6. Measuring Affordable Housing Need in Rural and Urban/Exurban Places

Poverty Measure

Measure Weight: 50%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Persons	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Persons	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 1,854,383	\$ 927,191	41,503	100%	\$ 927,191	0	0%	\$ -
2	\$ 1,247,828	\$ 623,914	30,027	99%	\$ 615,430	91897306783	1%	\$ 8,484
3	\$ 6,814,244	\$ 3,407,122	51,459	44%	\$ 1,505,482	0.1324229672	56%	\$ 1,901,640
4	\$ 3,802,970	\$ 1,901,485	51,734	86%	\$ 1,626,124	50592695255	14%	\$ 275,361
5	\$ 1,770,728	\$ 885,364	31,929	93%	\$ 824,339	64865632957	7%	\$ 61,025
6	\$ 2,559,265	\$ 1,279,633	31,584	33%	\$ 421,591	.8869084713	67%	\$ 858,041
7	\$ 1,853,763	\$ 926,882	16,309	43%	\$ 398,322	.7780857791	57%	\$ 528,560
8	\$ 1,081,731	\$ 540,866	18,509	51%	\$ 275,084	.1471488967	49%	\$ 265,782
9	\$ 1,835,643	\$ 917,821	27,006	78%	\$ 717,612	46595921716	22%	\$ 200,209
10	\$ 2,324,321	\$ 1,162,160	47,984	82%	\$ 956,164	7.776060431	18%	\$ 205,997
11	\$ 6,938,992	\$ 3,469,496	123,916	55%	\$ 1,916,608	0.577625734	45%	\$ 1,552,889
12	\$ 1,480,517	\$ 740,258	21,395	61%	\$ 450,017	.7107090693	39%	\$ 290,241
13	\$ 1,135,614	\$ 567,807	17,189	47%	\$ 266,185	.4413866178	53%	\$ 301,622
Ttl.	\$ 34,700,000	\$ 17,350,000	510,545	100%	\$ 10,900,149	-	0%	\$ 6,449,851

Cost Burden Measure

Measure Weight: 36%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 1,854,383	\$ 667,578	13,489	100%	\$ 667,344	27272727273	0%	\$ 234
2	\$ 1,247,828	\$ 449,218	11,471	99%	\$ 442,855	14919443027	1%	\$ 6,363
3	\$ 6,814,244	\$ 2,453,128	27,912	33%	\$ 810,445	.8280377226	67%	\$ 1,642,683
4	\$ 3,802,970	\$ 1,369,069	21,362	87%	\$ 1,185,337	20391481422	13%	\$ 183,733
5	\$ 1,770,728	\$ 637,462	12,513	88%	\$ 561,085	28756506834	12%	\$ 76,377
6	\$ 2,559,265	\$ 921,335	12,929	27%	\$ 248,747	.4692483558	73%	\$ 672,588
7	\$ 1,853,763	\$ 667,355	10,212	32%	\$ 214,378	.9859536866	68%	\$ 452,977
8	\$ 1,081,731	\$ 389,423	7,222	44%	\$ 172,909	.67643860008	56%	\$ 216,514
9	\$ 1,835,643	\$ 660,831	10,823	68%	\$ 449,353	.65891246887	32%	\$ 211,478
10	\$ 2,324,321	\$ 836,755	11,751	70%	\$ 582,497	23226912564	30%	\$ 254,258
11	\$ 6,938,992	\$ 2,498,037	13,898	53%	\$ 1,323,620	.9689690156	47%	\$ 1,174,417
12	\$ 1,480,517	\$ 532,986	6,016	51%	\$ 269,935	27977236976	49%	\$ 263,052
13	\$ 1,135,614	\$ 408,821	2,937	54%	\$ 222,374	.55800878028	46%	\$ 186,447
Ttl.	\$ 34,700,000	\$ 12,492,000	162,533	100%	\$ 7,150,880	-	0%	\$ 5,341,120

DRAFT 2010 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Over Crowding Measure

Measure Weight: **12%**

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 1,854,383	\$ 222,526	4,212	100%	\$ 222,526	0	0%	\$ -
2	\$ 1,247,828	\$ 149,739	1,763	99%	\$ 148,250	21943573668	1%	\$ 1,489
3	\$ 6,814,244	\$ 817,709	5,653	34%	\$ 280,225	5382151511	66%	\$ 537,484
4	\$ 3,802,970	\$ 456,356	3,748	88%	\$ 402,080	39150473106	12%	\$ 54,276
5	\$ 1,770,728	\$ 212,487	2,160	93%	\$ 197,493	9747545949	7%	\$ 14,994
6	\$ 2,559,265	\$ 307,112	3,467	26%	\$ 79,536	82549685744	74%	\$ 227,576
7	\$ 1,853,763	\$ 222,452	2,440	49%	\$ 109,397	83174326396	51%	\$ 113,054
8	\$ 1,081,731	\$ 129,808	1,614	46%	\$ 60,128	50012011589	54%	\$ 69,680
9	\$ 1,835,643	\$ 220,277	2,639	78%	\$ 171,528	02414374387	22%	\$ 48,749
10	\$ 2,324,321	\$ 278,918	4,064	80%	\$ 221,887	64518380517	20%	\$ 57,032
11	\$ 6,938,992	\$ 832,679	13,602	53%	\$ 443,556	26369081636	47%	\$ 389,123
12	\$ 1,480,517	\$ 177,662	1,873	56%	\$ 99,980	28552930414	44%	\$ 77,682
13	\$ 1,135,614	\$ 136,274	1,749	45%	\$ 61,869	88659396368	55%	\$ 74,405
Ttl.	\$ 34,700,000	\$ 4,164,000	48,985	100%	\$ 2,498,456	-	0%	\$ 1,665,544

Substandard Measure

Measure Weight: **2%**

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 1,854,383	\$ 37,088	543	100%	\$ 37,088	0	0%	\$ -
2	\$ 1,247,828	\$ 24,957	456	98%	\$ 24,407	15517241379	2%	\$ 550
3	\$ 6,814,244	\$ 136,285	1,158	48%	\$ 65,823	64114383253	52%	\$ 70,461
4	\$ 3,802,970	\$ 76,059	850	89%	\$ 68,038	14332476012	11%	\$ 8,022
5	\$ 1,770,728	\$ 35,415	456	91%	\$ 32,173	62601183844	9%	\$ 3,241
6	\$ 2,559,265	\$ 51,185	600	33%	\$ 16,933	77777470405	67%	\$ 34,252
7	\$ 1,853,763	\$ 37,075	513	67%	\$ 24,917	29190969873	33%	\$ 12,158
8	\$ 1,081,731	\$ 21,635	289	55%	\$ 11,889	97064490507	45%	\$ 9,746
9	\$ 1,835,643	\$ 36,713	530	79%	\$ 28,860	10112179211	21%	\$ 7,853
10	\$ 2,324,321	\$ 46,486	802	79%	\$ 36,749	88710496502	21%	\$ 9,738
11	\$ 6,938,992	\$ 138,780	4,112	65%	\$ 89,666	56388435515	35%	\$ 49,114
12	\$ 1,480,517	\$ 29,610	289	53%	\$ 15,703	87764376816	47%	\$ 13,907
13	\$ 1,135,614	\$ 22,712	513	48%	\$ 10,869	88197930857	52%	\$ 11,843
Ttl.	\$ 34,700,000	\$ 694,000	11,111	100%	\$ 463,115	-	0%	\$ 230,885

DRAFT 2010 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Overall Measure of Affordable Housing Need

Region	Region's Funding Amount				Rural Need Based Funding Amount	Rural Need Based %		Urban Need Based Funding Amount	Urban Need Based %
1	\$ 1,854,383				\$ 1,854,149	100.0%		\$ 234	0.0%
2	\$ 1,247,828				\$ 1,230,943	98.6%		\$ 16,885	1.4%
3	\$ 6,814,244				\$ 2,661,974	39.1%		\$ 4,152,269	60.9%
4	\$ 3,802,970				\$ 3,281,579	86.3%		\$ 521,392	13.7%
5	\$ 1,770,728				\$ 1,615,091	91.2%		\$ 155,638	8.8%
6	\$ 2,559,265				\$ 766,807	30.0%		\$ 1,792,458	70.0%
7	\$ 1,853,763				\$ 747,014	40.3%		\$ 1,106,749	59.7%
8	\$ 1,081,731				\$ 520,010	48.1%		\$ 561,722	51.9%
9	\$ 1,835,643				\$ 1,367,354	74.5%		\$ 468,289	25.5%
10	\$ 2,324,321				\$ 1,797,296	77.3%		\$ 527,024	22.7%
11	\$ 6,938,992				\$ 3,773,450	54.4%		\$ 3,165,542	45.6%
12	\$ 1,480,517				\$ 835,634	56.4%		\$ 644,883	43.6%
13	\$ 1,135,614				\$ 561,297	49.4%		\$ 574,317	50.6%
Ttl.	\$ 34,700,000				\$ 21,012,599	60.6%		\$ 13,687,401	39.4%

DRAFT 2010 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Table 7. Measuring Available Housing Resources in Rural and Urban Places

Region	Reg All Res Rur	Reg All Res Urb	Reg All Res	Rural Resource %	Urban Resource %
1	\$ 12,350,577	\$ 377	\$ 12,350,954	100.0%	0.0%
2	\$ 16,140,465	\$ 8,561	\$ 6	99.9%	0.1%
3	\$ 46,517,201	\$ 46,472,702	\$ 92,989,904	50.0%	50.0%
4	\$ 38,539,021	\$ 6,048,108	\$ 44,587,129	86.4%	13.6%
5	\$ 28,476,904	\$ 3,713,069	\$ 32,189,973	88.5%	11.5%
6	\$ 27,717,911	\$ 83,475,336	\$ 111,193,247	24.9%	75.1%
7	\$ 41,425,535	\$ 61,788,571	\$ 103,214,106	40.1%	59.9%
8	\$ 12,002,892	\$ 33,451,190	\$ 45,454,082	26.4%	73.6%
9	\$ 19,761,089	\$ 3,276,229	\$ 23,037,318	85.8%	14.2%
10	\$ 18,386,831	\$ 3,190,931	\$ 21,577,762	85.2%	14.8%
11	\$ 29,859,088	\$ 26,442,585	\$ 56,301,673	53.0%	47.0%
12	\$ 10,478,862	\$ 4,732,951	\$ 15,211,813	68.9%	31.1%
13	\$ 4,016,667	\$ 12,035,758	\$ 16,052,425	25.0%	75.0%
Ttl.	\$ 305,673,044	\$ 284,636,369	\$ 590,309,412	51.8%	48.2%

DRAFT 2010 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Table 8. Rural and Urban Resource Adjustment

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Rural Areas							Urban Areas						
		Area's Resource %	Area's Need %	Area's Resource Difference	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Resource %	Area's Need %	Area's Resource Difference	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment
1	\$ 1,854,383	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	\$ (177)	\$ 1,854,149	\$ (575,427)	\$ (177)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
2	\$ 1,247,828	99.9%	98.6%	1.3%	\$ (16,224)	\$ 1,230,943	\$ (382,018)	\$ (16,224)	0.1%	1.4%	-1.3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	\$ 6,814,244	50.0%	39.1%	11.0%	\$ (746,778)	\$ 2,661,974	\$ (826,133)	\$ (746,778)	50.0%	60.9%	-11.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	\$ 3,802,970	86.4%	86.3%	0.1%	\$ (5,530)	\$ 3,281,579	\$ (1,018,424)	\$ (5,530)	13.6%	13.7%	-0.1%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
5	\$ 1,770,728	88.5%	91.2%	-2.7%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	11.5%	8.8%	2.7%	\$ (48,613)	\$ 155,638	\$ (13,680)	\$ (13,680)
6	\$ 2,559,265	24.9%	30.0%	-5.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	75.1%	70.0%	5.0%	\$ (128,842)	\$ 1,792,458	\$ (1,255,401)	\$ (128,842)
7	\$ 1,853,763	40.1%	40.3%	-0.2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	59.9%	59.7%	0.2%	\$ (2,996)	\$ 1,106,749	\$ (660,760)	\$ (2,996)
8	\$ 1,081,731	26.4%	48.1%	-21.7%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	73.6%	51.9%	21.7%	\$ (234,361)	\$ 561,722	\$ (291,691)	\$ (234,361)
9	\$ 1,835,643	85.8%	74.5%	11.3%	\$ (207,235)	\$ 1,367,354	\$ (424,352)	\$ (207,235)	14.2%	25.5%	-11.3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	\$ 2,324,321	85.2%	77.3%	7.9%	\$ (183,303)	\$ 1,797,296	\$ (557,783)	\$ (183,303)	14.8%	22.7%	-7.9%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
11	\$ 6,938,992	53.0%	54.4%	-1.3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	47.0%	45.6%	1.3%	\$ (93,418)	\$ 3,165,542	\$ (1,444,108)	\$ (93,418)
12	\$ 1,480,517	68.9%	56.4%	12.4%	\$ (184,240)	\$ 835,634	\$ (259,336)	\$ (184,240)	31.1%	43.6%	-12.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
13	\$ 1,135,614	25.0%	49.4%	-24.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	75.0%	50.6%	24.4%	\$ (277,142)	\$ 574,317	\$ (290,451)	\$ (277,142)
Ttl.	\$ 34,700,000	51.8%	60.6%	-8.8%	\$ (1,343,487)	\$ 13,028,929	\$ (4,043,473)	\$ (1,343,487)	48.2%	39.4%	8.8%	\$ (785,372)	\$ 7,356,424	\$ (3,956,091)	\$ (750,439)

Resource Funding Adjustment Limit 31.0%

DRAFT 2010 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Table 9. Rural and Urban Area Funding Amount

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Rural Areas					Urban Areas				
		Area's Need %	Area's Need Based Funding Amount	Area's Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Rural	Area's Need %	Area's Need Based Funding Amount	Area's Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Urban
1	\$ 1,854,383	100.0%	1,854,149	\$ (177)	\$ 1,853,972	100.0%	0.0%	\$ 234	\$ 177	\$ 411	0.0%
2	\$ 1,247,828	98.6%	1,230,943	\$ (16,224)	\$ 1,214,720	97.3%	1.4%	\$ 16,885	\$ 16,224	\$ 33,109	2.7%
3	\$ 6,814,244	39.1%	2,661,974	\$ (746,778)	\$ 1,915,196	28.1%	60.9%	\$ 4,152,269	\$ 746,778	\$ 4,899,047	71.9%
4	\$ 3,802,970	86.3%	3,281,579	\$ (5,530)	\$ 3,276,048	86.1%	13.7%	\$ 521,392	\$ 5,530	\$ 526,922	13.9%
5	\$ 1,770,728	91.2%	1,615,091	\$ 13,680	\$ 1,628,770	92.0%	8.8%	\$ 155,638	\$ (13,680)	\$ 141,958	8.0%
6	\$ 2,559,265	30.0%	766,807	\$ 128,842	\$ 895,649	35.0%	70.0%	\$ 1,792,458	\$ (128,842)	\$ 1,663,616	65.0%
7	\$ 1,853,763	40.3%	747,014	\$ 2,996	\$ 750,011	40.5%	59.7%	\$ 1,106,749	\$ (2,996)	\$ 1,103,753	59.5%
8	\$ 1,081,731	48.1%	520,010	\$ 234,361	\$ 754,371	69.7%	51.9%	\$ 561,722	\$ (234,361)	\$ 327,361	30.3%
9	\$ 1,835,643	74.5%	1,367,354	\$ (207,235)	\$ 1,160,118	63.2%	25.5%	\$ 468,289	\$ 207,235	\$ 675,525	36.8%
10	\$ 2,324,321	77.3%	1,797,296	\$ (183,303)	\$ 1,613,993	69.4%	22.7%	\$ 527,024	\$ 183,303	\$ 710,327	30.6%
11	\$ 6,938,992	54.4%	3,773,450	\$ 93,418	\$ 3,866,869	55.7%	45.6%	\$ 3,165,542	\$ (93,418)	\$ 3,072,124	44.3%
12	\$ 1,480,517	56.4%	835,634	\$ (184,240)	\$ 651,394	44.0%	43.6%	\$ 644,883	\$ 184,240	\$ 829,123	56.0%
13	\$ 1,135,614	49.4%	561,297	\$ 277,142	\$ 838,439	73.8%	50.6%	\$ 574,317	\$ (277,142)	\$ 297,175	26.2%
Ttl.	\$ 34,700,000	60.6%	21,012,599	\$ (593,048)	\$ 20,419,551	58.8%	39.4%	\$ 13,687,401	\$ 593,048	\$ 14,280,449	41.2%