

2009 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 1. Regional, Rural, and Urban Funding Amounts

The table below shows the allocation of funds to the 13 State Service Regions and the corresponding rural and urban/exurban distribution within each region.

Region	Place for Geographical Reference	Regional Funding Amount	Regional Funding %	Rural Funding Amount	Rural Funding %	Urban Funding Amount	Urban Funding %
1	Lubbock	\$100,295	3.3%	\$61,598	61.4%	\$38,697	38.6%
2	Abilene	\$61,533	2.1%	\$39,168	63.7%	\$22,365	36.3%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$686,855	22.9%	\$51,687	7.5%	\$635,168	92.5%
4	Tyler	\$159,220	5.3%	\$96,321	60.5%	\$62,898	39.5%
5	Beaumont	\$63,224	2.1%	\$39,869	63.1%	\$23,355	36.9%
6	Houston	\$639,557	21.3%	\$34,654	5.4%	\$604,903	94.6%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$126,920	4.2%	\$9,788	7.7%	\$117,132	92.3%
8	Waco	\$129,522	4.3%	\$35,072	27.1%	\$94,450	72.9%
9	San Antonio	\$204,436	6.8%	\$29,171	14.3%	\$175,265	85.7%
10	Corpus Christi	\$135,074	4.5%	\$56,337	41.7%	\$78,737	58.3%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$496,780	16.6%	\$154,847	31.2%	\$341,934	68.8%
12	San Angelo	\$71,060	2.4%	\$26,131	36.8%	\$44,929	63.2%
13	El Paso	\$125,525	4.2%	\$20,333	16.2%	\$105,192	83.8%
	Total	\$3,000,000	100.0%	\$654,975	21.8%	\$2,345,025	78.2%

2009 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 2. Regional Measures of Affordable Housing Need

Total RAF Funding: **\$ 3,000,000**

Region	Poverty			Rental Cost Burden			Overcrowding			Substandard Housing			Combined Need Measures	
	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount		
	50%		\$ 1,500,000	36%		\$ 1,080,000	12%		\$ 360,000	2%		\$ 60,000		
	# of Persons	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Region's Need Based %
1	110,826	4%	\$ 53,718	46,209	4%	\$ 39,854	10,077	2%	\$ 8,319	1,599	3%	\$ 1,652	\$ 103,543	3.5%
2	65,545	2%	\$ 31,770	28,357	2%	\$ 24,457	4,118	1%	\$ 3,400	1,098	2%	\$ 1,134	\$ 60,761	2.0%
3	582,497	19%	\$ 282,340	343,228	27%	\$ 296,025	111,172	25%	\$ 91,783	10,611	18%	\$ 10,961	\$ 681,109	22.7%
4	140,934	5%	\$ 68,312	59,874	5%	\$ 51,640	10,295	2%	\$ 8,500	2,988	5%	\$ 3,087	\$ 131,538	4.4%
5	107,446	3%	\$ 52,080	41,919	3%	\$ 36,154	7,625	2%	\$ 6,295	2,044	4%	\$ 2,112	\$ 96,641	3.2%
6	659,660	21%	\$ 319,742	282,566	23%	\$ 243,706	124,136	28%	\$ 102,485	11,107	19%	\$ 11,474	\$ 677,407	22.6%
7	154,450	5%	\$ 74,863	110,549	9%	\$ 95,346	24,190	6%	\$ 19,971	3,701	6%	\$ 3,823	\$ 194,003	6.5%
8	137,607	4%	\$ 66,699	62,229	5%	\$ 53,671	11,655	3%	\$ 9,623	2,257	4%	\$ 2,331	\$ 132,324	4.4%
9	262,760	8%	\$ 127,362	105,154	8%	\$ 90,692	33,613	8%	\$ 27,751	4,286	7%	\$ 4,427	\$ 250,233	8.3%
10	120,428	4%	\$ 58,372	39,269	3%	\$ 33,868	11,640	3%	\$ 9,610	2,191	4%	\$ 2,263	\$ 104,114	3.5%
11	510,550	16%	\$ 247,467	65,929	5%	\$ 56,862	62,240	14%	\$ 51,385	12,200	21%	\$ 12,603	\$ 368,317	12.3%
12	72,905	2%	\$ 35,338	25,868	2%	\$ 22,310	6,805	2%	\$ 5,618	1,344	2%	\$ 1,388	\$ 64,654	2.2%
13	169,042	5%	\$ 81,936	41,062	3%	\$ 35,415	18,485	4%	\$ 15,261	2,658	5%	\$ 2,746	\$ 135,357	4.5%
Ttl.	3,094,651	100%	\$ 1,500,000	1,252,211	100%	\$ 1,080,000	436,052	100%	\$ 360,000	58,083	100%	\$ 60,000	\$ 3,000,000	100%

2009 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 3. Regional Distribution of Available Housing Resources

Region	HTF	HOPWA	PHA Capital	S8	USDA MF	USDA RA	HTC	MF Bond	HTC w/ Bond	USDA SF	SF Bond	HOME	Region's Resource Total	Region's Resource %
1	\$ -	\$ 203,771	\$ 2,505,750	\$ 20,355,546	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,585,001	\$ 3,583,464	\$ 9,300,000	\$ 9,468,848	\$ 227,336	\$ 21,558,549	\$ 1,601,203	\$ 74,389,468	3.6%
2	\$ -	\$ 41,429	\$ 5,639,721	\$ 6,398,754	\$ -	\$ 2,950,715	\$ 8,945,144	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,371,551	\$ 14,446,440	\$ 1,838,173	\$ 41,631,927	2.0%
3	\$ 1,062,814	\$ 4,205,197	\$ 13,063,757	\$ 219,837,690	\$ -	\$ 5,932,275	\$ 80,726,528	\$ 12,400,000	\$ 9,536,448	\$ 5,861,417	\$ 100,869,453	\$ 15,791,270	\$ 469,286,849	22.5%
4	\$ -	\$ 367,973	\$ 4,527,081	\$ 21,487,284	\$ 4,360,538	\$ 4,161,842	\$ 21,033,264	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,290,595	\$ 1,345,502	\$ 6,151,848	\$ 66,725,927	3.2%
5	\$ 552,681	\$ 229,466	\$ 4,792,521	\$ 35,665,248	\$ 250,000	\$ 2,542,516	\$ 22,344,408	\$ 9,734,000	\$ 6,444,520	\$ 2,256,954	\$ 16,866,853	\$ 2,093,483	\$ 103,772,650	5.0%
6	\$ 434,416	\$ 4,691,589	\$ 16,601,830	\$ 122,551,444	\$ -	\$ 4,212,513	\$ 98,000,912	\$ 25,358,000	\$ 29,986,648	\$ 4,370,279	\$ 179,738,614	\$ 12,296,216	\$ 498,242,461	23.8%
7	\$ 249,996	\$ 899,508	\$ 5,381,623	\$ 62,008,329	\$ 4,461,735	\$ 1,505,177	\$ 19,477,328	\$ -	\$ 3,904,336	\$ 5,680,315	\$ 91,653,487	\$ 14,078,358	\$ 209,300,192	10.0%
8	\$ -	\$ 166,808	\$ 5,968,323	\$ 30,577,725	\$ 1,226,905	\$ 4,177,823	\$ 18,038,104	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,377,601	\$ 25,500,087	\$ 6,093,657	\$ 94,127,033	4.5%
9	\$ 500,000	\$ 830,032	\$ 12,857,867	\$ 88,584,715	\$ -	\$ 1,308,146	\$ 28,527,736	\$ 20,770,000	\$ 4,813,896	\$ 1,590,537	\$ 39,533,178	\$ 6,895,364	\$ 206,211,471	9.9%
10	\$ -	\$ 307,453	\$ 6,766,778	\$ 18,750,719	\$ -	\$ 2,193,485	\$ 9,118,504	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,068,381	\$ 3,806,180	\$ 1,662,359	\$ 44,673,859	2.1%
11	\$ 750,000	\$ 462,427	\$ 11,207,125	\$ 54,686,572	\$ -	\$ 3,939,582	\$ 32,985,296	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,461,205	\$ 16,545,549	\$ 10,964,375	\$ 141,002,131	6.7%
12	\$ -	\$ 159,040	\$ 1,691,670	\$ 13,267,139	\$ 1,043,171	\$ 1,075,347	\$ 15,724,840	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 430,537	\$ 5,242,885	\$ 641,206	\$ 39,275,835	1.9%
13	\$ 250,000	\$ 353,988	\$ 10,318,147	\$ 28,453,843	\$ -	\$ 488,395	\$ 23,631,016	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,440,144	\$ 32,724,393	\$ 1,477,448	\$ 101,137,374	4.8%
Total	\$ 3,799,907	\$ 12,918,681	\$ 101,322,193	\$ 722,625,008	\$ 14,342,349	\$ 37,072,817	\$ 382,136,544	\$ 77,562,000	\$ 64,154,696	\$ 42,426,852	\$ 549,831,169	\$ 81,584,960	\$ 2,089,777,177	100%
% of Total Resources	0.2%	0.6%	4.8%	34.6%	0.7%	1.8%	18.3%	3.7%	3.1%	2.0%	26.3%	3.9%		

% of Resources not Regionally Allocated: 34.6%

2009 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 4. Regional Available Housing Resources Funding Adjustment

Region	Difference between Resources and Need			Over Allocated Regional Resource Differences						Under Allocated Regional Resource Differences		
	Region's Resource %	Region's Need Based %	Resource Difference	Over Allocated Resource Differences	Over Allocation %	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment	Under Allocated Resource Differences	Under Allocation %	Base Resource Funding Adjustment
1	3.6%	3.5%	0.1%	0.1%	1.3%	(3,248)	103,543	(35,803)	(3,248)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
2	2.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	60,761	-	-	0.0%	-0.4%	\$ 771
3	22.5%	22.7%	-0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	-	681,109	-	-	-0.2%	-2.9%	\$ 5,746
4	3.2%	4.4%	-1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	-	131,538	-	-	-1.2%	-13.8%	\$ 27,682
5	5.0%	3.2%	1.7%	1.7%	20.3%	(52,331)	96,641	(33,417)	(33,417)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
6	23.8%	22.6%	1.3%	1.3%	14.7%	(37,850)	677,407	(234,236)	(37,850)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
7	10.0%	6.5%	3.5%	3.5%	41.2%	(106,460)	194,003	(67,083)	(67,083)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
8	4.5%	4.4%	0.1%	0.1%	1.1%	(2,801)	132,324	(45,755)	(2,801)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
9	9.9%	8.3%	1.5%	1.5%	17.7%	(45,796)	250,233	(86,526)	(45,796)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
10	2.1%	3.5%	-1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	-	104,114	-	-	-1.3%	-15.5%	\$ 30,960
11	6.7%	12.3%	-5.5%	0.0%	0.0%	-	368,317	-	-	-5.5%	-64.2%	\$ 128,463
12	1.9%	2.2%	-0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	-	64,654	-	-	-0.3%	-3.2%	\$ 6,405
13	4.8%	4.5%	0.3%	0.3%	3.8%	(9,832)	135,357	(46,804)	(9,832)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
Ttl.	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	8.6%	100.0%	(258,319)	3,000,000	(549,624)	(200,027)	-8.6%	-100.0%	\$ 200,027

State Resource Difference: 8.6%

Total RAF Funding: 3,000,000

State Over Allocated Resource Amount: (258,319)

Regional Resource Funding Adjustment Limit: 34.6%

State Under Allocated Resource Amount



2009 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 5. Regional Funding Amounts

Region	Place for Geographic Reference	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Region's Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Funding Amount	Region's Funding Percentage
1	Lubbock	\$ 103,543	\$ (3,248)	\$ 100,295	3.3%
2	Abilene	\$ 60,761	\$ 771	\$ 61,533	2.1%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$ 681,109	\$ 5,746	\$ 686,855	22.9%
4	Tyler	\$ 131,538	\$ 27,682	\$ 159,220	5.3%
5	Beaumont	\$ 96,641	\$ (33,417)	\$ 63,224	2.1%
6	Houston	\$ 677,407	\$ (37,850)	\$ 639,557	21.3%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$ 194,003	\$ (67,083)	\$ 126,920	4.2%
8	Waco	\$ 132,324	\$ (2,801)	\$ 129,522	4.3%
9	San Antonio	\$ 250,233	\$ (45,796)	\$ 204,436	6.8%
10	Corpus Christi	\$ 104,114	\$ 30,960	\$ 135,074	4.5%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$ 368,317	\$ 128,463	\$ 496,780	16.6%
12	San Angelo	\$ 64,654	\$ 6,405	\$ 71,060	2.4%
13	El Paso	\$ 135,357	\$ (9,832)	\$ 125,525	4.2%
Ttl.		\$ 3,000,000	\$ -	\$ 3,000,000	100%

2009 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 6. Measuring Affordable Housing Need in Rural and Urban/Exurban Places

Poverty Measure

Measure Weight: 50%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Persons	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Persons	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 100,295	\$ 50,147	41,023	42%	\$ 21,102	3.0428883676	58%	\$ 29,045
2	\$ 61,533	\$ 30,766	29,880	54%	\$ 16,499	6.5452206843	46%	\$ 14,267
3	\$ 686,855	\$ 343,428	51,223	9%	\$ 32,364	20.982773542	91%	\$ 311,064
4	\$ 159,220	\$ 79,610	51,160	60%	\$ 48,095	2.2945501289	40%	\$ 31,514
5	\$ 63,224	\$ 31,612	39,093	54%	\$ 16,966	9.4377099417	46%	\$ 14,646
6	\$ 639,557	\$ 319,778	45,178	9%	\$ 27,275	08.396524617	91%	\$ 292,504
7	\$ 126,920	\$ 63,460	16,164	12%	\$ 7,870	77.652310181	88%	\$ 55,590
8	\$ 129,522	\$ 64,761	26,658	23%	\$ 14,878	9.3668097005	77%	\$ 49,883
9	\$ 204,436	\$ 102,218	27,777	12%	\$ 12,353	78.141894124	88%	\$ 89,865
10	\$ 135,074	\$ 67,537	47,886	46%	\$ 31,286	3.5874947393	54%	\$ 36,250
11	\$ 496,780	\$ 248,390	150,537	35%	\$ 86,385	12.397046018	65%	\$ 162,005
12	\$ 71,060	\$ 35,530	21,515	35%	\$ 12,444	5.3208889958	65%	\$ 23,086
13	\$ 125,525	\$ 62,762	16,737	10%	\$ 6,521	41.130178505	90%	\$ 56,241
Ttl.	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	564,829	100%	\$ 334,039	0	0%	\$ 1,165,961

Cost Burden Measure

Measure Weight: 36%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 100,295	\$ 36,106	13,313	32%	\$ 11,545	3.1045103373	68%	\$ 24,561
2	\$ 61,533	\$ 22,152	11,387	47%	\$ 10,520	0.7583182525	53%	\$ 11,632
3	\$ 686,855	\$ 247,268	27,877	9%	\$ 21,801	06.612136531	91%	\$ 225,467
4	\$ 159,220	\$ 57,319	21,156	58%	\$ 33,302	7.4584948739	42%	\$ 24,017
5	\$ 63,224	\$ 22,761	15,541	54%	\$ 12,375	1.7789321671	46%	\$ 10,385
6	\$ 639,557	\$ 230,240	18,610	9%	\$ 19,989	48.328234117	91%	\$ 210,251
7	\$ 126,920	\$ 45,691	10,140	11%	\$ 4,840	6.1133681765	89%	\$ 40,851
8	\$ 129,522	\$ 46,628	10,152	19%	\$ 9,081	4.6447166889	81%	\$ 37,547
9	\$ 204,436	\$ 73,597	11,438	12%	\$ 9,194	4.8738075387	88%	\$ 64,403
10	\$ 135,074	\$ 48,626	11,762	34%	\$ 16,619	4.3592189956	66%	\$ 32,008
11	\$ 496,780	\$ 178,841	16,687	28%	\$ 50,916	4.5843126323	72%	\$ 127,925
12	\$ 71,060	\$ 25,581	6,059	27%	\$ 6,928	4.2826237436	73%	\$ 18,654
13	\$ 125,525	\$ 45,189	2,877	7%	\$ 3,292	1.1186371599	93%	\$ 41,897
Ttl.	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,080,000	177,000	100%	\$ 210,402	0	0%	\$ 869,598

2009 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Over Crowding Measure

Measure Weight: 12%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 100,295	\$ 12,035	4,168	46%	\$ 5,588	17198235784	54%	\$ 6,448
2	\$ 61,533	\$ 7,384	1,752	49%	\$ 3,646	6.3720349803	51%	\$ 3,738
3	\$ 686,855	\$ 82,423	5,738	5%	\$ 4,455	11.496221244	95%	\$ 77,967
4	\$ 159,220	\$ 19,106	3,708	58%	\$ 11,016	61625700407	42%	\$ 8,091
5	\$ 63,224	\$ 7,587	2,622	50%	\$ 3,760	8.2451263675	50%	\$ 3,826
6	\$ 639,557	\$ 76,747	6,049	6%	\$ 4,544	2.1711451845	94%	\$ 72,203
7	\$ 126,920	\$ 15,230	2,426	12%	\$ 1,792	4.5335610149	88%	\$ 13,439
8	\$ 129,522	\$ 15,543	2,261	23%	\$ 3,596	72510130155	77%	\$ 11,947
9	\$ 204,436	\$ 24,532	2,742	9%	\$ 2,240	4.3884859071	91%	\$ 22,292
10	\$ 135,074	\$ 16,209	4,049	40%	\$ 6,511	1.6722711743	60%	\$ 9,698
11	\$ 496,780	\$ 59,614	16,724	32%	\$ 18,799	0.2972517286	68%	\$ 40,815
12	\$ 71,060	\$ 8,527	1,889	33%	\$ 2,795	1.0289261599	67%	\$ 5,733
13	\$ 125,525	\$ 15,063	1,696	10%	\$ 1,449	7.3232169814	90%	\$ 13,614
Ttl.	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 360,000	55,825	100%	\$ 70,190	0	0%	\$ 289,810

Substandard Measure

Measure Weight: 2%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 100,295	\$ 2,006	537	39%	\$ 790	30819206396	61%	\$ 1,216
2	\$ 61,533	\$ 1,231	455	54%	\$ 663	83935673123	46%	\$ 568
3	\$ 686,855	\$ 13,737	1,157	12%	\$ 1,679	94787578472	88%	\$ 12,058
4	\$ 159,220	\$ 3,184	836	57%	\$ 1,831	39593041675	43%	\$ 1,353
5	\$ 63,224	\$ 1,264	558	52%	\$ 657	46234793645	48%	\$ 608
6	\$ 639,557	\$ 12,791	782	9%	\$ 1,162	77775513291	91%	\$ 11,629
7	\$ 126,920	\$ 2,538	514	18%	\$ 460	73367375647	82%	\$ 2,078
8	\$ 129,522	\$ 2,590	452	33%	\$ 849	61373843862	67%	\$ 1,742
9	\$ 204,436	\$ 4,089	558	18%	\$ 720	96348927741	82%	\$ 3,369
10	\$ 135,074	\$ 2,701	796	51%	\$ 1,365	28396998127	49%	\$ 1,337
11	\$ 496,780	\$ 9,936	4,799	50%	\$ 5,005	92758920476	50%	\$ 4,931
12	\$ 71,060	\$ 1,421	294	28%	\$ 402	25964400084	72%	\$ 1,019
13	\$ 125,525	\$ 2,510	493	21%	\$ 530	38840838632	79%	\$ 1,981
Ttl.	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 60,000	12,231	100%	\$ 16,111	0	0%	\$ 43,889

2009 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Overall Measure of Affordable Housing Need

Region	Region's Funding Amount				Rural Need Based Funding Amount	Rural Need Based %		Urban Need Based Funding Amount	Urban Need Based %
1	\$ 100,295				\$ 39,025	38.9%		\$ 61,270	61.1%
2	\$ 61,533				\$ 31,328	50.9%		\$ 30,205	49.1%
3	\$ 686,855				\$ 60,299	8.8%		\$ 626,557	91.2%
4	\$ 159,220				\$ 94,244	59.2%		\$ 64,975	40.8%
5	\$ 63,224				\$ 33,758	53.4%		\$ 29,466	46.6%
6	\$ 639,557				\$ 52,970	8.3%		\$ 586,587	91.7%
7	\$ 126,920				\$ 14,962	11.8%		\$ 111,958	88.2%
8	\$ 129,522				\$ 28,403	21.9%		\$ 101,119	78.1%
9	\$ 204,436				\$ 24,507	12.0%		\$ 179,930	88.0%
10	\$ 135,074				\$ 55,781	41.3%		\$ 79,293	58.7%
11	\$ 496,780				\$ 161,106	32.4%		\$ 335,675	67.6%
12	\$ 71,060				\$ 22,567	31.8%		\$ 48,492	68.2%
13	\$ 125,525				\$ 11,793	9.4%		\$ 113,732	90.6%
Ttl.	\$ 3,000,000				\$ 630,742	21.0%		\$ 2,369,258	79.0%

2009 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 7. Measuring Available Housing Resources in Rural and Urban Places

Region	Reg All Res Rur	Reg All Res Urb	Reg All Res	Rural Resource %	Urban Resource %
1	\$ 12,192,877	\$ 62,136,717	\$ 74,329,594	16.4%	83.6%
2	\$ 15,855,055	\$ 25,680,805	\$ 41,535,860	38.2%	61.8%
3	\$ 46,945,631	\$ 420,980,292	\$ 467,925,923	10.0%	90.0%
4	\$ 38,147,959	\$ 27,752,798	\$ 65,900,757	57.9%	42.1%
5	\$ 44,974,760	\$ 57,871,879	\$ 102,846,639	43.7%	56.3%
6	\$ 73,067,261	\$ 414,349,913	\$ 487,417,174	15.0%	85.0%
7	\$ 41,171,423	\$ 163,185,135	\$ 204,356,558	20.1%	79.9%
8	\$ 15,722,664	\$ 77,970,985	\$ 93,693,648	16.8%	83.2%
9	\$ 20,006,219	\$ 186,124,810	\$ 206,131,029	9.7%	90.3%
10	\$ 18,210,167	\$ 26,330,114	\$ 44,540,282	40.9%	59.1%
11	\$ 47,406,976	\$ 93,308,797	\$ 140,715,773	33.7%	66.3%
12	\$ 10,478,862	\$ 28,703,277	\$ 39,182,139	26.7%	73.3%
13	\$ 2,618,291	\$ 98,437,244	\$ 101,055,535	2.6%	97.4%
Ttl.	\$ 386,798,146	\$ 1,682,832,765	\$ 2,069,630,911	18.7%	81.3%

2009 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 8. Rural and Urban Resource Adjustment

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Rural Areas							Urban Areas						
		Area's Resource %	Area's Need %	Area's Resource Difference	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Resource %	Area's Need %	Area's Resource Difference	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment
1	\$ 100,295	16.4%	38.9%	-22.5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	83.6%	61.1%	22.5%	\$ (22,573)	\$ 61,270	\$ (37,429)	\$ (22,573)
2	\$ 61,533		50.9%	-12.7%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	61.8%	49.1%	12.7%	\$ (7,840)	\$ 30,205	\$ (14,827)	\$ (7,840)
3	\$ 686,855	10.0%	8.8%	1.3%	\$ (8,612)	\$ 60,299	\$ (20,850)	\$ (8,612)	90.0%	91.2%	-1.3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	\$ 159,220	57.9%	59.2%	-1.3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	42.1%	40.8%	1.3%	\$ (2,077)	\$ 64,975	\$ (26,516)	\$ (2,077)
5	\$ 63,224		53.4%	-9.7%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	56.3%	46.6%	9.7%	\$ (6,110)	\$ 29,466	\$ (13,733)	\$ (6,110)
6	\$ 639,557	15.0%	8.3%	6.7%	\$ (42,904)	\$ 52,970	\$ (18,316)	\$ (18,316)	85.0%	91.7%	-6.7%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7	\$ 126,920	20.1%	11.8%	8.4%	\$ (10,609)	\$ 14,962	\$ (5,173)	\$ (5,173)	79.9%	88.2%	-8.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	\$ 129,522	16.8%	21.9%	-5.1%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	83.2%	78.1%	5.1%	\$ (6,668)	\$ 101,119	\$ (78,944)	\$ (6,668)
9	\$ 204,436	9.7%	12.0%	-2.3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	90.3%	88.0%	2.3%	\$ (4,665)	\$ 179,930	\$ (158,361)	\$ (4,665)
10	\$ 135,074	40.9%	41.3%	-0.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	59.1%	58.7%	0.4%	\$ (556)	\$ 79,293	\$ (46,548)	\$ (556)
11	\$ 496,780	33.7%	32.4%	1.3%	\$ (6,259)	\$ 161,106	\$ (55,708)	\$ (6,259)	66.3%	67.6%	-1.3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	\$ 71,060		31.8%	-5.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	73.3%	68.2%	5.0%	\$ (3,563)	\$ 48,492	\$ (33,092)	\$ (3,563)
13	\$ 125,525	2.6%	9.4%	-6.8%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	97.4%	90.6%	6.8%	\$ (8,540)	\$ 113,732	\$ (103,048)	\$ (8,540)
Ttl.	\$ 3,000,000	18.7%	21.0%	-2.3%	(68,383)	289,336	(100,047)	(38,360)	81.3%	79.0%	2.3%	(62,593)	\$ 708,481	\$ (512,496)	(62,593)

26.7%

Resource Funding Adjustment Limit: 34.6%

2009 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 9. Rural and Urban Area Funding Amount

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Rural Areas					Urban Areas				
		Area's Need %	Area's Need Based Funding Amount	Area's Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Rural	Area's Need %	Area's Need Based Funding Amount	Area's Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Urban
1	\$ 100,295	38.9%	39,025	\$ 22,573	\$ 61,598	61.4%	61.1%	\$ 61,270	\$ (22,573)	\$ 38,697	38.6%
2	\$ 61,533	50.9%	31,328	\$ 7,840	\$ 39,168	63.7%	49.1%	\$ 30,205	\$ (7,840)	\$ 22,365	36.3%
3	\$ 686,855	8.8%	60,299	\$ (8,612)	\$ 51,687	7.5%	91.2%	\$ 626,557	\$ 8,612	\$ 635,168	92.5%
4	\$ 159,220	59.2%	94,244	\$ 2,077	\$ 96,321	60.5%	40.8%	\$ 64,975	\$ (2,077)	\$ 62,898	39.5%
5	\$ 63,224	53.4%	33,758	\$ 6,110	\$ 39,869	63.1%	46.6%	\$ 29,466	\$ (6,110)	\$ 23,355	36.9%
6	\$ 639,557	8.3%	52,970	\$ (18,316)	\$ 34,654	5.4%	91.7%	\$ 586,587	\$ 18,316	\$ 604,903	94.6%
7	\$ 126,920	11.8%	14,962	\$ (5,173)	\$ 9,788	7.7%	88.2%	\$ 111,958	\$ 5,173	\$ 117,132	92.3%
8	\$ 129,522	21.9%	28,403	\$ 6,668	\$ 35,072	27.1%	78.1%	\$ 101,119	\$ (6,668)	\$ 94,450	72.9%
9	\$ 204,436	12.0%	24,507	\$ 4,665	\$ 29,171	14.3%	88.0%	\$ 179,930	\$ (4,665)	\$ 175,265	85.7%
10	\$ 135,074	41.3%	55,781	\$ 556	\$ 56,337	41.7%	58.7%	\$ 79,293	\$ (556)	\$ 78,737	58.3%
11	\$ 496,780	32.4%	161,106	\$ (6,259)	\$ 154,847	31.2%	67.6%	\$ 335,675	\$ 6,259	\$ 341,934	68.8%
12	\$ 71,060	31.8%	22,567	\$ 3,563	\$ 26,131	36.8%	68.2%	\$ 48,492	\$ (3,563)	\$ 44,929	63.2%
13	\$ 125,525	9.4%	11,793	\$ 8,540	\$ 20,333	16.2%	90.6%	\$ 113,732	\$ (8,540)	\$ 105,192	83.8%
Ttl.	\$ 3,000,000	21.0%	630,742	\$ 24,233	\$ 654,975	21.8%	79.0%	\$ 2,369,258	\$ (24,233)	\$ 2,345,025	78.2%