

## 2009 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

**Table 1. Regional, Rural, and Urban Funding Amounts**

The table below shows the allocation of funds to the 13 State Service Regions and the corresponding rural and urban/exurban distribution within each region.

Region	Place for Geographical Reference	Regional Funding Amount	Regional Funding %	Rural Funding Amount	Rural Funding %	Urban Funding Amount	Urban Funding %
1	Lubbock	\$1,835,913	5.4%	\$1,835,501	100.0%	\$412	0.0%
2	Abilene	\$1,251,679	3.7%	\$1,216,694	97.2%	\$34,985	2.8%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$6,701,645	19.6%	\$1,945,342	29.0%	\$4,756,303	71.0%
4	Tyler	\$3,821,074	11.2%	\$3,247,546	85.0%	\$573,527	15.0%
5	Beaumont	\$1,726,757	5.0%	\$1,580,736	91.5%	\$146,021	8.5%
6	Houston	\$2,523,704	7.4%	\$893,165	35.4%	\$1,630,539	64.6%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$1,786,844	5.2%	\$735,705	41.2%	\$1,051,139	58.8%
8	Waco	\$1,089,262	3.2%	\$772,711	70.9%	\$316,551	29.1%
9	San Antonio	\$1,727,498	5.1%	\$1,130,593	65.4%	\$596,905	34.6%
10	Corpus Christi	\$2,313,261	6.8%	\$1,631,380	70.5%	\$681,882	29.5%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$6,790,801	19.9%	\$3,817,504	56.2%	\$2,973,297	43.8%
12	San Angelo	\$1,505,006	4.4%	\$648,115	43.1%	\$856,892	56.9%
13	El Paso	\$1,126,557	3.3%	\$822,436	73.0%	\$304,121	27.0%
Total		\$34,200,000	100.0%	\$20,277,426	59.3%	\$13,922,574	40.7%

## 2009 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

**Table 2. Regional Measures of Affordable Housing Need**

Total RAF Funding: **\$ 34,200,000**

Region	Poverty			Rental Cost Burden			Overcrowding			Substandard Housing			Combined Need Measures	
	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount		
	50%		\$ 17,100,000	36%		\$ 12,312,000	12%		\$ 4,104,000	2%		\$ 684,000		
	# of Persons	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Region's Need Based %
1	54,363	5%	\$ 839,112	17,890	4%	\$ 504,954	5,267	5%	\$ 185,458	772	3%	\$ 20,511	\$ 1,550,035	4.5%
2	40,144	4%	\$ 619,634	15,940	4%	\$ 449,923	2,340	2%	\$ 82,378	718	3%	\$ 19,092	\$ 1,171,027	3.4%
3	152,592	14%	\$ 2,355,319	113,658	26%	\$ 3,208,005	20,276	17%	\$ 713,887	3,352	13%	\$ 89,089	\$ 6,366,301	18.6%
4	116,504	11%	\$ 1,798,292	48,046	11%	\$ 1,356,101	8,097	7%	\$ 285,075	2,473	10%	\$ 65,737	\$ 3,505,205	10.2%
5	60,919	5%	\$ 940,308	23,993	6%	\$ 677,198	4,070	3%	\$ 143,295	1,319	5%	\$ 35,053	\$ 1,795,853	5.3%
6	106,218	10%	\$ 1,639,523	51,754	12%	\$ 1,460,762	14,227	12%	\$ 500,907	2,189	9%	\$ 58,184	\$ 3,659,376	10.7%
7	61,339	6%	\$ 946,797	46,170	11%	\$ 1,303,151	8,460	7%	\$ 297,854	1,622	6%	\$ 43,125	\$ 2,590,927	7.6%
8	49,232	4%	\$ 759,918	22,474	5%	\$ 634,337	4,542	4%	\$ 159,920	950	4%	\$ 25,257	\$ 1,579,432	4.6%
9	50,414	5%	\$ 778,154	22,585	5%	\$ 637,479	4,993	4%	\$ 175,790	1,348	5%	\$ 35,842	\$ 1,627,265	4.8%
10	74,945	7%	\$ 1,156,810	21,575	5%	\$ 608,971	6,623	6%	\$ 233,172	1,619	6%	\$ 43,029	\$ 2,041,983	6.0%
11	249,859	23%	\$ 3,856,685	29,667	7%	\$ 837,357	28,596	25%	\$ 1,006,822	7,128	28%	\$ 189,469	\$ 5,890,334	17.2%
12	47,152	4%	\$ 727,805	15,568	4%	\$ 439,419	4,424	4%	\$ 155,750	861	3%	\$ 22,884	\$ 1,345,858	3.9%
13	44,161	4%	\$ 681,642	6,885	2%	\$ 194,342	4,649	4%	\$ 163,692	1,382	5%	\$ 36,729	\$ 1,076,404	3.1%
Ttl.	1,107,841	100%	\$ 17,100,000	436,207	100%	\$ 12,312,000	116,561	100%	\$ 4,104,000	25,732	100%	\$ 684,000	\$ 34,200,000	100%

**2009 HOME Regional Allocation Formula**

**Table 3. Regional Distribution of Available Housing Resources**

Region	HTF	HOPWA	PHA Capital	S8	USDA MF	USDA RA	HTC	MF Bond	HTC w/ Bond	USDA SF	SF Bond	HOME	Region's Resource Total	Region's Resource %
1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,587,023	\$ 3,458,251	\$ -	\$ 2,543,195	\$ 1,349,160	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 227,336	\$ 3,019,228	\$ 166,761	\$ 12,350,954	2.1%
2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,290,686	\$ 2,500,796	\$ -	\$ 2,854,648	\$ 743,008	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,371,551	\$ 3,586,937	\$ 801,400	\$ 16,149,026	2.7%
3	\$ 402,100	\$ 160,837	\$ 3,731,835	\$ 29,008,279	\$ -	\$ 4,168,314	\$ 22,725,864	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,920,607	\$ 22,343,889	\$ 5,528,179	\$ 92,989,904	15.8%
4	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,527,081	\$ 12,881,158	\$ 4,360,538	\$ 3,661,520	\$ 8,760,032	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,290,595	\$ 972,722	\$ 6,133,483	\$ 44,587,129	7.6%
5	\$ 178,321	\$ 125,082	\$ 2,548,623	\$ 13,732,364	\$ 250,000	\$ 2,229,142	\$ 3,658,072	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,874,296	\$ 6,286,303	\$ 1,307,770	\$ 32,189,973	5.5%
6	\$ 69,156	\$ -	\$ 3,264,207	\$ 14,777,096	\$ -	\$ 2,746,202	\$ 31,307,984	\$ 8,060,000	\$ 6,709,496	\$ 1,011,249	\$ 40,933,688	\$ 2,314,169	\$ 111,193,247	18.8%
7	\$ 208,330	\$ 22,295	\$ 2,290,807	\$ 5,246,083	\$ 4,461,735	\$ 1,492,725	\$ 19,123,400	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,680,315	\$ 55,596,448	\$ 9,091,968	\$ 103,214,106	17.5%
8	\$ -	\$ 32,521	\$ 2,770,075	\$ 11,448,320	\$ 1,226,905	\$ 3,030,862	\$ 9,381,776	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,504,664	\$ 12,306,539	\$ 3,752,420	\$ 45,454,082	7.7%
9	\$ 250,000	\$ -	\$ 1,296,434	\$ 5,078,661	\$ -	\$ 1,219,147	\$ 7,319,992	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,452,037	\$ 2,430,817	\$ 3,990,230	\$ 23,037,318	3.9%
10	\$ -	\$ 50,231	\$ 3,990,924	\$ 10,977,377	\$ -	\$ 2,193,485	\$ 377,560	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,068,381	\$ 909,237	\$ 1,010,567	\$ 21,577,762	3.7%
11	\$ 750,000	\$ 23,292	\$ 5,326,479	\$ 17,010,473	\$ -	\$ 1,948,189	\$ 16,737,104	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,500,245	\$ 4,987,938	\$ 4,017,953	\$ 56,301,673	9.5%
12	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,327,195	\$ 4,909,521	\$ 1,043,171	\$ 981,651	\$ 6,227,264	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 430,537	\$ 105,274	\$ 187,200	\$ 15,211,813	2.6%
13	\$ 250,000	\$ -	\$ 272,710	\$ 3,453,821	\$ -	\$ 288,641	\$ 6,563,488	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,440,144	\$ 1,087,021	\$ 696,600	\$ 16,052,425	2.7%
Total	\$ 2,107,907	\$ 414,258	\$ 37,224,079	\$ 134,482,200	\$ 11,342,349	\$ 29,357,721	\$ 134,274,704	\$ 8,060,000	\$ 6,709,496	\$ 32,771,957	\$ 154,566,041	\$ 38,998,700	\$ 590,309,412	100%
% of Total Resources	0.4%	0.1%	6.3%	22.8%	1.9%	5.0%	22.7%	1.4%	1.1%	5.6%	26.2%	6.6%		

% of Resources not Regionally Allocated: 31.0%

**2009 HOME Regional Allocation Formula**

**Table 4. Regional Available Housing Resources Funding Adjustment**

Region	Difference between Resources and Need			Over Allocated Regional Resource Differences						Under Allocated Regional Resource Differences				
	Region's Resource %	Region's Need Based %	Resource Difference	Over Allocated Resource Differences	Over Allocation %	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment	Under Allocated Resource Differences	Under Allocation %	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment
1	2.1%	4.5%	-2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	-	1,550,035	-	-	-2%	-11%	285,878	\$ 481,047	\$ 285,878
2	2.7%	3.4%	-0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	-	1,171,027	-	-	-	-3%	80,652	\$ 363,423	\$ 80,652
3	15.8%	18.6%	-2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	-	6,366,301	-	-	-	-13%	335,344	\$ 1,975,755	\$ 335,344
4	7.6%	10.2%	-2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	-	3,505,205	-	-	-	-13%	315,869	\$ 1,087,826	\$ 315,869
5	5.5%	5.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.9%	(69,096)	1,795,853	(557,335)	(69,096)	0%	0%	-	\$ -	\$ -
6	18.8%	10.7%	8.1%	8.1%	38.1%	(2,782,685)	3,659,376	(1,135,672)	(1,135,672)	0%	0%	-	\$ -	\$ -
7	17.5%	7.6%	9.9%	9.9%	46.5%	(3,388,856)	2,590,927	(804,083)	(804,083)	0%	0%	-	\$ -	\$ -
8	7.7%	4.6%	3.1%	3.1%	14.4%	(1,053,983)	1,579,432	(490,170)	(490,170)	0%	0%	-	\$ -	\$ -
9	3.9%	4.8%	-0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	-	1,627,265	-	-	-	-4%	100,234	\$ 505,015	\$ 100,234
10	3.7%	6.0%	-2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	-	2,041,983	-	-	-	-11%	271,279	\$ 633,721	\$ 271,279
11	9.5%	17.2%	-7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	-	5,890,334	-	-	-	-36%	900,467	\$ 1,828,040	\$ 900,467
12	2.6%	3.9%	-1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	-	1,345,858	-	-	-	-6%	159,148	\$ 417,682	\$ 159,148
13	2.7%	3.1%	-0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	-	1,076,404	-	-1%	-	-2%	50,153	\$ 334,058	\$ 50,153
Ttl.	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	21.3%	100.0%	(7,294,621)	34,200,000	(2,987,261)	(2,499,022)	-21%	-100%	2,499,022	\$ 10,613,827	\$ 2,499,022

State Resource Difference: 21.3%  
 Total RAF Funding: 34,200,000  
 State Over Allocated Resource Amount: (7,294,621)  
 Regional Resource Funding Adjustment Limit: 31.0%

State Under Allocated Resource Amount  
 -8%  
 -1%  
 0%

**2009 HOME Regional Allocation Formula**

**Table 5. Regional Funding Amounts**

Region	Place for Geographic Reference	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Region's Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Funding Amount	Region's Funding Percentage
1	Lubbock	\$ 1,550,035	\$ 285,878	\$ 1,835,913	5.4%
2	Abilene	\$ 1,171,027	\$ 80,652	\$ 1,251,679	3.7%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$ 6,366,301	\$ 335,344	\$ 6,701,645	19.6%
4	Tyler	\$ 3,505,205	\$ 315,869	\$ 3,821,074	11.2%
5	Beaumont	\$ 1,795,853	\$ (69,096)	\$ 1,726,757	5.0%
6	Houston	\$ 3,659,376	\$ (1,135,672)	\$ 2,523,704	7.4%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$ 2,590,927	\$ (804,083)	\$ 1,786,844	5.2%
8	Waco	\$ 1,579,432	\$ (490,170)	\$ 1,089,262	3.2%
9	San Antonio	\$ 1,627,265	\$ 100,234	\$ 1,727,498	5.1%
10	Corpus Christi	\$ 2,041,983	\$ 271,279	\$ 2,313,261	6.8%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$ 5,890,334	\$ 900,467	\$ 6,790,801	19.9%
12	San Angelo	\$ 1,345,858	\$ 159,148	\$ 1,505,006	4.4%
13	El Paso	\$ 1,076,404	\$ 50,153	\$ 1,126,557	3.3%
Ttl.		\$ 34,200,000	\$ (0)	\$ 34,200,000	100%

**2009 HOME Regional Allocation Formula**

**Table 6. Measuring Affordable Housing Need in Rural and Urban/Exurban Places**

Poverty Measure

Measure Weight: 50%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Persons	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Persons	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 1,835,913	\$ 917,956	41,023	100%	\$ 917,956	0	0%	\$ -
2	\$ 1,251,679	\$ 625,839	29,880	99%	\$ 616,865	06389279392	1%	\$ 8,975
3	\$ 6,701,645	\$ 3,350,822	50,630	45%	\$ 1,493,089	1,5115101079	55%	\$ 1,857,733
4	\$ 3,821,074	\$ 1,910,537	51,160	85%	\$ 1,622,222	1,5245931657	15%	\$ 288,315
5	\$ 1,726,757	\$ 863,378	30,856	93%	\$ 800,162	78354155608	7%	\$ 63,216
6	\$ 2,523,704	\$ 1,261,852	31,388	33%	\$ 418,134	1,8883350775	67%	\$ 843,718
7	\$ 1,786,844	\$ 893,422	16,164	43%	\$ 387,877	1,2671463267	57%	\$ 505,544
8	\$ 1,089,262	\$ 544,631	18,656	51%	\$ 280,452	1,4359167327	49%	\$ 264,179
9	\$ 1,727,498	\$ 863,749	25,844	79%	\$ 685,429	1,56048572193	21%	\$ 178,320
10	\$ 2,313,261	\$ 1,156,631	47,886	83%	\$ 956,803	1,9239133936	17%	\$ 199,828
11	\$ 6,790,801	\$ 3,395,401	122,466	56%	\$ 1,884,729	1,3825799545	44%	\$ 1,510,671
12	\$ 1,505,006	\$ 752,503	21,515	60%	\$ 453,796	1,7554700276	40%	\$ 298,707
13	\$ 1,126,557	\$ 563,279	16,737	46%	\$ 260,452	1,0646516231	54%	\$ 302,827
Ttl.	\$ 34,200,000	\$ 17,100,000	504,203	100%	\$ 10,777,967	-	0%	\$ 6,322,033

Cost Burden Measure

Measure Weight: 36%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 1,835,913	\$ 660,929	13,313	100%	\$ 660,694	27272727273	0%	\$ 235
2	\$ 1,251,679	\$ 450,604	11,387	98%	\$ 443,827	85950744559	2%	\$ 6,777
3	\$ 6,701,645	\$ 2,412,592	27,654	34%	\$ 811,078	1,9152742089	66%	\$ 1,601,515
4	\$ 3,821,074	\$ 1,375,587	21,156	86%	\$ 1,183,718	1,9172335991	14%	\$ 191,868
5	\$ 1,726,757	\$ 621,632	12,089	87%	\$ 542,770	1,56039132223	13%	\$ 78,863
6	\$ 2,523,704	\$ 908,533	12,925	27%	\$ 247,389	1,0264807649	73%	\$ 661,144
7	\$ 1,786,844	\$ 643,264	10,140	32%	\$ 208,081	1,9674645425	68%	\$ 435,183
8	\$ 1,089,262	\$ 392,134	7,298	45%	\$ 176,245	1,03750293918	55%	\$ 215,889
9	\$ 1,727,498	\$ 621,899	10,261	69%	\$ 429,987	1,90523057716	31%	\$ 191,913
10	\$ 2,313,261	\$ 832,774	11,762	70%	\$ 585,722	1,16386946118	30%	\$ 247,052
11	\$ 6,790,801	\$ 2,444,688	13,782	53%	\$ 1,301,575	1,3918820272	47%	\$ 1,143,113
12	\$ 1,505,006	\$ 541,802	6,059	50%	\$ 271,889	1,66761952424	50%	\$ 269,913
13	\$ 1,126,557	\$ 405,561	2,877	54%	\$ 219,648	1,89861398403	46%	\$ 185,913
Ttl.	\$ 34,200,000	\$ 12,312,000	160,703	100%	\$ 7,082,622	-	0%	\$ 5,229,378

**2009 HOME Regional Allocation Formula**

**Over Crowding Measure**

Measure Weight: **12%**

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 1,835,913	\$ 220,310	4,168	100%	\$ 220,310	0	0%	\$ -
2	\$ 1,251,679	\$ 150,201	1,752	99%	\$ 148,645	7222222222	1%	\$ 1,556
3	\$ 6,701,645	\$ 804,197	5,583	35%	\$ 279,603	60906568078	65%	\$ 524,594
4	\$ 3,821,074	\$ 458,529	3,708	88%	\$ 401,673	17641974094	12%	\$ 56,856
5	\$ 1,726,757	\$ 207,211	2,083	92%	\$ 191,625	01699225183	8%	\$ 15,585
6	\$ 2,523,704	\$ 302,844	3,467	26%	\$ 79,186	28583849924	74%	\$ 223,659
7	\$ 1,786,844	\$ 214,421	2,426	50%	\$ 106,379	79552564826	50%	\$ 108,042
8	\$ 1,089,262	\$ 130,711	1,621	47%	\$ 61,441	88876673483	53%	\$ 69,270
9	\$ 1,727,498	\$ 207,300	2,499	79%	\$ 163,317	01348725471	21%	\$ 43,982
10	\$ 2,313,261	\$ 277,591	4,049	80%	\$ 222,021	45270050186	20%	\$ 55,570
11	\$ 6,790,801	\$ 814,896	13,434	54%	\$ 436,353	13669324985	46%	\$ 378,543
12	\$ 1,505,006	\$ 180,601	1,889	56%	\$ 100,858	57959802955	44%	\$ 79,743
13	\$ 1,126,557	\$ 135,187	1,696	45%	\$ 60,380	84240141318	55%	\$ 74,807
Ttl.	\$ 34,200,000	\$ 4,104,000	48,376	100%	\$ 2,471,791	-	0%	\$ 1,632,209

**Substandard Measure**

Measure Weight: **2%**

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 1,835,913	\$ 36,718	537	100%	\$ 36,718	0	0%	\$ -
2	\$ 1,251,679	\$ 25,034	455	98%	\$ 24,482	11111111111	2%	\$ 551
3	\$ 6,701,645	\$ 134,033	1,146	49%	\$ 65,164	20104389318	51%	\$ 68,869
4	\$ 3,821,074	\$ 76,421	836	89%	\$ 68,041	96643811501	11%	\$ 8,381
5	\$ 1,726,757	\$ 34,535	443	90%	\$ 31,160	36208347193	10%	\$ 3,375
6	\$ 2,523,704	\$ 50,474	594	33%	\$ 16,885	18775185884	67%	\$ 33,589
7	\$ 1,786,844	\$ 35,737	514	68%	\$ 24,232	91639363222	32%	\$ 11,505
8	\$ 1,089,262	\$ 21,785	291	55%	\$ 12,079	78452990197	45%	\$ 9,706
9	\$ 1,727,498	\$ 34,550	512	80%	\$ 27,642	63761666263	20%	\$ 6,908
10	\$ 2,313,261	\$ 46,265	796	79%	\$ 36,703	16130036669	21%	\$ 9,562
11	\$ 6,790,801	\$ 135,816	4,081	65%	\$ 88,000	217.4226964	35%	\$ 47,816
12	\$ 1,505,006	\$ 30,100	294	53%	\$ 15,887	58286735401	47%	\$ 14,213
13	\$ 1,126,557	\$ 22,531	493	47%	\$ 10,493	56471733567	53%	\$ 12,039
Ttl.	\$ 34,200,000	\$ 684,000	10,992	100%	\$ 457,486	-	0%	\$ 226,514

**2009 HOME Regional Allocation Formula**

**Overall Measure of Affordable Housing Need**

Region	Region's Funding Amount				Rural Need Based Funding Amount	Rural Need Based %		Urban Need Based Funding Amount	Urban Need Based %
1	\$ 1,835,913				\$ 1,835,678	100.0%		\$ 235	0.0%
2	\$ 1,251,679				\$ 1,233,819	98.6%		\$ 17,860	1.4%
3	\$ 6,701,645				\$ 2,648,934	39.5%		\$ 4,052,711	60.5%
4	\$ 3,821,074				\$ 3,275,654	85.7%		\$ 545,420	14.3%
5	\$ 1,726,757				\$ 1,565,717	90.7%		\$ 161,040	9.3%
6	\$ 2,523,704				\$ 761,594	30.2%		\$ 1,762,110	69.8%
7	\$ 1,786,844				\$ 726,570	40.7%		\$ 1,060,274	59.3%
8	\$ 1,089,262				\$ 530,218	48.7%		\$ 559,044	51.3%
9	\$ 1,727,498				\$ 1,306,375	75.6%		\$ 421,123	24.4%
10	\$ 2,313,261				\$ 1,801,249	77.9%		\$ 512,012	22.1%
11	\$ 6,790,801				\$ 3,710,658	54.6%		\$ 3,080,143	45.4%
12	\$ 1,505,006				\$ 842,429	56.0%		\$ 662,577	44.0%
13	\$ 1,126,557				\$ 550,972	48.9%		\$ 575,585	51.1%
Ttl.	\$ 34,200,000				\$ 20,789,866	60.8%		\$ 13,410,134	39.2%



**2009 HOME Regional Allocation Formula**

**Table 7. Measuring Available Housing Resources in Rural and Urban Places**

Region	Reg All Res Rur	Reg All Res Urb	Reg All Res	Rural Resource %	Urban Resource %
1	\$ 12,350,568	\$ 386	\$ 12,350,954	100.0%	0.0%
2	\$ 16,139,550	\$ 9,477	\$ 6	99.9%	0.1%
3	\$ 46,518,584	\$ 46,471,320	\$ 92,989,904	50.0%	50.0%
4	\$ 38,550,746	\$ 6,036,383	\$ 44,587,129	86.5%	13.5%
5	\$ 28,476,904	\$ 3,713,069	\$ 32,189,973	88.5%	11.5%
6	\$ 27,758,526	\$ 83,434,721	\$ 111,193,247	25.0%	75.0%
7	\$ 41,441,424	\$ 61,772,881	\$ 103,214,106	40.2%	59.8%
8	\$ 12,006,533	\$ 33,447,550	\$ 45,454,082	26.4%	73.6%
9	\$ 19,765,524	\$ 3,271,794	\$ 23,037,318	85.8%	14.2%
10	\$ 18,386,309	\$ 3,191,453	\$ 21,577,762	85.2%	14.8%
11	\$ 29,878,751	\$ 26,422,922	\$ 56,301,673	53.1%	46.9%
12	\$ 10,478,862	\$ 4,732,951	\$ 15,211,813	68.9%	31.1%
13	\$ 3,982,745	\$ 12,069,680	\$ 16,052,425	24.8%	75.2%
Ttl.	\$ 305,735,024	\$ 284,574,388	\$ 590,309,412	51.8%	48.2%

**2009 HOME Regional Allocation Formula**

**Table 8. Rural and Urban Resource Adjustment**

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Rural Areas							Urban Areas						
		Area's Resource %	Area's Need %	Area's Resource Difference	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Resource %	Area's Need %	Area's Resource Difference	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment
1	\$ 1,835,913	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	\$ (177)	\$ 1,835,678	\$ (569,695)	\$ (177)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
2	\$ 1,251,679	99.9%	98.6%	1.4%	\$ (17,125)	\$ 1,233,819	\$ (382,911)	\$ (17,125)	0.1%	1.4%	-1.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	\$ 6,701,645	50.0%	39.5%	10.5%	\$ (703,592)	\$ 2,648,934	\$ (822,085)	\$ (703,592)	50.0%	60.5%	-10.5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	\$ 3,821,074	86.5%	85.7%	0.7%	\$ (28,108)	\$ 3,275,654	\$ (1,016,585)	\$ (28,108)	13.5%	14.3%	-0.7%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
5	\$ 1,726,757	88.5%	90.7%	-2.2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	11.5%	9.3%	2.2%	\$ (38,139)	\$ 161,040	\$ (15,019)	\$ (15,019)
6	\$ 2,523,704	25.0%	30.2%	-5.2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	75.0%	69.8%	5.2%	\$ (131,571)	\$ 1,762,110	\$ (1,230,347)	\$ (131,571)
7	\$ 1,786,844	40.2%	40.7%	-0.5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	59.8%	59.3%	0.5%	\$ (9,135)	\$ 1,060,274	\$ (629,144)	\$ (9,135)
8	\$ 1,089,262	26.4%	48.7%	-22.3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	73.6%	51.3%	22.3%	\$ (242,493)	\$ 559,044	\$ (286,919)	\$ (242,493)
9	\$ 1,727,498	85.8%	75.6%	10.2%	\$ (175,782)	\$ 1,306,375	\$ (405,428)	\$ (175,782)	14.2%	24.4%	-10.2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	\$ 2,313,261	85.2%	77.9%	7.3%	\$ (169,870)	\$ 1,801,249	\$ (559,010)	\$ (169,870)	14.8%	22.1%	-7.3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
11	\$ 6,790,801	53.1%	54.6%	-1.6%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	46.9%	45.4%	1.6%	\$ (106,846)	\$ 3,080,143	\$ (1,397,078)	\$ (106,846)
12	\$ 1,505,006	68.9%	56.0%	12.9%	\$ (194,315)	\$ 842,429	\$ (261,444)	\$ (194,315)	31.1%	44.0%	-12.9%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
13	\$ 1,126,557	24.8%	48.9%	-24.1%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	75.2%	51.1%	24.1%	\$ (271,464)	\$ 575,585	\$ (294,080)	\$ (271,464)
Ttl.	\$ 34,200,000	51.8%	60.8%	-9.0%	(1,288,968)	12,944,138	(4,017,159)	(1,288,968)	48.2%	39.2%	9.0%	(799,648)	\$ 7,198,196	\$ (3,852,587)	(776,528)

Resource Funding Adjustment Limit: 31.0%

## 2009 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

**Table 9. Rural and Urban Area Funding Amount**

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Rural Areas					Urban Areas				
		Area's Need %	Area's Need Based Funding Amount	Area's Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Rural	Area's Need %	Area's Need Based Funding Amount	Area's Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Urban
1	\$ 1,835,913	100.0%	1,835,678	\$ (177)	\$ 1,835,501	100.0%	0.0%	\$ 235	\$ 177	\$ 412	0.0%
2	\$ 1,251,679	98.6%	1,233,819	\$ (17,125)	\$ 1,216,694	97.2%	1.4%	\$ 17,860	\$ 17,125	\$ 34,985	2.8%
3	\$ 6,701,645	39.5%	2,648,934	\$ (703,592)	\$ 1,945,342	29.0%	60.5%	\$ 4,052,711	\$ 703,592	\$ 4,756,303	71.0%
4	\$ 3,821,074	85.7%	3,275,654	\$ (28,108)	\$ 3,247,546	85.0%	14.3%	\$ 545,420	\$ 28,108	\$ 573,527	15.0%
5	\$ 1,726,757	90.7%	1,565,717	\$ 15,019	\$ 1,580,736	91.5%	9.3%	\$ 161,040	\$ (15,019)	\$ 146,021	8.5%
6	\$ 2,523,704	30.2%	761,594	\$ 131,571	\$ 893,165	35.4%	69.8%	\$ 1,762,110	\$ (131,571)	\$ 1,630,539	64.6%
7	\$ 1,786,844	40.7%	726,570	\$ 9,135	\$ 735,705	41.2%	59.3%	\$ 1,060,274	\$ (9,135)	\$ 1,051,139	58.8%
8	\$ 1,089,262	48.7%	530,218	\$ 242,493	\$ 772,711	70.9%	51.3%	\$ 559,044	\$ (242,493)	\$ 316,551	29.1%
9	\$ 1,727,498	75.6%	1,306,375	\$ (175,782)	\$ 1,130,593	65.4%	24.4%	\$ 421,123	\$ 175,782	\$ 596,905	34.6%
10	\$ 2,313,261	77.9%	1,801,249	\$ (169,870)	\$ 1,631,380	70.5%	22.1%	\$ 512,012	\$ 169,870	\$ 681,882	29.5%
11	\$ 6,790,801	54.6%	3,710,658	\$ 106,846	\$ 3,817,504	56.2%	45.4%	\$ 3,080,143	\$ (106,846)	\$ 2,973,297	43.8%
12	\$ 1,505,006	56.0%	842,429	\$ (194,315)	\$ 648,115	43.1%	44.0%	\$ 662,577	\$ 194,315	\$ 856,892	56.9%
13	\$ 1,126,557	48.9%	550,972	\$ 271,464	\$ 822,436	73.0%	51.1%	\$ 575,585	\$ (271,464)	\$ 304,121	27.0%
Ttl.	\$ 34,200,000	60.8%	20,789,866	\$ (512,440)	\$ 20,277,426	59.3%	39.2%	\$ 13,410,134	\$ 512,440	\$ 13,922,574	40.7%