Legislative Appropriations Request

for Fiscal Years 2010 and 2011

Submitted to the
Governor's Office of Budget and Planning
and
Legislative Budget Board

by

FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS

at Dallas, Texas

Linda Thomas, Chief Justice

Justice Joseph Morris
Justice Carolyn Wright
Justice David Bridges
Justice Kerry FitzGerald
Justice Molly Francis
Justice Elizabeth Lang-Miers

Justice Mark Whittington
Justice Jim Moseley
Justice Michael O'Neill
Justice Martin Richter
Justice Douglas Lang
Justice Amos Mazzant

August 06, 2008

Approved

Gayle Humpa, Business Administrator

Submitted by

Linda Thomas, Chief Justice

ADMINISTRATOR'S STATEMENT

81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1
Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/31/2008
ion 1 TIME: 11:59:24AM

PAGE: 1 of

Agency name: Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas

Agency code:

staff to reflect levels of responsibility. By the end of the 80th Legislature, the majority of this "guideline budget" initiative was funded, bringing same-size courts to similar funding levels. The Fifth Court is grateful for the Legislature's support in procuring this much-needed funding. the recruitment and retention of qualified attorneys, 2) reclassify the majority of law clerks as permanent staff attorneys, and 3) make salary adjustments for some non-legal sessions, the courts of appeals collectively sought resources to similarly fund samesize appellate courts to: 1) create a career ladder for staff attorneys that would allow for researching and writing opinions. Consequently, approximately 93% of the Fifth Court's appropriated budget is dedicated to salaries. During the 79th and 80th legislative highly skilled and trained professional workforce, including appellate court lawyers and clerical staff who assist the justices of the court in disposing of cases and The core function of the state courts of appeals is to process, review, and decide by written opinion or order appeals from criminal and civil trial courts This requires a

mandate of Texas Government Code Section 659.0445. In the 2010-11 biennium, the Fifth Court will need \$40,800 to fund its judicial longevity pay. appropriate salary adjustments for non-legal staff to reflect increasing levels of responsibility. The amount requested will also allow the Fifth Court to comply with the funding will allow the courts to continue the same size court initiative of a career ladder for attorneys add one or more permanent staff attorneys, and continue to make monthly longevity pay for each year of service after the justice completes sixteen years 16) of state service in the Judicial Retirement System of Texas. The additional recruit and retain a qualified staff and to comply with the requirements of Section659.0445 of the Texas Government Code which entitles justices to twenty dollars (\$20) in To continue meeting performance goals and dispose of more cases in less time the guideline budgets have been revised to add funding that is needed to continue to

caseload in a productive and efficient manner experienced legal staff to properly handle this workload. The federal courts employ three attorneys for each active federal court of appeals judge compared to two attorneys for each judge in the state courts of appeals. Therefore, the revised guideline budget includes an additional staff attorney to assist the court in managing its time period. The courts of appeals disposed of an average of nearly 12,000 cases in each of the past six years. The courts of appeals must have an adequate number of While the number of justices for each state court of appeals has not been increased in twenty five(25) years, filings have increased by fifty-five (55) percent over the same

revised their guideline budgets to bring their attorney salaries more in line with other government sectors in each court). Further, the current budget levels do not allow adequate funding to compensate attorneys at higher rates To address this issue, the courts of appeals have federal government. Currently, the courts of appeals have a rider that limits the pay of newly hired or promoted attorneys to\$72,500 (and \$84,000 for a chief staff attorney Bureau of Labor Statistics (see www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes231011.htm, May 2007), attorneys in state government are paid less than other industry sectors including local and federal government. In FY 2007, the annual mean wage for attorneys in state government was\$78,310 compared to \$87,130 for local government and \$119,730 for The courts of appeals must also be able to offer competitive salaries in order to recruit and retain the most qualified staff According to national statistics published by the

consistent with historical court performance goals. The court's clearance rate would remain at or slightly above 100%. These guideline budget initiatives will permit the Fifth Court to continue to decrease the time cases are under submission and the time cases are pending to levels

RIDER REQUESTS

staff attorney and \$97,750 for chief staff attorney). The court requests a change to Article IV rider, Sec. 12, Appellate Court Salary Limits, to reflect the salary levels proposed in the revised guideline budgets (\$85,000 for

The court also requests the following with regard to the across the board riders found in Article IV(p. IV-39):

ADMINISTRATOR'S STATEMENT

81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1
Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency name: Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas

DATE: 7/31/2008 TIME: 11:59:30AM

PAGE: 2 of

2

Retain Article IV rider, Sec. 9, Appellate Court Exemptions

Agency code:

225

Retain Article IV rider, Sec. 10, Appn: Unexpended Balances Between Fiscal Years within the Biennium

Retain Article IV rider, Sec. 13, Interagency Contracts for Assigned Judges for Appellate Courts

4) Retain Article IV rider, Sec. 14, Appellate Court Transfer Authority

continuation of these budget features carryover unexpended budget balances between years of the biennium The flexibility afforded by these measures enhances the courts' management ability, and we seek Historically, the Legislature has granted the courts exemption from certain limitations in the General Appropriations Act They have also granted the authority to

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY:

not fully funded for the 2010-11 biennium, this court would need additional funds to maintain its own separate information technology network This Court supports the consolidated budget approach represented in the biennial appropriations request of the Office of Court Administration If the OCA's request is

additional funds available for general expenditures of the court The amount can vary significantly from year to year reimbursement for copies of opinions and other court documents These amounts are merely an offset for additional expenses incurred by the court and do not constitute NOTE on Appropriated Receipts - At the direction of the LBB & Governors Office, this court has included appropriated receipts in the amount of \$32,000, reflecting

2.A. SUMMARY OF BASE REQUEST BY STRATEGY 81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

TIME: DATE: 7/31/2008 12:00:14PM

Agency code: 225 Agency name: Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas

Goal / Objective / STRATEGY	Exp 2007	Est 2008	Bud 2009	Req 2010	Req 2011
1 Appellate Court Operations					
1 Appellate Court Operations					
1 APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS	4,463,222	4,926,333	4,926,332	4,926,333	4,926,332
TOTAL, GOAL 1	\$4,463,222	\$4,926,333	\$4,926,332	\$4,926,333	\$4,926,332
TOTAL, AGENCY STRATEGY REQUEST	\$4,463,222	\$4,926,333	\$4,926,332	\$4,926,333	\$4,926,332
TOTAL, AGENCY RIDER APPROPRIATIONS REQUEST*				\$0	\$0
GRAND TOTAL, AGENCY REQUEST	\$4,463,222	\$4,926,333	\$4,926,332	\$4,926,333	\$4,926,332
METHOD OF FINANCING:					
General Revenue Funds:					
1 General Revenue Fund	4,052,564	4,500,383	4,500,382	4,500,383	4,500,382
SUBTOTAL	\$4,052,564	\$4,500,383	\$4,500,382	\$4,500,383	\$4,500,382
Other Funds:					
573 Judicial Fund	393,950	393,950	393,950	393,950	393,950
666 Appropriated Receipts	16,708	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000
SUBTOTAL	\$410,658	\$425,950	\$425,950	\$425,950	\$425,950
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING	\$4,463,222	\$4,926,333	\$4,926,332	\$4,926,333	\$4,926,332

^{*}Rider appropriations for the historical years are included in the strategy amounts.

2.B. SUMMARY OF BASE REQUEST BY METHOD OF FINANCE 81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

DATE: TIME:

7/31/2008 12:00:36PM

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

METHOD OF FINANCING Agency code: 225 Exp 2007 Agency name: Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas Est 2008 **Bud 2009** Req 2010 Req 2011

GENERAL REVENUE

	TOTAL,				
- CENTRAL MENTAL	TOTAL, General Revenue Fund TOTAL ALL GENERAL DEVENUE —	LAPSED APPROPRIATIONS Lapsed	Art IX, Sec 5.09, Reductions for Commercial Air Travel (2006-07 GAA) \$(5,249)	TRANSFERS Art IX, Sec 13.17(a), Salary Increase (2006-07 GAA) \$34,600	General Revenue Fund REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS Regular Appropriation from MOF Table
\$4,052,564	\$4,052,564	\$(2,651)	Commercial Air Travel (2006 \$(5,249)	ease (2006-07 GAA) \$34,600)F Table \$4,025,864
\$4,500,383	\$4,500,383	\$ 0	\$-07 GAA) \$0	\$0	\$4,500,383
\$4,500,382	\$4,500,382	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,500,382
\$4,500,383	\$4,500,383	\$0	\$0	\$	\$4,500,383
\$4,500,382	\$4,500,382	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,500,382

OTHER FUNDS

573 Judicial Fund No. 573 REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS

2.B. SUMMARY OF BASE REQUEST BY METHOD OF FINANCE

81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

7/31/2008 12:00:40PM

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: TIME:

TOTAL, GRAND TOTAL TOTAL, ALL OTHER FUNDS TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING Agency code: OTHER FUNDS 666 Appropriated Receipts RIDER APPROPRIATION REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS **TRANSFERS** Regular Appropriations Art IX, Sec 8.03, Reimbursements and Payments (2006-07 GAA) **Appropriated Receipts** HB11, 79th 2nd Called Session Regular Appropriations Judicial Fund No. 573 225 \$4,463,222 \$410,658 \$393,950 \$16,708 \$393,950 \$16,708 Exp 2007 \$0 \$0 Agency name: Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas \$4,926,333 \$425,950 \$393,950 \$393,950 \$32,000 \$32,000 Est 2008 \$0 \$0 \$4,926,332 \$425,950 \$32,000 \$393,950 \$393,950 **Bud 2009** \$32,000 \$0 \$0 \$4,926,333 \$425,950 \$393,950 \$393,950 \$32,000 Req 2010 \$32,000 \$0 \$0 \$4,926,332 \$425,950 \$393,950 \$393,950 \$32,000 Req 2011 \$32,000 \$0 \$0

2.B. SUMMARY OF BASE REQUEST BY METHOD OF FINANCE 81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

DATE: 7/31/2008 TIME: 12:00:40PM

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

225 Agency name: Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas

Agency code: 225	Agency name:	Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas	ict, Dallas		
METHOD OF FINANCING	Exp 2007	Est 2008	Bud 2009	Req 2010	Req 2011
FULL-TIME-EQUIVALENT POSITIONS					
REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS					
Regular Appropriations	55.4	62.0	62.0	60.3	60.3
UNAUTHORIZED NUMBER OVER (BELOW) CAP Adjustments		(4.6)	2 9	0.0	
	(200)		()		
TOTAL, ADJUSTED FTES	53.9	57.4	60.3	60.3	60.3
NUMBER OF 100% FEDERALLY FUNDED FTES	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

2.C. SUMMARY OF BASE REQUEST BY OBJECT OF EXPENSE 81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE:

12:01:04PM 7/31/2008

\$4,926,332	\$4,926,333	\$4,926,332	\$4,926,333	\$4,463,222	CTANG 10tal
\$4,926,332	\$4,926,333	\$4,926,332	\$4,926,333	\$4,463,222	OOE Total (Excluding Riders) OOE Total (Riders)
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,547	
\$293,436	\$293,436	\$293,436	\$337,834	\$149,374	5000 CAPITAL EXPENDITY INC.
\$30,507	\$30,507	\$30,507	\$29,618	\$33,028	2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE
\$36,720	\$36,720	\$36,720	\$36,720	\$31,338	2007 RENT - MACHINE AND OTHER
\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$24,000	\$21,093	2006 RENT - BITT DAYS
\$44,072	\$44,072	\$44,072	\$40,000	\$27,925	2005 TRAVEL
\$53,975	\$53,975	\$53,975	\$110,676	\$35,506	2003 CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES
\$4,437,622	\$4,437,623	\$4,437,622	\$4,347,485	\$4,142,411	1001 SALARIES AND WAGES 1002 OTHER PERSONNET COSTS
BL 2011	BL 2010	Bud 2009	Est 2008	Exp 2007	OBJECT OF EXPENSE
		strict, Dallas	Fifth Court of Appeals Distric	Agency name: Fifth Co	Agency code: 225

2.C.1. OPERATING COSTS DETAIL ~ BASE REQUEST 81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1
Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas(ABEST)

Time: 12:01:32PM Date: 7/31/2008

Agency Code: 225 Agency: Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas

BASE REQUEST STRATEGY: 1-1-1 Appellate Court Operations

Code	Type of Expense	Expended 2007	Estimated 2008	Budgeted 2009	Requested 2010	Requested 2011	
	Consumable Supplies	\$27 925	\$40.000	944 070		-	
2	Poetage	10 011	47,000	344,0/2	\$44,072	\$44,072	
- 1	I OSMEC	10,011	15,000	15,000	15.000	15,000	
4	Iravel	21,093	24,000	30,000	30,000	20,000	
6	Registrations/Training	21 102	0 ,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	
1	Orden and transmig	21,103	22,914	23,000	23,000	23,000	
	Subscriptions/Periodicals	44,054	68.456	45 000	45,000	75 000	
10	Court Security	-	35,000	35,000	35,000	70,000	
12	Maintenance & Renair - Fourinment	> (0000	00,000	33,000	35,000	
12	Firmities & Commont (F	i	. 0,3//	0	0	0	
: :	i minimo & Equipment (Expensed)	7,445	151	8,000	8.000	8 000	
10	Miscellaneous Expenses	3.359	23 436	32/26	2010	3,000	
25	Advertising	7 302	10,060	14,000	25,450	23,436	
26	Rooks (expensed)	20011	13,302	14,000	14,000	14,000	
7 i	Communication Continued	20,3/1	69,740	50,000	50,000	50,000	
2 2	Communication Services	26,599	31,392	30,000	30.000	30,000	
1 5	SUKM Assessment	9,040	5,206	10,000	10,000	10,000	
/0	Leasehold Improvements - Expensed	0	40,000	40,000	40,000	70,000	
				. 0,000	70,000	+0,000	
	Total, Operating Costs	\$198,392	\$401,834	\$367,508	\$367,508	\$367,508	

2.D. SUMMARY OF BASE REQUEST OBJECTIVE OUTCOMES

81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation system of Texas (ABEST)

Time: 12:02:11PM Date: 7/31/2008

Agency code: 225 Agency name: Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas

The second second was		regondy in	rightly name. Firm Court of Appears Pistrict, Pallas	pears District, Darras		
Goal/ Obje	Goal/ Objective / Outcome	Exp 2007	Est 2008	Bud 2009	BL 2010	BL 2011
$\begin{array}{cc} 1 & \text{Appe} \\ I \end{array}$	Appellate Court Operations 1 Appellate Court Operations					
KEY	1 Clearance Rate					
		92.80%	98.00%	97.75%	97.50%	97.50%
KEY	2 Percentage of Cases Under Submission for Less Than One Year	ubmission for Less Than (One Year			
KEY	96.65% 3 Percentage of Cases Pending for Less Than Two Years	96.65% for Less Than Two Years	95.50%	95.25%	95.00%	95.00%
		99.49%	98.50%	98.25%	97.50%	97.50%

2.E. SUMMARY OF EXCEPTIONAL ITEMS REQUEST 81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

DATE: 7/31/2008 TIME: 12:02:46PM

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

\$1,368,915	\$1,368,915		\$684,457	\$684,457		\$684,458	\$684,458	
0			0			0		Federal Funds Other Funds
\$1,368,915	\$1,368,915		\$684,457	\$684,457		\$684,458	\$684,458	Method of Financing General Revenue General Revenue - Dedicated
\$1,368,915	\$1,368,915		\$684,457	\$684,457		\$684,458	\$684,458	Total, Exceptional Items Request
\$1,368,915	\$1,368,915		\$684,457	\$684,457		\$684,458	\$684,458	1 Retain Adequate, Quality Staff
All Funds	GR and GR Dedicated	FTEs	All Funds	GR and GR Dedicated	FTEs	All Funds	GR and GR/GR Dedicated	Priority Item
8	Biennium		2011			2010		
			ict, Dallas	Appeals District, E	th Court of	Agency name: Fifth Court of Appeals Distri		Agency code: 225

Full Time Equivalent Positions

Number of 100% Federally Funded FTEs

0.0

0.0

DATE: TIME:

7/31/2008 12:03:14PM

2.F. SUMMARY OF TOTAL REQUEST BY STRATEGY 81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

GRAND TOTAL, AGENCY REQUEST	TOTAL, AGENCY RIDER APPROPRIATIONS REQUEST	TOTAL, AGENCY STRATEGY REQUEST	TOTAL, GOAL 1	1 APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS	1 Appellate Court Operations	1 Appellate Court Operations	Goal/Objective/STRATEGY	Agency code: 225 Agency name: Fifth Court of
\$4,926,333		\$4,926,333	\$4,926,333	\$4,926,333			Base 2010	Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas
\$4,926,332		\$4,926,332	\$4,926,332	\$4,926,332			Base 2011	allas
\$684,458		\$684,458	\$684,458	\$684,458			Exceptional 2010	
\$684,457		\$684,457	\$684,457	\$684,457			Exceptional 2011	
\$5,610,791		\$5,610,791	\$5,610,791	\$5,610,791			Total Request 2010	
\$5,610,789		\$5,610,789	\$5,610,789	\$5,610,789			Total Request 2011	

2.F. SUMMARY OF TOTAL REQUEST BY STRATEGY 81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: TIME:

7/31/2008 12:03:19PM

Agency code: 225	Agency name: Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas	District, Dallas	S				
Goal/Objective/STRATEGY		Base 2010	Base 2011	Exceptional 2010	Exceptional 2011	Total Request 2010	Total Request
General Revenue Funds:							
1 General Revenue Fund	€ 9	\$4,500,383	\$4,500,382	\$684,458	\$684,457	\$5,184,841	\$5,184,839
Other Funds:	₽	\$4,500,383	\$4,500,382	\$684,458	\$684,457	\$5,184,841	\$5,184,839
573 Judicial Fund		393,950	393,950	0	0	\$393,950	\$393,950
666 Appropriated Receipts		32,000	32,000	0	0	\$32,000	\$32,000
		\$425,950	\$425,950	\$0	\$0	\$425,950	\$425,950
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING	II	\$4,926,333	\$4,926,332	\$684,458	\$684,457	\$5,610,791	\$5,610,789
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS	ONS	60.3	60.3	0.0	0.0	60.3	60.3

2.G. SUMMARY OF TOTAL REQUEST OBJECTIVE OUTCOMES

81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation system of Texas (ABEST)

Time: 12:03:33PM Date: 7/31/2008

Goal/ Objective / Outcome Agency code: 225 BL Agency name: Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas BL Excp Excp Total Request Total Request

	KEY		KEY		KEY	1	-	
97.50%	3 Percentage of Cases Pending for Less Than Two Years	95.00%	2 Percentage of Cases Under Submission for Less Than One Year	97.50%	1 Clearance Rate	Appellate Court Operations	Appellate Court Operations	2010
97.50%	for Less Than Two Years	95.00%	ubmission for Less Than	97.50%				2011
99.00%	.	99.00%	One Year	100.00%				2010
99.00%		99.00%		100.00%				2011
99.00%		99.00%		100.00%				2010
99.00%		99.00%		100.00%				2011

3.A. STRATEGY REQUEST

81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code:

225

Agency name: Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas

DATE: TIME: 7/31/2008 12:03:54PM

Method of Financing: 573 Judicial Fund 666 Appropriated Receipts	Method of Financing: 1 General Revenue Fund SUBTOTAL, MOF (GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS)	ŗ	2006 RENT - BUILDING 2007 RENT - MACHINE AND OTHER 2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	2003 CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES 2005 TRAVEL	S	 Number of Crimmal Cases Filed Number of Cases Transferred in Number of Cases Transferred out 	Explanatory/Input Measures: 1 Number of Civil Cases Filed	Output Measures: 1 Number of Civil Cases Disposed 2 Number of Criminal Cases Disposed	CODE DESCRIPTION	GOAL: 1 Appellate Court Operations OBJECTIVE: 1 Appellate Court Operations STRATEGY: 1 Appellate Court Operations
\$393,950 \$16,708	\$4,052,564 \$4,052,564	\$2,547 \$4,463,222	\$31,338 \$33,028 \$149,374	\$27,925 \$21,093	\$4,142,411	1,2/3.00 1.00 52.00	866.00	787.00 1,136.00	Exp 2007	
\$393,950 \$32,000	\$4,500,383 \$4,500,383	\$0 \$4,926,333	\$36,720 \$29,618 \$337,834	\$110,576 \$40,000 \$24,000	\$4,347,485	1,331.00 1.00 142.00	883.00	800.00 1,150.00	Est 2008	
\$393,950 \$32,000	\$4,500,382 \$4,500,382	\$0 \$4,926,332	\$36,720 \$30,507 \$293,436	\$33,975 \$44,072 \$30,000	\$4,437,622	1,350.00 0.00 50.00	900.00	800.00 1,150.00	Bud 2009	Statewid Service (Service:
\$393,950 \$32,000	\$4,500,383 \$4,500,383	\$0 \$4,926,333	\$36,720 \$30,507 \$293,436	\$33,973 \$44,072 \$30,000	\$4,437,623	1,350.00 0.00 50.00	900.00	800.00 1,150.00	BL 2010	e Goal/Benchmark Categories: 01 Income:
\$393,950 \$32,000	\$4,500,382 \$4,500,382	\$0 \$4,926,332	\$36,720 \$30,507 \$293,436	\$33,975 \$44,072 \$30,000	\$4,437,622	1,350.00 0.00 50.00	900.00	800.00 1,150.00	BL 2011	: 0 0 A.2 Age: B.3

3.A. STRATEGY REQUEST

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) 81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

> TIME: DATE:

12:04:04PM 7/31/2008

GOAL: Agency code: 225 **Appellate Court Operations** Agency name: Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas Statewide Goal/Benchmark: 0 0

OBJECTIVE: STRATEGY:

Appellate Court Operations

Service Categories:

Appellate Court Operations

SINAIDOI. I Appendie Court Operations			Service:	01 Income: A.2	2 Age: B.3
CODE DESCRIPTION	Exp 2007	Est 2008	Bud 2009	BL 2010	BL 2011
SUBTOTAL, MOF (OTHER FUNDS)	\$410,658	\$425,950	\$425,950	\$425,950	\$425,950
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS)				\$4,926,333	\$4,926,332
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS)	\$4,463,222	\$4,926,333	\$4,926,332	\$4,926,333	\$4,926,332
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS:	53.9	57.4	60.3	60.3	60.3
STRATEGY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION:					

AIND JUST IF ICA HUN:

The Fifth Court of Appeals was created in 1893 pursuant to authority granted by Article V Section 6, Texas Constitution.

and other civil proceedings as provided by law, and in criminal courts except in post-conviction writs of habeas corpus and where the death penalty has been imposed The Court has jurisdiction in six counties. This Court has intermediate appellate jurisdiction of civil and criminal cases appealed from lower courts in civil cases where judgements rendered exceeds 100, exclusive of costs

EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS IMPACTING STRATEGY:

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION:

and criminal cases appealed from lower courts in civil cases where judgements rendered The Fifth Court of Appeals was created in 1893 pursuant to authority granted by Article V Section 6, Texas Constitution. This Court has intermediate appellate jurisdiction of civil

penalty has been imposed. The Court has jurisdiction in six counties. exceeds \$100, exclusive of costs and other civil proceedings as provided by law, and in criminal courts except in post-conviction writs of habeas corpus and where the death

EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS

knowledgeable staff to work on an increasing caseload Court of Appeals are by nature small agencies with highly specialized staff. The main factor which drives this strategy is the need to attract and retain highly trained and

3.A. STRATEGY REQUEST
81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1
Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: TIME:

7/31/2008 12:04:04PM

SUMMARY TOTALS:

FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS:	METHODS OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS):	OBJECTS OF EXPENSE: METHODS OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS):
53.9	\$4,463,222	\$4,463,222
57.4	\$4,926,333	\$4,926,333
60.3	\$4,926,332	\$4,926,332
60.3	\$4,926,333	\$4,926,333 \$4,926,333
60.3	\$4,926,332	\$4,926,332 \$4,926,332

3.B. RIDER REVISIONS AND ADDITIONS REQUEST

-	Number 2008-09 GAA	Rider in	Current Page Number	225 Sth District	Agency Code: Agency Name:
	Proposed Rider Language	A YYYY	7/25/08	irt of Appeals at Dallas	

	10			9	∞	Ų,
	IV-39			IV-39	IV-39	IV-38
Update to reflect the new biennium	Appropriation: Unexpended Balances Between Fiscal Years within the Biennium. Any unexpended balances from appropriations made to the appellate courts for fiscal year 2010 are hereby appropriated to the same court for fiscal year 2011 for the same purposes.	The Courts of Appeals request that this rider be retained and section numbers updated as needed.	 a. Article IX, § 5.08, Limitation on Travel Expenditures b. Article IX, § 6.10, Limitation on State Employment Levels c. Article IX, § 6.15, Performance Rewards and Penalties d. Article IX, §14.03, Limit on Expenditures - Capital Budget 	Appellate Court Exemptions. The following provisions of Article IX of this Act do not apply to the appellate courts:	Judicial Internship Program. It is the intent of the Legislature that the Judicial Branch cooperate with law schools to establish a judicial Council in the development of the judicial internship program. No change requested.	Transfer of Cases. The Chief Justices of the 14 Courts of Appeals are encouraged to cooperate with the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court to transfer cases between appellate courts which are in neighboring jurisdictions in order to equalize the disparity between the workloads of the various courts of appeals. No change requested.

3.B. RIDER REVISIONS AND ADDITIONS REQUEST

	12 IV-39		11 IV-39	r 2008-	Agency Code: Agency 225 Sth Di Current Page Number
Space riaer to reflect the new biennium and the amounts requested in the updated guideline budgets for the courts of appeals.	Appellate Court Salary Limits. It is the intent of the Legislature that no intermediate appellate court may pay more than one chief staff attorney promoted or hired after September 1, 2010, more than \$97,750 annually under this provision. Further, it is September 1, 2010 more than \$85,000 annually. This provision does not apply to law clerk positions at any appellate court.	No change requested.	Intermediate Appellate Court Local Funding Information. The Office of Court Administration shall assist the appellate courts in the submission of a report for local funding information each January 1 to the Legislative Budget Board and the Governor for the preceding fiscal year ending August 31. The report must be in a format prescribed by the Legislative Budget Board and the Governor.	AA Proposed Rider Language	Agency Name: Prepared by: Date: Request Level: 5th District Court of Appeals at Dallas Gayle Humpa 7/25/08 Request Level: Number Baseline

13

IV-39

appellate courts are in addition to amounts appropriated for the use of assigned judges in Strategy A.1.3, Visiting Judges -

the appellate courts. It is the intent of the Legislature that any amounts reimbursed under this contract for judges assigned to the reimbursing the Comptroller for amounts expended for judges assigned under Chapter 74, Government Code to hear cases of Interagency Contracts for Assigned Judges for Appellate Courts. Out of funds appropriated in this article to Strategies

Appeals may enter into a contract with the Office of the Comptroller for fiscal years 2010 and 2011, for the purpose of A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations, the Supreme Court of Texas, the Court of Criminal Appeals, or any of the 14 Courts of

Update rider to reflect the new biennium.

Appellate in the Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department.

3.B. RIDER REVISIONS AND ADDITIONS REQUEST

	Rider Number	Current	225	Agency Code:
	in 2008-09 GAA	Page Number	5 th	
	AA	ber	5th District Court of Appeals at Dallas	Agency Name:
	Proposed Rider		Gayle Humpa	Prepared by:
	Rider Language	1. M.O.O.O.O.	7/25/08	Date
		Dascille	Randina Bandina	Dogwood I cural.
_				

	14
	IV-39
Updated rider to reflect the new biennium.	Appellate Court Transfer Authority. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Texas, the Presiding Judge of the Court of Criminal Appeals, or the Chair of the Council of Chief Justices is authorized to transfer funds between appellate courts, notwithstanding any other provision in this Act and subject to prior approval of any transfer of funds by the Legislative Budget Board and the Governor. Any such transfer shall be made for the purpose of efficient and effective appellate court operations and management of court caseloads. It is the intent of the Legislature that transfers made under this provision are addressed by the Legislative Budget Board and the Governor in reviewing amounts requested in the appellate courts' Legislative Appropriations Request for the 2012-2013 biennium.

4.A. EXCEPTIONAL ITEM REQUEST SCHEDULE 81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

DATE: TIME:

12:05:33PM 7/31/2008

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 225 Agency name:

Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas

CODE DESCRIPTION	
Excp 2010 Excp 2011	
1	

Item Name: Item Priority: Employ and Retain Adequate, Quality Staff/Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts.

Includes Funding for the Following Strategy or Strategies: 01-01-01 Appellate Court Operations

OBJECTS

TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXPENSE
OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE
OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS
SALARIES AND WAGES
BUECTO OF EXPENSE:

METHOD OF FINANCING:

DESCRIPTION / JUSTIFICATION:

their responsibilities and the salaries paid to like personnel at the other courts of appeals These sums will enable the Fifth Court to hire sufficient personnel to better process the cases and to pay the attorneys and nonlegal staff salaries that are commensurate with

EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS:

4.B. EXCEPTIONAL ITEMS STRATEGY ALLOCATION SCHEDULE

DATE: 7/31/2008 TIME: 4:09:42PM

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) 81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Agency name: Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas

Agency code: 225

Item Name:	Employ and Retain Adequate, Quality Staff/Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts	lar Funding for Same-Sized Courts.	
Allocation to Strategy: 1-1-1 STRATEGY IMPACT ON OUTCOME MEASURES:	1-1-1 Appellate Court Operations OME MEASURES:		
1 Clearance Rate		100.00%	100.00%
$\frac{2}{3}$ Percentage of (Percentage of Cases Under Submission for Less Than One Year Percentage of Cases Pending for Less Than Two Years	99.00%	99.00% 99.00%
OUTPUT MEASURES:			
1 Number of Civ	Number of Criminal Cases Disposed	820.00 1.200.00	820.00 1.200.00
	URES:		
1 Number of Civil Cases Filed	il Cases Filed	900.00	900.00
2 Number of Cri 4 Number of Cas	Number of Criminal Cases Filed Number of Cases Transferred out	1,350.00 50.00	1,350.00 50.00
OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:			
1001 SALAR	SALARIES AND WAGES	601,185	601,184
1002 OTHER	OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	8,160	8,160
	CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES	13,403	13,403
2005 TRAVEL 2009 OTHER (TRAVEL OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	50,710	50,710
TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXPENSE		\$684,458	\$684,457
METHOD OF FINANCING: 1 General R	ING: General Revenue Fund	684,458	684,457
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING	ING	\$684.458	75V V893

4.C. EXCEPTIONAL ITEMS STRATEGY REQUEST

CODE DESCRIPTION 225 1 Appellate Court Operations 1 Appellate Court Operations **Appellate Court Operations** 81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1
Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) Agency name: Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas Service: 01 Income: Service Categories: Statewide Goal/Benchmark: Excp 2010 TIME: DATE: Age: B.3 0 - 0 12:06:09PM 7/31/2008 Excp 2011

GOAL:

Agency Code:

STRATEGY: OBJECTIVE:

STRATEGY IMPACT ON OUTCOME MEASURES:

1 Clearance Rate	100.00 %	100 00 0/
Percentage of Cases Under Submission for Less Than One Year	99.00 %	99.00 %
3 Percentage of Cases Pending for Less Than Two Years	99.00 %	99.00 %
OUTPUT MEASURES:		
1 Number of Civil Cases Disposed	820.00	820.00
2 Number of Criminal Cases Disposed	1,200.00	1,200.00
EXPLANATORY/INPUT MEASURES:		
1 Number of Civil Cases Filed	900.00	900.00
2 Number of Criminal Cases Filed	1,350.00	1,350.00
4 Number of Cases Transferred out	50.00	50.00
OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:		
1001 SALARIES AND WAGES	601,185	601,184
1002 OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	8,160	8,160
2003 CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES	13,403	13,403
2005 TRAVEL	11,000	11,000
2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	50,710	50,710
Total, Objects of Expense	\$684,458	\$684,457
METHOD OF FINANCING:		
1 General Revenue Fund	684,458	684,457
Total, Method of Finance	\$684,458	\$684,457

4.C. EXCEPTIONAL ITEMS STRATEGY REQUEST 81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

DATE: TIME:

7/31/2008 12:06:13PM

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

GOAL: STRATEGY: CODE DESCRIPTION OBJECTIVE: Agency Code: 225 1 Appellate Court Operations 1 Appellate Court Operations 1 Appellate Court Operations Agency name: Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas Service Categories: Service: 01 Statewide Goal/Benchmark: Excp 2010 Income: A.2 Age: 0 - 0 **B**.3 Excp 2011

EXCEPTIONAL ITEM(S) INCLUDED IN STRATEGY:

Employ and Retain Adequate, Quality Staff/Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts.

OPERATING COSTS DETAIL ~ EXCEPTIONAL ITEMS 81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1
Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas(ABEST)

Date: 7/31/2008
Time: 12:06:33PM
Page: 1 of 2

Agency Code: 225 Agency: Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas

BASE REQUEST STRATEGY: Appellate Court Operations

64	46	26	25	16	13	12	10	7	6	4	2		Code
SORM Assessment	Communication Services	Books (expensed)	Advertising	Miscellaneous Expenses	Furniture & Equipment (Expensed)	Maintenance & Repair - Equipment	Court Security	Subscriptions/Periodicals	Registrations/Training	Travel	Postage	Consumable Supplies	Type of Expense
2010 2011	2010 2011	2010 2011	2010 2011	2010 2011	2010 2011	2010 2011	2010 2011	2010 2011	2010 2011	2010 2011	2010 2011	2010 2011	Year
	10,000 10,000	19,740 19,740	5,962 5,962				5,000 5,000		2,000 2,000	11,000 11,000	5,000 5,000	\$13,403 13,403	Exceptional 1
													Exceptional 2
													Exceptional 3
													Exceptional 4
													Exceptional 5

OPERATING COSTS DETAIL ~ EXCEPTIONAL ITEMS 81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1
Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Date: 7/31/2008
Time: 12:06:37PM
Page: 2 of 2

Agency Code: 225 Agency: Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas

BASE REQUEST STRATEGY: Appellate Court Operations

Total, Operating Costs	78 Leasehold Improvements - Expensed	Code Type of Expense
2010 2011	2010 2011	Year
\$75,113 \$75,113	\$3,008 3,008	Year Exceptional 1
		Exceptional 2
		Exceptional 3
		Exceptional 4
		Exceptional 5

6.A. HISTORICALLY UNDERUTILIZED BUSINESS SUPPORTING SCHEDULE

81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1
Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Time:

7/31/2008 12:06:54PM

Agency Code: 225 Agency: Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas

COMPARISON TO STATEWIDE HUB PROCUREMENT GOALS

A. Fiscal Year 2006 - 2007 HUB Expenditure Information

Statewide	Procurement	HUB	HUB Expenditures FY 2006	FY 2006	Total Expenditures	H	B Expenditure	es FY 2007	Total Expenditures
HUB Goals	Category	% Goal % Actual	% Actual	Actual \$	FY 2006	% Goal	6 Goal % Actual	Actual \$	FY 2007
57.2%	Special Trade Construction	0.0 %	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0 %	0.0%	\$0	\$600
33.0%	Other Services	2.0 %	0.6%	\$480	\$76,015	2.0 %	0.3%	\$129	\$44,562
12.6%	Commodities	10.0%	15.6%	\$4,726	\$30,234	10.0 %	13.2%	\$7,629	\$57,961
	Total Expenditures		4.9%	\$5,206	\$106,249		7.5%	\$7,758	\$103,123

B. Assessment of Fiscal Year 2006 - 2007 Efforts to Meet HUB Procurement Goals

Attainment:

The Court attained 4.9% of applicable statewide HUB procurement goals in 2006. The Court attained 7.6% of applicable statewide HUB procurement goals in 2007.

мррисавшку:

court did not have any programs related to these procurement categories In the year 2006-07 the procurement categories not applicate to the courts operations were: heavy construction, building construction and professional services as the

Factors Affecting Attainment:

quotation of dealers or publishers, do not show this specialized reearch material - with exact specifications - to be availabe from any other source. The Court supports the statewide initiative of creating jobs for Texans with disabilities and purchases commodities from TIBH Industries Court's third biggest procurement expense is the purchase of law books'electronic legal research. Unfortunately, after examination of current catalogs, lists and price The Fifth Court spends a majority of its appropriated funds on salaries Computer and printer purchases are now made through the Office of Court Administration The

"Good-Faith" Efforts:

TAC 11.13(c). vendors; when possible. The Court has utilized HUBs for commodities purchase and other services and made a good faith effort to meet and exceed the goals outlined inl The Fifth Court of Appeals conscientiously makes every effort to recognize HUB vendors Office and computer supplies/maintenance are purchased from local HUB

6.B. Current Biennium One-time Expenditure Schedule

			Agency Code: 225
, ·	Item		Agency Name: Fifth District Court of Appeals
c	Amount	2008-2009	
	MOF	-2009	Prepared By: Fifth District Court of Appeals
	Amount	2010	
	MOF	2010-2011	Date: 8/6/2008

6.B. Current Biennium One-time Expenditure Schedule - Strategy Allocation 2008-09 Biennium

Agency Code:	e.	Agency Name:	Prepared By:		Date	
	225	Fifth District Court of Appeals	Fifth District Court of Appeals	ourt of Appeals	08/06/08	
PROJECT ITEM:	EM:					
ALLOCATIO	ALLOCATION TO STRATEGY:					
			Estimated	Budgeted	Requested	Requested
Code		Strategy Allocation	2008	2009	2010	2011
	Objects of Expense:	*				
-7	N/A		0	0	0_	0
	Total, Objects of Expense	(pense	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Method of Financing:	g:				
	N/A		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
			,			
	Total, Method of Financing	nancing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Description .	Description of Item for 2008-09					
N/A						

6.B. Current Biennium One-time Expenditure Schedule - Strategy Allocation 2010-11 Biennium

Agency Code:	le:	Agency Name:	Prepared By:		Date	
	225	Fifth District Court of Appeals	Fifth District Court of Appeals	ourt of Appeals	08/06/08	
PROJECT ITEM:	EM:					
ALLOCATIO	ALLOCATION TO STRATEGY:					
			Estimated	Budgeted	Requested	Requested
Code		Strategy Allocation	2008	2009	2010	2011
	Objects of Expense:	9.				
	N/A			0	0	0
	Total, Objects of Expense	xpense		4	\$0	\$0
	Method of Financing:	ıg:				
	N/A		9 0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Total, Method of Financing	nancing			\$0	\$0
Description	Description of Item for 2010-11					
N/A				i		

6.H. Estimated Total of All Agency Funds Outside the GAA Bill Pattern <u>Agency Name</u>

ESTINATED COAND TOTAL OF ACENCY FINDS OF THE 2010-11 GAA BILL PATTERN	лв тив 2010-11 GAA BILL PA	TTERN \$ 759,433	433
DULLITATION CHANGE A CATAMICS TROMPED			
Fund Name			
Estimated Beginning Balance in FY 2008	€Э	18,288	
Estimated Revenues FY 2008	↔	369,885	
Estimated Revenues FY 2009	€9	369,885	
	FY 2008-09 Total \$	758,058	
Estimated Beginning Balance in FY 2010	€	19,663	
Estimated Revenues FY 2010	∽	369,885	
Estimated Revenues FY 2011	59	369,885	
	FY 2010-11 Total \$	759,433	
Constitutional or Statutory Creation and Use of Funds:]
V.T.C.A., Government Code Section 22.2061, Appellate Judicial System - Purpose to defray costs and expenses incurred by the county	1 - Purpose to defray costs and expens	es incurred by the county to assist the Fifth Court of Appeals.	
Method of Calculation and Revenue Assumptions:			
Historical analysis.			

n Amount

6.I. 10 Percent Biennial Base Reduction Options Schedule

			12	=	10	9	8	7	0	, (ת	4	٥	3	2			T		_	Kank	,			Selection
	Agency Biennia	Agency Biennial Total														1-1-1 Appella	ollar	Ctt							CZZ :abov code: ZZS
	Agency Biennial Total (GR + GR-D)														- Choracollo	Appellate Court Operations	Name				Reduction Item				
	888,345														888,345	GR.	3							Agency Name:	
\$ 888,345	•															GR-Dedicated				Biennial Applic	!			Fifth District Co	
	•															Federal				cation of 10% Po			Ome de	Agency Name: Fifth District Court of Appeals	
	2														9.10	Other				Biennial Application of 10% Percent Reduction					
\$ 000,343		A		59	÷9 €	9	96	\$	\$		6	P	\$	888,345	Splin	All Elizado			;	3					
5.0	;													5	FT 08	1		to Budgeted 2009)	Request Co	2010-11	FTE Reductions (FY				
5.0														5 N	FY 09			id 2009)	mpared	Base	tions (FY				
_											-							Y/N	Impact?	xevenue					
#DIV/0!	#DIV/0i	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0i	10.476	#DIV/OI	#DIV/0!	#D1V/0:	52.50		Depe	Dace -	% of Approved	reduction as a	related	Cumulative GR-				

Rank / Name Explanation of Impact to Programs and Revenue Collections

4 0	3 0	2 0	Appellate Court Operations The total GR reduction of \$888,345 will require the elimination of five (5) attorney positions and a 50% cut in other operations eliminating/reducing law books, Westlaw/Lexis and court security.
			ons eliminating/reducing law books, Westlaw/Lexis and court security.

7.B. DIRECT ADMINISTRATIVE AND SUPPORT COSTS 81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1
Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/31/2008 TIME: 12:09:07PM

FULL-TIME-EQUIVALENT POSITIONS (FTE):	Total, Method of Financing	l General Revenue Fund	METHOD OF FINANCING:	Total, Objects of Expense	OBJECTS OF EXPENSE: 1001 SALARIES AND WAGES 1002 OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS 2003 CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES 2005 TRAVEL 2006 RENT - BUILDING 2007 RENT - MACHINE AND OTHER 2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE 5000 CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	Strategy	Agency code: 225
	€			60	↔		gency name: Fift
3.5	253,029 \$	253,029		253,029 S	233,780 \$ 3,330 1,676 1,266 1,880 1,982 8,962	Exp 2007	th Court of Appea
3.5	282,472 \$	282,472		282,472 \$	247,741 \$ 6,641 2,400 1,440 2,203 1,777 20,270	Est 2008	Agency name: Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas
3.5	277,064 \$	277,064		277,064 \$	247,741 \$ 3,239 2,644 1,800 2,203 1,830 17,607	Bud 2009	
3.5	277,064 \$	277,064		277,064 \$	247,741 \$ 3,239 2,644 1,800 2,203 1,830 17,607	BL 2010	
3.5	277,064	277 064		277,064	247,741 3,239 2,644 1,800 2,203 1,830 17,607	BL 2011	

7.B. DIRECT ADMINISTRATIVE AND SUPPORT COSTS 81st Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1
Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/31/2008 TIME: 12:09:11PM

Agency code:

225

Agency name: Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas

Full-Time-Equivalent Positions (FTE)	1 otal, Method of Financing	1 General Revenue Fund	Total, Objects of Expense Method of Financing	GRAND TOTALS Objects of Expense 1001 SALARIES AND WAGES 1002 OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS 2003 CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES 2005 TRAVEL 2006 RENT - BUILDING 2007 RENT - MACHINE AND OTHER 2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE 5000 CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	y British matter
3.5	\$253,029	\$253,029	\$253,029	\$233,780 \$3,330 \$1,676 \$1,266 \$1,880 \$1,982 \$8,962 \$8,962	Exp 2007 Est 2008
3.5	\$282,472	\$282,472	\$282,472	\$247,741 \$6,641 \$2,400 \$1,440 \$2,203 \$1,777 \$20,270 \$0	Est 2008
3.5	\$277,064	\$277,064	\$277,064	\$247,741 \$3,239 \$2,644 \$1,800 \$2,203 \$1,830 \$17,607	Bud 2009
3.5	\$277,064	\$277,064	\$277,064	\$247,741 \$3,239 \$2,644 \$1,800 \$2,203 \$1,830 \$17,607	BL 2010
3.5	\$277,064	\$277,064	\$277,064	\$247,741 \$3,239 \$2,644 \$1,800 \$2,203 \$1,830 \$17,607 \$0	BL 2011

Capital Expenditure Detail

									5007 Law Library	Y	by Capita		225 Fifth District Court of Appeals	Agency Code: Court/Agency: Strategy:
									\$12,743	2007	Expended			
									\$0	2008	Estimated			Prepared by:
									\$0	2009	Budgeted			
									\$0	2010	Requested	8/0/2/08		Date:
										2011	Requested			Strategy:

Organizational Chart

commensurate with their responsibilities and the salaries paid to like personnel at the other courts of appeals for the 20010-2011 biennium. number on the right is the number of positions requested to retain adequate, quality legal and non-legal staff with salaries that are Attach an organizational chart of the Fifth Court. The number on the left is the number of budgeted positions for fiscal year 2009. The

