

NATIONAL HURRICANE RESEARCH PROJECT

REPORT NO. 33

Meteorological Considerations Pertinent to
Standard Project Hurricane, Atlantic and
Gulf Coasts of the United States



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Frederick H. Mueller, Secretary
WEATHER BUREAU
F. W. Reichelderfer, Chief

NATIONAL HURRICANE RESEARCH PROJECT

REPORT NO. 33

Meteorological Considerations Pertinent to
Standard Project Hurricane, Atlantic and
Gulf Coasts of the United States

by
Howard E. Graham
Hydrometeorological Section, Hydrologic Services Division
U. S. Weather Bureau
and
Dwight E. Nunn
Office of Chief of Engineers, Corps of Engineers
Department of the Army



A partial report of work accomplished under P. L. 71, 84th Congress, 1st Session

Washington, D. C.
November 1959

NATIONAL HURRICANE RESEARCH PROJECT REPORTS

Reports by Weather Bureau units, contractors, and cooperators working on the hurricane problem are pre-printed in this series to facilitate immediate distribution of the information among the workers and other interested units. As this limited reproduction and distribution in this form do not constitute formal scientific publication, reference to a paper in the series should identify it as a pre-printed report.

- No. 1. Objectives and basic design of the NHRP. March 1956.
- No. 2. Numerical weather prediction of hurricane motion. July 1956.
Supplement: Error analysis of prognostic 500-mb. maps made for numerical weather prediction of hurricane motion. March 1957.
- No. 3. Rainfall associated with hurricanes. July 1956.
- No. 4. Some problems involved in the study of storm surges. December 1956.
- No. 5. Survey of meteorological factors pertinent to reduction of loss of life and property in hurricane situations. March 1957.
- No. 6. A mean atmosphere for the West Indies area. May 1957.
- No. 7. An index of tide gages and tide gage records for the Atlantic and Gulf coasts of the United States. May 1957.
- No. 8. Part I. Hurricanes and the sea surface temperature field.
Part II. The exchange of energy between the sea and the atmosphere in relation to hurricane behavior. June 1957.
- No. 9. Seasonal variations in the frequency of North Atlantic tropical cyclones related to the general circulation. July 1957.
- No. 10. Estimating central pressure of tropical cyclones from aircraft data. August 1957.
- No. 11. Instrumentation of National Hurricane Research Project aircraft. August 1957.
- No. 12. Studies of hurricane spiral bands as observed on radar. September 1957.
- No. 13. Mean soundings for the hurricane eye. September 1957.
- No. 14. On the maximum intensity of hurricanes. December 1957.
- No. 15. The three-dimensional wind structure around a tropical cyclone. January 1958.
- No. 16. Modification of hurricanes through cloud seeding. May 1958.
- No. 17. Analysis of tropical storm Frieda, 1957. A preliminary report. June 1958.
- No. 18. The use of mean layer winds as a hurricane steering mechanism. June 1958.
- No. 19. Further examination of the balance of angular momentum in the mature hurricane. July 1958.
- No. 20. On the energetics of the mature hurricane and other rotating wind systems. July 1958.
- No. 21. Formation of tropical storms related to anomalies of the long-period mean circulation. September 1958.
- No. 22. On production of kinetic energy from condensation heating. October 1958.
- No. 23. Hurricane Audrey storm tide. October 1958.
- No. 24. Details of circulation in the high energy core of hurricane Carrie. November 1958.
- No. 25. Distribution of surface friction in hurricanes. November 1958.
- No. 26. A note on the origin of hurricane radar spiral bands and the echoes which form them. February 1959.
- No. 27. Proceedings of the Board of Review and Conference on Research Progress. March 1959.

(Continued on page iv)

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| Authorization | 1 |
| Purpose | 1 |
| Definition | 2 |
| 2. CORRELATIONS OF HURRICANE CHARACTERISTICS | 2 |
| Zones . . . | 2 |
| Frequency of central pressure index | 2 |
| Radius of maximum winds | 4 |
| Forward speed | 5 |
| Direction of hurricane movement | 5 |
| 3. STANDARD PROJECT HURRICANE INDICES | 6 |
| Hurricane parameters and characteristics | 6 |
| Isovel charts | 8 |
| Wind direction | 10 |
| Adjustment for filling over land | 10 |
| Adjustment of wind speeds near shore | 11 |
| Comparison of SPH indices with observed hurricanes | 11 |
| 4. SUMMARY OF CONSIDERATIONS | 12 |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS | 15 |
| REFERENCES | 15 |
| TABLES 1-6 | 15 |
| FIGURES 1-49 | 20 |
| APPENDIX | 71 |

(Continued from back of title page)

- No. 28. A model hurricane plan for a coastal community. March 1959.
- No. 29. Exchange of heat, moisture, and momentum between hurricane Ella (1958) and its environment. April 1959.
- No. 30. Mean soundings for the Gulf of Mexico area. April 1959.
- No. 31. On the dynamics and energy transformations in steady-state hurricanes. August 1959.
- No. 32. An Interim Hurricane Storm Surge Forecasting Guide. August 1959.

METEOROLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS PERTINENT TO STANDARD PROJECT HURRICANE,
ATLANTIC AND GULF COASTS OF THE UNITED STATES

Howard E. Graham and Dwight E. Nunn

1. INTRODUCTION

Authorization

The 84th Congress, first session, by Public Law 71, authorized and directed the Secretary of the Army in cooperation with the Secretary of Commerce and other Federal agencies concerned with hurricanes, to cause an examination and survey to be made of the eastern and southern seaboard of the United States. This survey was to include, among other things, the securing of data on the behavior and frequency of hurricanes, and possible means of preventing loss of human lives and damages to property with due consideration of the economics of proposed breakwaters, seawalls, dikes, dams, and other structures. Weather Bureau participation, as agreed upon, was defined under seven subprojects in a memorandum prepared by the Office of the Chief of Engineers, Civil Works Division, dated November 25, 1955. The material described in the following report is part of subprojects 1, 2, and 7. These subprojects call for reports on regional frequencies of hurricanes in coastal areas of the Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico, study of selected hurricane characteristics and correlation of these with probabilities of occurrence in various regions, and special wind analyses pertinent to determination of wave and tidal effects at specific locations involved in engineering studies, such as Narragansett Bay, R. I.

Purpose

This report was prepared to provide generalized hurricane specifications that are consistent geographically and meteorologically for use in planning, evaluating, and establishing hurricane design criteria for hurricane protection works. The specifications, criteria, procedures, and methods were derived jointly by representatives of the Hydrometeorological Section, U. S. Weather Bureau and the Office of Chief of Engineers, Corps of Engineers. In addition to serving as an example of the application of meteorology to an engineering project, this report contains a climatological synthesis of aspects of hurricanes that is not duplicated elsewhere.

The Standard Project Hurricane (SPH) indices were derived for use in selecting the standard project hurricane criteria for specific projects. The SPH index for various places on the United States Atlantic and Gulf Coasts is defined and illustrated. The SPH index is the wind speed and direction pattern with specified dimension spans, and ranges of forward speed and direction of movement for a specific location. The meteorological characteristics and dimensions of the SPH indices are based on analyses of past hurricanes of record. The report presents analyses of the data which form the basis for defining the limits of the SPH indices. Various hurricane characteristics are correlated with intensity criterion, latitude, and other features. A

generalized procedure for determining the SPH criteria is shown for the Atlantic and Gulf Coasts.

Definition

The name "Standard Project Hurricane" is analogous to the "Standard Project Storm," defined by the Corps of Engineers for a particular drainage basin and season of year as "...the most severe storm that is considered reasonably characteristic of the region in which the basin is located." Like the Standard Project Storm precipitation, the SPH index is based on enveloping the records of meteorological events with elimination of a few extreme events. The first SPH was approved by the Corps of Engineers in a design study for Lake Okeechobee, Fla. [1]. The SPH index parameters discussed in this report and the Lake Okeechobee SPH are consistent within the limits imposed by regional variation of climatological features.

The standard project hurricane wind field and parameters represent a "standard" against which the degree of protection finally selected for a hurricane protection project may be judged and compared with protection provided at projects in other localities. The SPH indices are intended as a geographically consistent set of criteria against which the individual requirements of a project can be judged. The SPH indices and parameters provide a procedure for determining the SPH estimate which reflects a generalized analysis of hurricane potentialities in a region (Zone).

2. CORRELATIONS OF HURRICANE CHARACTERISTICS

Zones

In order to facilitate an analysis of hurricane data, the Atlantic and Gulf coastal areas of the United States were divided into zones of approximately equal area.

Atlantic Coast. - The Atlantic coastal area was divided into four zones as shown in figure 1. Each zone has an area of approximately 60,000 square nautical miles. The zones have a latitudinal extent of 5 degrees and a width of about 200 nautical miles, extending from about 50 nautical miles west of a generalized coastline to 150 nautical miles east of such a line. Latitude lines mark the boundaries between the zones. The boundaries were selected so that the bulk of the data fell near the middle of a zone rather than on the boundary between two zones.

Gulf Coast. - The Gulf coastal area was divided into three zones as shown in figure 11. Each of these includes an area of approximately 80,000 square nautical miles. Each zone is about 400 nautical miles long and extends 50 nautical miles inland from a generalized coastline to 150 nautical miles offshore from that line.

Frequency of Central Pressure Index

Central pressure index. - The central pressure index (CPI) is the estimated minimum pressure for individual hurricanes in each zone. The CPI is

the principal intensity criterion for defining the SPH index. A record was made of all tropical storms (1900-1956) that passed through each zone and had at any time been of hurricane intensity. A notation was made for the period from 1900 to 1956 of the CPI whenever it was estimated to be less than 29.00 inches in any of the zones (listed in table A of the appendix). For any hurricane, the CPI was determined from: (a) observations of minimum pressure at a given location, (b) computations based on observational data [2], or (c) by estimate in event that the hurricane passed through a zone where there were insufficient pressure observations to complete a computation but with enough evidence to warrant an estimate. In the latter case, the CPI has been determined by (a) or (b) in an adjoining zone.

Cumulative percent of occurrences. - In consideration of the small amount of data available, a plot was first made for each zone of the CPI versus the cumulative number and the cumulative percent of storms. This was done as described below to aid in determining the frequency of CPI occurrence on a 100-year basis. The plot was made of storms in which the particular CPI was equal to or less than other CPI values. For example, in zone 1 there has been one hurricane with a CPI of 26.35 inches, and there have been seven hurricanes with a CPI of 28.00 inches or lower. Any one hurricane is represented only once within a zone, but several hurricanes are represented in two or more zones. Curves of CPI versus cumulative number of occurrences, as computed and drawn for each zone, are shown in figure 2 for the Atlantic Coast and figure 12 for the Gulf Coast.

Next, latitudinal profiles were constructed using values taken from the graphs of cumulative frequency in the order of magnitude by zones (figs. 2 and 12), and plotted against the approximate mean location of the data in each zone and smoothed. The profiles of cumulative frequency of hurricane CPI were constructed through the zones more or less parallel to the coastline showing the percent of hurricanes having a certain CPI or less for any given point along the coast. The variations for a given percent of occurrence of CPI's along the Atlantic and Gulf Coasts of the United States are shown in figures 3 and 13 respectively.

Occurrences per 100 years. - Profiles of variations of average frequency of CPI occurrences on a 100-year basis are shown for the Atlantic and Gulf Coasts in figures 5 and 15. In developing the profiles, the frequency of CPI occurrence was computed for each zone on the probability basis using the formula

$$P = \frac{100(M - 0.5)}{Y} \quad (1) \quad *$$

where P is the percent chance of occurrence per year, M the number of the event (rank), and Y the number of years of record (57). The data were plotted on a normal probability scale so that the data with a normal distribution would fall in a straight line. In the drawing of curves for each zone, consideration was given to the profiles of cumulative percent of occurrences which are described above. The zone frequency probability of CPI's (per 100 years) was considered representative at the mean geographic position of data for the respective zones. The probability frequency curves of the hurricane CPI by zones for the Atlantic and Gulf Coasts respectively are shown in

figures 4 and 14. A graph of profiles of variation of the CPI frequency along the coast was then constructed from zone probability curves. The correlated variations of CPI with latitude along the Atlantic Coast and with distance along the Gulf Coast are shown on figures 5 and 15. In order to have a smooth transition of frequency curves from the east coast of Florida to the west coast, the curves of figure 15 were correlated to the corresponding curves from zone 1 (fig. 5) at 24° N. latitude. This is at mile 1340 of figure 15.

Distribution of hurricane occurrences within zones. - The total number of transits through each zone and smaller sub-zone of approximately 10,000 square miles by tropical storms which had reached hurricane intensity, either in the zone or previously, is shown in figures 6 and 16 for the 57 years of record. These data are presented in order to give some indication of the hurricane distribution within zones. A hurricane passing through a zone or sub-zone need not necessarily severely affect the entire coast within the zone. The frequency of damaging effects at a specific coastal site depends on many factors including the CPI frequency, the variations of storm tracks (direction of approach), and the occurrence of maximum winds for various radii and forward speeds that are possible for a given CPI.

Radius of Maximum Winds

Index of hurricane size. - Radius to region of maximum winds (R) is an index of hurricane areal size and is an important factor in the generation of waves and tides. In general, a larger hurricane will have a longer fetch that could be affected by high winds. The R has been determined for most of the tropical storms of hurricane intensity (CPI less than 29.00 inches) that passed through the zones. The values of R for hurricanes of record are shown in table A of the appendix. R was determined either from observations at a wind reporting station or by computations made from the pressure field. The observed R was used, whenever available, for all correlations. In the absence of an observed R, the computed value was substituted. To determine the validity of substituting one type of R for another, all observed R's were plotted against computed R's in the same storm (not shown). The grouping of the plotted points about the 45° line drawn through the origin of the graphs was sufficiently symmetrical to warrant the assumption that observed R averages about the same as computed R.

Variation of radius of maximum winds with central pressure index. - The relationship and variation of R to CPI as determined graphically for the Atlantic and Gulf coastal areas are shown in figures 7 and 17 respectively. Due to the variation of R for a given CPI, three values of R were selected corresponding to a representative small radius of maximum winds (RS), representative mean radius (RM), and representative large radius (RL). Data for R and CPI from all of the hurricanes (CPI less than 29.00 inches from 1900 to 1956) which have occurred in zones 1, 2, 3, and 4 are shown in figure 7 and in zones A, B, and C in figure 17. The relationship of R to CPI shows the tendency for hurricanes of lower CPI also to have smaller variation of R, although there is a considerable degree of variation of R with any given CPI. The relationship of R to CPI observed in the study corresponds with findings of various authors and previous Hydrometeorological Section studies [2], [3], and [4].

Variation of radius of maximum winds with latitude, Atlantic Coast. - It was determined, for the Atlantic Coast, that there is a slight variation of R with latitude in addition to the CPI relation. The representative mean radii (RM) of maximum winds over the CPI range for selected latitudes are shown for the Atlantic Coast by the curves labeled 23°, 28°, 33°, 38°, and 43° N. latitude in figure 7. In order to determine curves of mean radii (RM) of maximum winds through the CPI range for a given latitude, the departures of the individual points from the mean curve of figure 7 were plotted against latitude as shown in figure 8. The approximate mean of the points on figure 8 for each selected latitude was then transferred back to figure 7 and labeled for that latitude.

Variation of radius of maximum winds with latitude and longitude, Gulf Coast. - For the Gulf Coast, no further refinements of the relationship between R and CPI were made due to other variables. To determine whether the introduction of other variables would make possible further refinement in the Gulf Coast analysis, the variation of R from the mean curve of figure 17 was plotted against both latitude (fig. 18) and longitude (fig. 19). The wide scatter of points in figure 18 indicates that, for the Gulf Coast, there is little or no correlation of R with latitude. In figure 19, where the variation of observed R from the mean curve of figure 17 was plotted against longitude, the curve of best fit suggests that there may be a slight additional variation of R with longitude, with the smaller R's occurring in zone C.

Forward Speed

The forward speed of translation of the hurricane centers for the hurricane of record has been determined as the average speed for the period from 2 hours before to 2 hours after the hurricane enters the coast. Forward speed of translation of the hurricane center appears to be a function of latitude only and independent of CPI and R. For the Atlantic Coast, speed of the hurricane center was plotted against the latitude where the speed was determined and a mean profile and enveloping curves were drawn through the plotted points. These envelopes were drawn with the aid of supplementary data obtained from the hurricane of September 21, 1938, Hazel of October 15, 1954, and Carol of August 28, 1954. The latitudinal variation of hurricane center movement along the Atlantic Coast is shown in figure 9. Due to the limited latitudinal variation and limited data available, all of the Gulf Coast hurricane forward speeds were consolidated in figure 20 showing the rate of hurricane translation against cumulated percent of occurrences. Due to the wide variation of forward speeds, data were selected corresponding to a representative slow speed of translation of hurricane center (ST), representative moderate speed of translation (MT), and representative high speed of translation (HT).

Direction of Hurricane Movement

The azimuth distribution of paths followed by hurricanes of record in zones 1, 2, 3, and 4 is shown in figure 10, and in zones A, B, and C in figure 21. No evidence of a systematic relationship between hurricane intensity and direction of movement could be found. All tropical storm path directions may therefore be used to judge azimuth characteristics of paths of severe storms in the zones. The storm data from which figures 10 and 21 were

constructed are listed in table B of the appendix. The average direction of movement changes slowly from zone 1 northward through zone 4. The directions in zone 1 are mostly from the southwest to southeast. The average zone 2 direction is from the south, zone 3 from the south-southwest, and zone 4 from the southwest to south-southwest. The average direction of movement in the Gulf also changes slightly from zone to zone. The West Coast of Florida receives most hurricanes from the southeast direction although another large group enters the area from the south-southwest. Most hurricanes pass through zone B from the south and the southeast, and through zone C from the east and southeast.

3. STANDARD PROJECT HURRICANE INDICES

The various correlations described in detail in section 2 were used as a basis from which to develop specifications and limits of hurricane dimension spans which are called "Standard Project Hurricane (SPH) Index Characteristics." The term SPH index was defined in section 1. Hurricane wind speed patterns are synthesized within the limits dictated by these characteristics. There are also described in this section the various considerations necessary for applying the SPH indices to determine the SPH for a specific project area.

Hurricane Parameters and Characteristics

The SPH indices listed in table 1 are based on analysis of meteorological factors that are pertinent to the Atlantic and Gulf Coasts and that are consistent meteorologically from point to point along the coasts. The listed indices were obtained from figures 1, 5, 5a, 7, 9, and 22 for the Atlantic Coast and figures 11, 15, 17, 20, and 22 for the Gulf Coast. These indices are discussed in the following paragraphs.

Central pressure index. - The central pressure indices (CPI) selected as representative of an SPH index central pressure and pertaining to each location along the coast, as listed in table 1, were taken from figure 5a for the Atlantic Coast zones and from figure 15 for the Gulf Coast zones, indicating an average return period of approximately 100 years within the respective zones. In zone 2, during the 57 years of record, there have been only 11 hurricanes with a CPI of 29.00 inches or lower. An analysis of these data (fig. 5) indicated a frequency of occurrence of certain CPI's in the center of zone 2 (near the northern boundary of Florida) lower than might be experienced near Cape Hatteras or near the southern tip of Florida. However, with only 11 storms on which to base a conclusion, it is not possible to be sure of the degree of lesser risk in zone 2. A portion of figure 5 is reproduced in figure 5a showing a frequency curve with a recurrence interval of once in 100 years and the modifications from which the representative CPI values for zone 2 were derived. The modified curve is adjusted by smoothing the 100-year curve of figure 5 at the northern limit of zone 1, and on the southern boundary of zone 3 and also by enveloping the CPI of hurricane Hazel of October 15, 1954.

Radius of maximum winds. - For each selected CPI corresponding to the SPH index, the representative small radius of maximum winds (RS), mean radius (RM), and large radius (RL) to region of maximum winds, as listed in table 1,



were taken from figure 7 for Atlantic Coast zones and from figure 17 for the Gulf Coast zones. The RS and RL were taken from the enveloping curves. The RM values were taken from the mean radius curve of figure 17 and from the mean radius curves pertaining to the individual latitudes of figure 7.

Forward speed. - Values of representative moderate speed (MT), high speed (HT), and slow speed (ST) of translation of the hurricane center in the Atlantic Coast zones, shown in table 1, were read from the respective curves shown in figure 9. Forward speeds in the Gulf Coast zones B and C were taken from figure 20 where the slow speed and high speed rates are the two extremes of observed speeds and where the moderate speed is the median of the data. The forward speeds of record in zone A of the Gulf Coast allow an analysis corresponding to the east coast of Florida as shown in figure 9. Forward speeds assigned to zone A, on the Gulf Coast, in table 1 are the same as for the corresponding latitudes in zone 1, on the Atlantic Coast, and were taken from figure 9.

Maximum gradient wind. - The maximum gradient wind (V_{gx}) as related to CPI, R, and latitude is shown in figure 22. Graphs were determined from the equation

$$V_{gx} = \frac{73(p_n - p_o)^{1/2}}{R} - R(0.575f) \quad (2)$$

where V_{gx} is the maximum gradient wind speed in miles per hour, p_o is the central pressure in inches, p_n the asymptotic pressure in inches, R the radius of maximum winds in nautical miles, and f the Coriolis parameter in units of hour⁻¹. The maximum cyclostrophic wind (upper graph in figure 22) is a function of $p_n - p_o$. The outer dashed curves in the graph are based on extreme values of p_n , derived in turn from envelopes of a plot (not shown) of p_n versus p_o values from table 3-1 of reference [4]. The center solid curve of figure 22 is based on the standard sea level pressure of 29.92 inches, which is also the average of all p_n 's in table 3-1 of reference [4]. The standard sea level pressure curve (upper fig. 22) is used in connection with the SPH index CPI to determine the maximum gradient wind speeds, assuming little or no forward speed of the hurricane. Limits of the gradient wind speed for a specific CPI at the zone of maximum winds for a hypothetical hurricane assumed with zero forward speed may be determined for the Atlantic and Gulf Coasts from figure 22.

Maximum 30-ft. over-water speeds. - The maximum wind at 30 feet above the open water has been found to vary from about 75 to 100 percent of the above maximum theoretical gradient wind speed. The ratio from the 1949 hurricane at Lake Okeechobee, 86.5 percent, which is near the middle of the range has been selected as the standard [2]. The estimated maximum 30-ft. over-water wind speed (V_x), except in zone B, was computed from the formula

$$V_x = 0.865V_{gx} + 0.5T$$

where T is the average speed of translation of the hurricane center. A number of analyses of wind fields in hurricanes have shown $0.5T$ to be a reasonable approximate asymmetry factor. For zone B, the conversion factor from gradient to 30-ft. over-water wind speed was modified by observed hurricanes in the vicinity of Lake Pontchartrain in Louisiana, and other hurricanes that passed through zone B. In the September 1915 hurricane, the September 1947 hurricane, hurricane Flossy of 1956, and hurricane Audrey of 1957, in zone B, the ratio of V_x to V_{gx} was greater than the standard ratio of 0.865. To obtain maximum wind speeds for the SPH index in zone B comparable to speeds estimated from studies of hurricanes that occurred in that zone, the ratio of 30-ft. to gradient wind speed was determined at 0.885. This ratio was based primarily on the September 29, 1915 hurricane at New Orleans. Thus, zone B maximum 30-ft. wind speeds were computed from the formula

$$V_x = 0.885V_{gx} + (0.5T)$$

Isovel Charts

The Standard Project Hurricane index wind fields (isovel* patterns) were developed for the approximate center of each zone from the SPH index parameters listed in tables 1 and 2. The procedures and derivation of the isovel patterns are explained in subsequent paragraphs.

Construction. - Synthetically derived isovel charts for RM and RL hurricanes showing wind speed patterns for Atlantic and Gulf Coast zones are shown in figures 23 through 46. These charts were prepared for the CPI at the approximate middle of the zones, for forward speeds representative of zonal ranges of the moderate and high speeds of center translation, and for the RM and RL hurricanes. The SPH index isovel patterns were modeled after maximum hurricanes of record, such as the hurricanes of September 29, 1915; September 21, 1938; September 14, 1944; August 26, 1949; and June 27, 1957. The isovel charts were constructed in the following steps: (a) computing, for the selected CPI and R, a profile of gradient wind speed for a stationary or slow-moving hurricane using the formula [2]

$$g = \left(\frac{1}{\rho} (p_n - p_o) \frac{R}{r} e^{\frac{-R}{r}} \right)^{1/2} - K$$

where V_g is the gradient wind, ρ is the air density, p_n is the average asymptotic pressure (29.92), p_o is the CPI, R is the radius of maximum winds, r is any assigned radius, and K is a constant depending on latitude and r; (b) reducing the gradient wind-speed profile to a 30-ft. over-water wind-speed profile by empirical factors [2] with the zone B factor increased at the radius of maximum winds as described in the sub-section on "maximum 30-ft. over-water wind speed" and proportionately elsewhere; and (c) applying an adjustment to the 30-ft. over-water wind-speed profile so as to show a pattern

* Same as isotach.

with a moderate degree of asymmetry which is in direct proportion to the rate of forward speed of the center. The asymmetry factor applied to the 30-ft. over-water wind-speed profile was added to the wind speeds in the right sector of the hurricane and subtracted from the speeds in the left sector according to the formula

$$V = V_a + 0.5T \cos \alpha \quad (6)$$

where V is the 30-ft. over-water wind speed at any selected point, V_a the average 30-ft. over-water wind speed at a given radial distance from the center, T the forward speed of hurricane translation in miles per hour, and α the angle between the vectors showing the direction of forward motion of the storm and wind direction. The resulting isovel patterns for the center of each zone (figs. 23 through 46) were obtained by using the values of CPI index and the forward speeds listed in table 2.

Isovel pattern asymmetry and limits of rotation. - Several investigators have found that ~~an isovel pattern showing the strongest winds in the right rear quadrant is the most likely to occur during hurricanes.~~ Cline [5] states that, "the wind velocities are much greater in the right half than in the left half of the cyclone area, and the greatest sustained wind velocities occur as a rule in the right rear quadrant." Hughes [6] has summarized a large number of reconnaissance flights, producing what is believed to be the best and most definitive composite low-level wind speed pattern yet available. Hughes states that the strongest winds are in the right rear quadrant in the wind speed pattern. Many variations may occur in the standard project hurricane index isovel patterns shown in figures 23-46. To represent the many patterns that would be reasonable to expect in each zone with the same parameters (CPI, R , speed of translation, and V_x) the isovel patterns in figures 23-46 may be rotated with limits of 100° counterclockwise and 50° in a clockwise direction. The limits of rotation are indicated on the isovel charts by dashed arrows extending outward from the wind center.

Variation of R . - The distance scale on the SPH index isovel charts for each zone is given in terms of R (Radius to maximum winds). Any R listed for a zone in the RM, or RL columns of table 1 may be substituted as the distance scale for the respective isovel pattern for that zone.

Adjusting isovel pattern for variation of CPI. - The isovel patterns shown in figures 23-46, which were constructed for the SPH index CPI at the approximate middle of the zones, may be adjusted for other locations corresponding to the CPI's listed in table 1 for various points in the zone by multiplying all speeds by the ratios listed in table 3. The maximum CPI variation in table 1 for zone B is only 0.05 inch. The wind speed difference due to varying the CPI over this range is about 1 percent. Therefore, the wind speed patterns shown for zone B in figures 43, 44, 45, and 46 can be used over water anywhere in the zone.

Charts for small radius hurricanes. - The recommended wind-speed profile for the small radius (RS) hurricane is shown in figure 47. The variation of wind speed from one side of a small hurricane to the other, at any fixed

radius, is not well known. A symmetrical wind speed pattern is, therefore, recommended for RS hurricanes. That is, the isovels are circles concentric with the center of the storm. Figure 47 is in terms of relative distance from the center and relative wind speed. The relative distance, in terms of R , is obtained from the RS column of table 1, and wind speed is obtained from the 30-ft. over-water wind speed, V_x column of table 1, which is pertinent to the selected rate of forward speed. RS hurricanes should be handled in the same manner as RM and RL hurricanes with respect to wind direction, allowance for filling over land, limits of forward speed, adjustment of wind speeds near the shore, and selection of direction of forward motion.

Variation of isovel pattern with forward speed. - Forward speed for an SPH for any specific location within a zone should be selected within the range of forward speeds shown in table 1. Forward speed within these ranges can be assumed to be independent of CPI and R . Fast-moving hurricanes are believed to have a greater asymmetry of their wind-speed fields than slow-moving storms. The highest speeds are most generally found somewhere in the right half of the storm. These two conditions have been taken into account in developing the patterns in figures 23-46, by adding the forward speed factor as described above. This is an empirical approximation and a high degree of refinement of adjusting wind speed patterns to forward speed of translation is not required. The information shown in figures 23-46 was computed for the forward speeds listed in table 2 and can be applied directly to all forward speeds in the respective MT and HT columns of table 1. Isovel patterns for forward speeds other than shown in figures 23-46 may be obtained by an interpolation within the generalization of formula (6).

Wind Direction

The wind direction at 30 feet above the surface for use in conjunction with the isovel patterns given in figures 23-46 may be approximated from table 4. These directions are shown by arrows on the charts. Because of the curving field, direction is correct only at the arrow point.

Adjustment for Filling over Land

The normal weakening of a hurricane, when the center is over land, may be approximated by reducing the open-sea wind-speed values by the factors given in table 5. The use of these factors yields over-water wind speeds in portions of the storm that are still over a water-frictional surface. Further reductions are required to obtain speed for portions of the storm over land as described in the next sub-section.

The factors for reducing hurricane wind speeds depend on the time that the storm center remains over land and upon the size of the land mass. Hurricanes that have moved over the Florida peninsula have generally had a slower rate of filling (weakening) than hurricanes that have moved onshore over the other parts of the Eastern Seaboard. This difference is illustrated in table 5 which lists adjustment factors for both cases. Table 5A lists empirical adjustment factors which were derived from a study of the change in central pressure in eleven storms that moved onshore and remained over land in southeastern United States other than Florida, and table 5B lists similar

factors derived from four hurricanes that passed over Florida. Table 5A is used to adjust the wind speed of SPH for filling when the center passes over land except when relatively large peninsulas and bays are involved. In case the hurricane moves over Florida (south of latitude 30°N.), the lower Mississippi Delta, Long Island, or over a large bay such as the lower Chesapeake Bay, table 5B will apply until the center moves beyond that area, after which table 5A will apply as long as the center remains over land.

Adjustment of Wind Speeds Near Shore

If speeds over the water near the shoreline are critical, the reduction of speeds at the 30-ft. level by friction may be approximated in the following manner. Reduce on-shore winds at the shore to 0.89 of the over-water value. This reduction should be made over a transition zone of two or three miles. For off-shore winds at the shore, the reduction factor varies from about 0.40 to 0.70, depending on the frictional characteristics of the shoreline. For a comparatively smooth shoreline such as that at Lake Okeechobee, Fla., the reduction factor may be as high as 0.70, but for a rougher terrain the reduction factor may be as low as 0.40. Adopt, for the SPH off-shore winds at the shore, a 0.70 reduction of the over-water value unless it is otherwise determined that the configuration of a particular location requires a greater adjustment. The "speedup" to over-water values should be accomplished in about 10 miles. The adjustment factors of wind speeds near shore for the SPH conditions are shown in table 6.

Comparison of SPH Indices with Observed Hurricanes

Purpose of comparison. - Comparisons are shown here to afford the user of the SPH indices several bases for relating these SPH indices to like parameters from known hurricane events. The SPH indices are based on a model and statistical analysis of a variety of data from the hurricanes of record. The scatter of data was partially handled by enveloping the data as illustrated in figures 7 and 9. The principal intensity criterion for determining the SPH index is the CPI. However, for observed storms with a given CPI, there is considerable variation in the distribution of wind speed, radius of maximum winds, forward speed of translation, and deflection angles about the center. Resultant tides and waves are functions of these factors. In order to relate an SPH to some known events, SPH indices may be compared with similar parameters from storms of record. The comparison will illustrate, in part, the relative magnitudes of the SPH indices and parameters of several observed hurricanes as illustrated in figures 48 and 49.

Intensity indices. - An intensity comparison may be made between the SPH indices and any of several corresponding parameters from known hurricane events. These may be (1) central pressure index, (2) pressure gradient, (3) radius of maximum winds, (4) wind speed, (5) forward translation speed, and (6) wind stress. The CPI, R, wind speed, and forward speed for known hurricanes are listed in table A of the appendix and may be compared with the corresponding SPH indices listed in table 1. A comparison between observed maximum wind speed and selected SPH index maximum wind speed is shown in figure 48.

Wind stress. - Comparisons between isovel patterns determined according to SPH indices and isovel patterns from observed storms may be made by comparing areas under the curves and the relative shape of the curves shown in figure 49. It is generally assumed that wind stress is proportional to the square of the wind speed and is in the same direction as the wind [7]. The component of the wind stress in the x direction at a point may be given by the formula

$$\tau = kW^2 \cos \theta \quad (7)$$

where τ is the wind stress (tangential force per unit area), k is a constant, θ is the angle between the wind vector and the x axis, and W is the surface wind speed. The graphs, in figure 49, show the component of wind speed squared along a line through R and parallel to the direction of forward motion for both SPH and selected observed hurricanes in the several zones. The curves integrate, to some extent, such intensity indices as R, wind speed, and forward translation speed. It is assumed that the relative area under a curve is approximately proportional to the storm-surge-producing potential of the storm at that particular time and place.

4. SUMMARY OF CONSIDERATIONS

The hypothetical Standard Project Hurricane for any location is to be selected largely on the basis of the Standard Project Hurricane (SPH) indices developed in this report which include the parameters shown in table 1. To determine the SPH for a specific project area or location, the most severe conditions should be adopted that are within the limits of the parameters of the SPH indices (table 1) for that location. In order to determine meteorological conditions which will produce the most severe surge, consideration should be given to such local characteristics as coastal configuration and ocean bottom contours as well as the SPH indices.

The following conditions should be considered in determining the Standard Project Hurricane criteria from the SPH indices:

(1) Direction of movement. - The most critical path or direction of forward motion for the particular index isovel patterns can be determined on the basis of coastal configuration or inlet, within the span of directions shown to be common on the azimuth charts of past hurricane paths in the zone concerned, figures 10 and 21. It may be necessary to consider several paths to determine the most critical path considering the variations in the isovel patterns, radius of maximum winds, areal size, and forward speed.

(2) Geographic reference points. - In order to determine a series of isovel patterns, the wind field centers should first be located along the selected critical path at appropriate intervals (1-hour intervals will possibly prove satisfactory). The latitude or location of the isovel pattern center should be used to select the appropriate CPI and other parameters from table 1. The hurricane center location is also important in obtaining, from table 3, the ratio required for adjusting the over-water isovel patterns, which are given for the middle of each zone in figures 23-46, to the pattern appropriate for the desired location.



(3) Isovel pattern orientation. - The orientation of the isovel pattern, with respect to the direction of forward motion, should be determined so that the fetch is directed most effectively for the area under consideration. The critical orientation, which will depend somewhat on local topography, will be within the limits of rotation as shown on SPH index isovel patterns (figs. 23-46).

(4) Forward speed. - The most critical forward speed for the project site is to be selected within the span of forward speeds listed in table 1 for that latitude or location.

(5) Radius of maximum winds. - The radius of maximum winds can be selected from the RS, RM, or RL columns of table 1 depending upon the most critical radius of maximum winds for that particular location. The radius of maximum winds will largely determine the length of fetch of high winds, depending on the hurricane track and coastal configuration.

(6) Adjustment for land effects. - As the hurricane approaches land, the isovel pattern near the shore should be adjusted for the greater surface friction, and, if the center moves over land, the entire storm should be adjusted for filling. The adjustment factors for reducing hurricane wind speeds (isovel patterns) due to filling over land and for onshore and offshore winds are presented in tables 5 and 6 respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the suggestions and support given by Mr. A. L. Cochran and Dr. Charles S. Gilman. The authors likewise appreciate the many valuable contributions that have been made by Vance A. Myers who gave freely of his time in reviewing various sections of the report, offered many useful suggestions, and gave general guidance. Appreciation is expressed to Georgina N. Hudson for help in preparing many charts, to Lillian K. Rubin for editorial advice, to Mr. Charles B. Pletcher for help in tabulating data, to Cora G. Ludwig and Edna L. Grooms for clerical assistance, and to Carroll W. Gardner and Vivian M. Campbell for drafting the figures.

REFERENCES

1. Corps of Engineers, U. S. Army, "Partial Definite Project Report, Central and Southern Florida Project for Flood Control and Other Purposes, Part IV, Supplement 2, Section 2, Design Memorandum, Hurricane Winds over Lake Okeechobee," Jacksonville District Engineer, December 31, 1953.
2. U. S. Weather Bureau, "Characteristics of United States Hurricanes Pertinent to Levee Design for Lake Okeechobee, Florida," Hydrometeorological Report No. 32, Washington, D. C. 1954, 106 pp.
3. C. E. Depperman, Some Characteristics of Philippine Typhoons, Manila, 1939, 128 pp.
U. S. Weather Bureau, "Survey of Meteorological Factors Pertinent to Reduction of Loss of Life and Property in Hurricane Situations," National Hurricane Research Project Report No. 5, Washington, D. C. March 1957, 87 pp.
5. I. M. Cline, Tropical Cyclones, McMillan Company, New York, 1926, 301 pp.

6. L. A. Hughes, "On the Low-Level Wind Structure of Tropical Storms," Journal of Meteorology vol. 9, No. 6, Dec. 1952, pp. 422-428.
7. V. Walfrid Ekman, "On the Influence of the Earth's Rotation on Ocean Currents," Arkiv för Matematik, Astronomi ock Fysik, Kunglig Svenska Vetenskapsakademien Stockholm, 1905-06, Band 2, No. 11, 1905.

TABLE 1. STANDARD PROJECT HURRICANE INDEX CHARACTERISTICS

EAST COAST UNITED STATES

| Zone | Location | CPI (inches) | Radius of Max. Winds (nautical miles) | | | Forward Speed (knots) | | | Representative Max. Wind Speed (m.p.h.) | | |
|----------|-------------------|-----------------|--|----|----|--------------------------|----|----|--|----------------|------------|
| | Latitude (°N.) | | RS | RM | RL | ST | MT | HT | V _{gx} | V _g | |
| Figure 1 | 1 and 5 | 5a | 7 | | | 9 | | | 22 | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | T = 10 kt. | T = 17 kt. | |
| | 23 | 26.50 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 10 | 16 | 134 | 122 | 126 |
| | 24 | 26.58 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 3 | 10 | 17 | 132 | 120 | 124 |
| | 25 | 26.68 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 3 | 10 | 17 | 129 | 118 | 122 |
| | 25.5 | 26.74 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 17 | 128 | 117 | 121 |
| | 26 | 26.83 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 4 | 11 | 17 | 126 | 115 | 119 |
| | 27 | 27.06 | 5 | 10 | 18 | 4 | 11 | 18 | 119 | 109 | 113 |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | T = 11 kt. | T = 22 kt. |
| | 28 | 27.33 | 6 | 12 | 25 | 4 | 11 | 19 | 113 | 104 | 111 |
| | 29 | 27.50 | 7 | 15 | 32 | 4 | 11 | 20 | 107 | 100 | 107 |
| | 30 | 27.62 | 7 | 17 | 38 | 4 | 11 | 21 | 104 | 97 | 103 |
| | 30.5 | 27.65 | 7 | 17 | 38 | 4 | 11 | 22 | 104 | 96 | 103 |
| | 31 | 27.66 | 7 | 18 | 40 | 4 | 11 | 23 | 104 | 96 | 103 |
| | 32 | 27.66 | 7 | 18 | 40 | 4 | 12 | 26 | 104 | 96 | 103 |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | T = 17 kt. | T = 35 kt. |
| | 33 | 27.65 | 7 | 18 | 40 | 4 | 13 | 30 | 104 | 100 | 110 |
| | 34 | 27.60 | 7 | 17 | 37 | 5 | 15 | 34 | 105 | 101 | 111 |
| | 35 | 27.56 | 7 | 17 | 35 | 5 | 17 | 38 | 107 | 103 | 112 |
| | 35.5 | 27.55 | 7 | 17 | 34 | 5 | 18 | 40 | 107 | 103 | 112 |
| | 36 | 27.55 | 7 | 17 | 34 | 6 | 20 | 42 | 107 | 103 | 112 |
| | 37 | 27.57 | 7 | 17 | 35 | 7 | 22 | 45 | 106 | 102 | 112 |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | T = 30 kt. | T = 50 kt. |
| | 38 | 27.60 | 7 | 18 | 37 | 9 | 25 | 47 | 105 | 108 | 120 |
| | 39 | 27.65 | 7 | 19 | 39 | 11 | 27 | 49 | 104 | 107 | 119 |
| | 40 | 27.71 | 8 | 21 | 43 | 14 | 32 | 50 | 101 | 104 | 116 |
| | 40.5 | 27.74 | 8 | 22 | 45 | 14 | 33 | 51 | 99 | 103 | 115 |
| | 41 | 27.78 | 8 | 24 | 48 | 15 | 34 | 51 | 98 | 102 | 114 |
| | 42 | 27.85 | 8 | 27 | 52 | 16 | 36 | 52 | 95 | 99 | 111 |
| | 43 | 27.93 | 9 | 31 | 57 | 17 | 37 | 52 | 92 | 97 | 109 |

TABLE 1. - Continued

GULF COAST UNITED STATES

| Zone | Location | | Near City or Other Landmark | CPI (inches) | Radius of Max. Wind (n.m.) | | | Forward Speed (knots) | | | Representative Max. Wind Speed (m.p.h.) | |
|-----------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------|----|--------------------------|----|----|--|----------------------------------|
| | Mile (From fig. 15) | Lat. at Coast (°N.) | | | RS | RM | RL | ST | MT | HT | V _{gx} | V _x |
| Figure 11 | 11 | 11 and 15 | 11 and 15 | 15 | 17 | 9 and 20 | 22 | | | | | |
| A | 1335 | 24 | | 26.58 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 3 | 10 | 17 | 132 | T = 11 kt. T = 18 kt. 120 124 |
| | 1280 | 25 | | 26.68 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 3 | 10 | 17 | 129 | 118 122 |
| | 1215 | 26 | Marco, Fla. | 26.83 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 4 | 11 | 17 | 126 | 115 119 |
| | 1150 | 27 | Lemon Bay, Fla. | 27.06 | 5 | 10 | 18 | 4 | 11 | 18 | 119 | 109 113 |
| | 1085 | 28 | Dunedin, Fla. | 27.32 | 6 | 12 | 21 | 4 | 11 | 19 | 113 | 104 108 |
| | 1025 | 29 | Yankeetown, Fla. | 27.44 | 6 | 13 | 24 | 4 | 11 | 20 | 111 | 102 106 |
| | 960 | 30 | Carbur, Fla. | 27.51 | 7 | 14 | 26 | 4 | 11 | 21 | 109 | 100 104 |
| B | 900 | | Apalachicola, Fla. | 27.55 | 7 | 14 | 27 | 4 | 11 | 28 | 108 | T = 11 kt. T = 28 kt. 102 112 |
| | 840 | | Grayton Beach, Fla. | 27.58 | 7 | 14 | 28 | 4 | 11 | 28 | 108 | 101 111 |
| | 780 | | Pensacola, Fla. | 27.59 | 7 | 14 | 29 | 4 | 11 | 28 | 108 | 101 111 |
| | 720 | | Mobile, Ala. | 27.60 | 7 | 14 | 30 | 4 | 11 | 28 | 107 | 101 111 |
| | 660 | | New Orleans, La. | 27.60 | 7 | 14 | 30 | 4 | 11 | 28 | 107 | 101 111 |
| | 600 | | Lake Barre, La. | 27.59 | 7 | 14 | 29 | 4 | 11 | 28 | 108 | 101 111 |
| | 540 | | March Island, La. | 27.58 | 7 | 14 | 29 | 4 | 11 | 28 | 108 | 101 111 |
| | 480 | | Grand Chenier, La. | 27.56 | 7 | 14 | 28 | 4 | 11 | 28 | 108 | 102 112 |
| C | 420 | 30 | Port Arthur, Tex. | 27.54 | 7 | 14 | 27 | 4 | 11 | 28 | 109 | T = 11 kt. T = 28 kt. 100 110 |
| | 360 | 29 | Galveston, Tex. | 27.52 | 7 | 14 | 26 | 4 | 11 | 28 | 109 | 101 111 |
| | 300 | 29 | Bay City, Tex. | 27.49 | 6 | 13 | 25 | 4 | 11 | 28 | 110 | 101 111 |
| | 240 | 28 | San Antonio Bay, Tex. | 27.45 | 6 | 13 | 24 | 4 | 11 | 28 | 111 | 102 112 |
| | 180 | 27 | Sarita, Tex. | 27.38 | 6 | 12 | 23 | 4 | 11 | 28 | 112 | 103 113 |
| | 120 | 26 | Brownsville, Tex. | 27.28 | 6 | 11 | 20 | 4 | 11 | 28 | 115 | 105 115 |

CPI = central pressure index, estimated minimum pressure

RS = representative small radius to region of maximum winds

RM = representative mean radius to region of maximum winds

RL = representative large radius to region of maximum winds

ST = representative slow speed of translation of hurricane center

MT = representative moderate speed of translation of hurricane center

HT = representative high speed of translation of hurricane center

T = speed of translation of hurricane center

V_{gx} = maximum theoretical gradient wind computed for each CPI from figure 22 with P = 29.92 and RLV_x = estimated maximum 30-ft wind speed computed from V_x = 0.865 V_{gx} + 0.5T, (T inⁿ m.p.h.);except for zone B from V_x = 0.885 V_{gx} + 0.5T

TABLE 3. RATIOS FOR ADJUSTMENT OF ISOVELS TO VARIOUS CPI'S

| Zone | Latitude (deg.N.) | SPH Index CPI (inches) | Ratio | Refer to Figure No. | |
|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|----------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | | 26.50 | 1.04 | 23, 24, 25 and 26 | |
| | | 26.58 | 1.03 | | |
| | | 26.68 | 1.01 | | |
| | | 26.74 | 1.00 | | |
| | | 26.83 | 0.98 | | |
| | | 27.06 | 0.95 | | |
| 2 | | 27.33 | 1.07 | 27, 28, 29, 30 | |
| | | 27.50 | 1.04 | | |
| | | 27.62 | 1.00 | | |
| | | 27.65 | 1.00 | | |
| | | 27.66 | 1.00 | | |
| | | 27.66 | 1.00 | | |
| 3 | | 27.65 | 0.98 | 31, 32, 33, 34 | |
| | | 27.60 | 0.99 | | |
| | | 27.56 | 1.00 | | |
| | | 27.55 | 1.00 | | |
| | | 27.55 | 1.00 | | |
| | | 27.57 | 1.00 | | |
| 4 | | 27.60 | 1.03 | 35, 36, 37, 38 | |
| | | 27.65 | 1.02 | | |
| | | 27.71 | 1.01 | | |
| | | 27.74 | 1.00 | | |
| | | 27.78 | 0.99 | | |
| | | 27.85 | 0.97 | | |
| | | 27.93 | 0.96 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Mile (from figure 15) | | | | | |
| A | 1335 | 24 | 26.58 | 1.00 | 39, 40, 41 and 42 |
| | 1280 | 25 | 26.68 | 1.08 | |
| | 1215 | 26 | 26.83 | 1.05 | |
| | 1150 | 27 | 27.06 | 1.00 | |
| | 1085 | 28 | 27.32 | 0.96 | |
| | 1025 | 29 | 27.44 | 0.94 | |
| | 960 | 30 | 27.51 | 0.92 | |
| B | 900 to | | 27.55 to | | 43, 44, 45, 46 |
| | 480 | | 27.60 | 1.00 | |
| C | 420 | 30 | 27.54 | 1.00 | 43, 44, 45, 46 |
| | 360 | 29 | 27.52 | 1.00 | |
| | 300 | 29 | 27.49 | 1.01 | |
| | 240 | 28 | 27.45 | 1.02 | |
| | 210 | 27.3 | 27.42 | 1.02 | |
| | 180 | 27 | 27.38 | 1.03 | |
| | 120 | 26 | 27.28 | 1.05 | |

TABLE 2. - VALUES OF CPI AND FORWARD SPEED USED IN CONSTRUCTING ZONE SPH INDEX ISOVEL PATTERNS

| Zone | SPH index CPI (inches) | Forward speed (knots) | | Refer to Figure No. |
|------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----|---------------------|
| | | MT | HT | |
| 1 | 26.74 | 10 | | 23 and 25 |
| 1 | 26.74 | | 17 | 24 and 26 |
| 2 | 27.65 | 11 | | 27 and 29 |
| 2 | 27.65 | | 22 | 28 and 30 |
| 3 | 27.55 | 17 | | 31 and 33 |
| 3 | 27.55 | | 35 | 32 and 34 |
| 4 | 27.74 | 30 | | 35 and 37 |
| 4 | 27.74 | | 50 | 36 and 38 |
| A | 27.06 | 11 | | 39 and 41 |
| A | 27.06 | | 18 | 40 and 42 |
| B | 27.55 | 11 | | 43 and 45 |
| B | 27.55 | | 28 | 44 and 46 |
| C | 27.55 | 11 | | 43 and 45 |
| C | 27.55 | | 28 | 44 and 46 |

TABLE 4. - WIND DIRECTION FOR STANDARD PROJECT HURRICANE

| <u>Radius</u> | <u>Deflection Angle*</u> |
|---|--------------------------|
| Center to region of maximum winds (R) | 20° |
| R to 1.2 R | Transition 20° to 25° |
| 1.2 R and beyond to outer limit of isovel pattern | 25° |

*Angle between true wind direction and a tangent to a circle with center at the storm center.

TABLE 5. - FACTORS FOR REDUCING HURRICANE WIND SPEEDS WHEN CENTER OVER LAND

A--Center over United States mainland with exception of large peninsulas or bays.

| <u>Time (hours)</u> (Hurricane center) | <u>Adjustment ratio for wind speeds</u> <i>over water speeds</i> |
|---|---|
| t (at the coast) | 1.00 |
| t + 1 | .93 |
| t + 2 | .88 |
| t + 3 | .85 |
| t + 4 | .82 |
| t + 5 | .80 |
| t + 6 | .78 |
| t + 7 | .76 |
| t + 8 | .74 |

B--Center over Florida south of latitude 30 degrees north, other large peninsulas, or large bays

| <u>Time (hours)</u> (Hurricane center) | <u>Adjustment ratio for wind speeds</u> |
|---|---|
| t (at the coast) | 1.00 |
| t + 1 | .97 |
| t + 2 | .95 |
| t + 3 | .92 |
| t + 4 | .89 |
| t + 5 | .86 |
| t + 6 | .82 |

Note: Multiply over-water wind speed by pertinent adjustment factor to obtain adjusted over-water wind speeds after the center has been over land for any interval of time.

TABLE 6. - WIND SPEED ADJUSTMENTS NEAR SHORE

| <u>Wind direction</u> | <u>Location</u> | <u>Ratio*</u> |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| Onshore | 2 - 3 miles offshore | 1.0 |
| Onshore | At coast | 0.89 |
| Offshore | At coast | 0.7 |
| Offshore | 10 miles offshore | 1.0 |

*Ratio of 30-ft. wind speed at location to open-water wind speed for same distance and direction from hurricane center




Figure 1




Figure 2. - Cumulative frequencies of hurricane central pressure index, Atlantic Coast United States, 1900-1956.




Figure 5. - Latitudinal variation of frequency of hurricane central pressure index, east coast United States (1900-1956) over zones of approximately 60,000 square miles.




Figure 4. - Cumulative frequencies of hurricane central pressure index, 1900-1956, (plotted as frequency per 100 years).




Figure 5. - Latitudinal variation of average frequency per 100 years of hurricane central pressure index, east coast United States (1900-1956) over zones of approximately 60,000 square miles.




Figure 5a. Latitudinal variation of central pressure index CPI for standard project hurricane




Figure 6. - Atlantic coastal zones with number of hurricane transits per sub-division.




Figure 7. Variation of radius of maximum winds with central pres index, Atlantic Coast, 1900-1956.









Figure 8. - Variation with latitude of departures of points in figure 7 from mean curve.



Supplementary points:

- *Average of 2 hours before and after entering coast*
- *Mean for zone of above points.*
- *1 hour average speed, October 15, 1954, "Hazel."*
- *4 hour average speed, September 21, 1938 at sea.*
- *August 28, 1954 (Carol), 24-hour average, east of zone.*

Figure 9. - Latitudinal variation of rate of movement of hurricane center, Atlantic Coast United States (1900-1956).



▲ SEVERE OCCURRENCES
 (central pressure index less than 29 in.)
 — ALL HURRICANES

Figure 10. - Azimuth distribution of paths followed by hurricanes, 1887-1956.




Figure 11.




Figure 12. - Cumulative frequency of hurricane central pressure index, Gulf Coast United States (1900-1956).




Figure 13. - Geographic variation of cumulative frequency of hurricane central pressure index, Gulf Coast (1900-1956) over zones A, B, and C of approximately 80,000 square miles.





Figure 14. - Cumulative frequency of hurricane central pressure index (1900-1956 frequency per 100 years).




Figure 15. - Geographic variation of average frequency per 100 years of hurricane central pressure index, Gulf Coast United States (1900-1956) over zones approximately 80,000 square miles.




Figure 16




Figure 17. Variation of radius of maximum winds with central pressure index, Gulf Coast, 1900-1956.




Figure 18. - Variation with latitude of departure of radius of maximum winds from mean curve of figure 17.




Figure 19. - Variation with longitude of departure of radius of maximum winds from mean curve of figure 17. 69




Figure 20. - Percentage distribution of rate of hurricane center translation, Gulf Coast United States, 1900-1956.









Figure 21. - Azimuth distribution of hurricane paths in zone, Gulf Coast, 1900-1956.



Note: To obtain maximum gradient wind, V_{gx} , find maximum cyclostrophic wind from upper figure and subtract correction obtained from lower figure.


Figure 22. - Relation of maximum gradient wind to central pressure index.

Zone 1 (Southern Florida, East Coast)
 Mean Radius (RM)
 Moderate speed of translation (MT)



Note: For CPI of 26.74 inches, to
 adjust CPI, see table 3.

Figure 23. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.



Note: For CPI of 26.74 inches, to
adjust CPI, see table 3.

Figure 24. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.




Figure 25. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.

Zone 1 (Southern Florida, East Coast)
High speed of translation





Figure 26. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.


Zone 2 (Northern Florida to South
Carolina)
Mean Radius (RM)
Moderate speed of translation (MT)



Note: For CPI of 27.65 inches, to
adjust CPI see table 3.

Distance Scale in Terms of
Radius to Region of Maximum
Winds (R) (See Text)
* Wind Center


Figure 27. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.



Note: For CPI of 27.65 inches, to adjust CPI see table 3.

Figure 28. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.

Zone 2 (Northern Florida to South Carolina)
 Large Radius (RL)
 Moderate speed of translation (MT)




★ Wind Center

Note: For CPI of 27.65 inches, to adjust CPI see table 3.

Distance Scale is in Terms of Radius to Region of Maximum Winds (R) see text

Figure 29. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.



Note: For CPI of 27.65 inches, to adjust CPI see table 3.

★ Wind Center


Distance Scale is in Terms of Radius to Region of Maximum Winds (R) see text

Figure 30. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.

Zone 3 (South Carolina through southern
Maryland)

Mean Radius (RM)


Moderate speed of translation (MT)



Note: For CPI of 27.55 inches, to
adjust CPI see table 3.

Figure 31. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.

Zone 3 (South Carolina through southern Maryland)
 Mean Radius (RM)
 High speed of translation (HT)



Distance Scale in Terms of
 Radius to Region of Maximum
 Winds (R) (See Text)
 * Wind Center


Note: For CPI of 27.55 inches, to
 adjust CPI see table 3.

Figure 32. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.

Zone 3 (South Carolina through southern
Maryland)

Large Radius (RL)

Moderate speed of translation (MT)




Note: For CPI of 27.55 inches, to adjust CPI see table 3.

Figure 33. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.

Zone 3 (South Carolina through southern Maryland)

Large Radius (RL)


High speed of translation (HT)



Note: For CPI of 27.55 inches, to adjust CPI see table 3.

Figure 34. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.

Zone 4 (Maryland to Maine)
 Mean Radius (RM)
 Moderate speed of translation (MT)



Note: For CPI of 27.74 inches, to
 adjust CPI see table 3.

*Distance Scale in Terms of
 Radius to Region of Maximum
 Winds (R) (See Text)
 * Wind Center*

Figure 35. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.

Zone 4 (Maryland to Maine)
 Mean Radius (RM)
 High speed of translation (HT)





Figure 36. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.


Zone 4 (Maryland to Maine)
 Large Radius (RL)
 Moderate speed of translation (MT)



Note: For CPI of 27.74 inches, to adjust CPI see table 3.

Figure 37. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.


Zone 4 (Maryland to Maine)
Large Radius (RL)
High speed of translation (HT)



Note: For CPI of 27.74 inches, to
adjust CPI see table 3.

Figure 38. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.


Zone A (Florida, West Coast)
 Mean Radius (RM)
 Moderate speed of translation (MT)



Note: For CPI of 27.06 inches, to adjust CPI see table 3.

Figure 39. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.


Zone A (Florida, West Coast)
 Mean Radius (RM)
 High speed of translation (HT)



Note: For CPI of 27.06 inches, to adjust CPI see table 3.

Figure 40. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.

Zone A (Florida, West Coast)
 Large Radius (RL)
 Moderate speed of translation (MT)




Note: For CPI of 27.06 inches, to
 adjust CPI see table 3.

★ Wind Center

Distance Scale is in Terms of Radius to Region of Maximum Winds (R) see text

Figure 41. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.




Note: For CPI of 27.06 inches, to
adjust CPI see table 3.

★ Wind Center

Distance Scale is in Terms of Radius to Region of Maximum Winds (R) see text

Figure 42. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.


Zones B and C
 Mean Radius (RM)
 Moderate speed of translation (MT)



Note: For CPI of 27.55 inches, to
 adjust CPI see table 3.

Figure 43. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.

Zones B and C
 Mean Radius (RM)
 High Speed of Translation (HT)



Note: For CPI of 27.55 inches, to
 adjust CPI see table 3.


Distance Scale in Terms of
 Radius to Region of Maximum
 Winds (R) (See Text)
 * Wind Center

Figure 44. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.

Zones B and C
 Large Radius (RL)
 Moderate speed of translation (MT)

R = 30 Naut Miles


Forward Speed = 11 Knots



Note: For CPI of 27.55 inches, to adjust CPI see table 3.

Figure 45. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.

Zones B and C
Large Radius (RL)
High speed of translation (HT)



Note: For CPI of 27.55 inches, to adjust CPI see table 3.

Figure 46. - Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. over-water isovel pattern.




Figure 47. Standard Project Hurricane 30-ft. wind-speed profile, small radius (RS) hurricanes, all zones. 67




Figure 48. - Relation of central pressure index to maximum 30-ft. wind, Atlantic and Gulf Coasts.




Figure 49. - Comparison of storm surge producing potential for various standard project hurricane isovel patterns and observed hurricanes.

APPENDIX

TABLE A. - HURRICANES WITH CENTRAL PRESSURE INDEX LESS THAN 29.00 INCHES (1900-1956) RANKED BY CPI
EAST COAST UNITED STATES

| Date | CPI (inches) | R (n. mi.) | Vex (m.p.h.) | Lat. (deg. N.) | F (kt.) | Max. 30-ft. wind speed estimated from analyses of data |
|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|---|
| ZONE 1 | | | | | | |
| Sept. 2, 1935 | 26.35 | 6 | 137 | 24.8 | 9 | |
| Sept. 9, 1919 | 27.44 | 15 | 108 | 24.8 | 8 | |
| Oct. 20, 1926 | 27.52 | 21 | 98 | 24.6 | 16 | |
| Sept. 18, 1926 | 27.59 | 24 | 110 | 25.8 | 17 | |
| Sept. 16, 1928 | 27.62 | 53 | 117 | 26.7 | 13 | |
| Sept. 17, 1947 | 27.76 | 34 | 102 | 26.2 | 10 | |
| Sept. 4, 1933 | 27.98 | 29 | 101 | 26.9 | 11 | |
| Sept. 15, 1945 | 28.09 | 24 | 99 | 25.5 | 10 | |
| Sept. 28, 1929 | 28.15 | 28 | 98 | 24.9 | 10 | |
| Aug. 26, 1949 | 28.16 | 23 | 99 | 26.7 | 14 | 90** |
| Oct. 17, 1950 | 28.20 | - | - | 25.8 | 6 | |
| July 28, 1926 | 28.34 | 14 | 89 | 26.9 | 13 | |
| Sept. 22, 1948 | 28.41 | 16 | 85 | 26.8 | 11 | |
| Nov. 4, 1935 | 28.73 | - | 79 | - | - | |
| Oct. 20, 1924 | 28.83 | 25 | 62 | 25.8 | 6 | |
| Sept. 11, 1903 | 28.84 | 43 | 76 | 25.8 | 8 | |
| Oct. 18, 1906 | 28.84 | 35 | 67 | 26.9 | 6 | |
| Oct. 5, 1948 | 28.85 | 31 | 67 | 25.8 | 13 | |
| June 17, 1906 | 28.91 | 26 | 72 | 26.9 | 12 | |
| ZONE 2 | | | | | | |
| Oct. 15, 1954 | 27.66 | 36 | 92 | 32.0 | 8 | 94 |
| July 28, 1926 | 28.34 | 14 | 89 | 28.4 | - | |
| Aug. 30, 1954 | 28.35 | - | - | - | - | |
| Sept. 19, 1955 | 28.51 | 50 | 78 | 32.8 | 10 | |
| Aug. 12, 1955 | 28.40 | 45 | 72 | 32.5 | 7 | |
| Oct. 15, 1947 | 28.59 | 13 | 73 | 32.1 | 17 | |
| Sept. 16, 1928 | 28.75 | - | - | 29.5 | - | |
| Aug. 11, 1940 | 28.78 | 27 | 77 | 32.0 | 12 | |
| Oct. 25, 1921 | 28.91 | - | - | 29.0 | 10 | |
| Sept. 15, 1945 | 28.91 | - | - | 29.0 | - | |
| Aug. 28, 1911 | 28.92 | 27 | 73 | 32.1 | 8 | |
| ZONE 3 | | | | | | |
| Oct. 15, 1954 | 27.66 | 36 | 92 | 33.0 | 26 | 94 |
| Sept. 21, 1938 | 27.86 | 50 | 83 | 33.7 | - | |
| Sept. 14, 1944 | 27.88 | 17 | 113 | 35.2 | 23 | |
| Sept. 10, 1954 | 27.97 | - | - | - | - | |
| Sept. 16, 1913 | 28.25 | 42 | 84 | 35.2 | 9 | |
| Aug. 30, 1954 | 28.35 | - | - | 33.4 | - | |
| Aug. 12, 1955 | 28.40 | 45 | 72 | 34.5 | 7 | |
| Sept. 19, 1955 | 28.51 | 50 | 78 | 35.0 | 9 | |
| Sept. 18, 1936 | 28.53 | 34 | 63 | 35.2 | 16 | |
| Aug. 23, 1933 | 28.63 | 36 | 58 | 36.9 | 18 | 61 |
| Aug. 25, 1924 | 28.70 | 34 | 78 | 35.2 | 22 | |
| Sept. 3, 1913 | 28.81 | 41 | 72 | 35.8 | 16 | |
| Aug. 24, 1949 | 28.86 | 24 | 80 | 33.5 | 22 | |
| Dec. 2, 1925 | 28.95 | 54 | 62 | 34.2 | 14 | |
| Sept. 17, 1906 | 28.98 | 61 | 72 | 34.0 | 16 | |
| ZONE 4 | | | | | | |
| Sept. 21, 1938 | 27.86 | 50 | 83 | 41.8 | 47 | 95 |
| Sept. 11, 1954 | 27.97 | - | - | 41.3 | 40 | |
| Sept. 16, 1933 | 28.25 | - | 84 | - | 30 | |
| Sept. 14, 1944 | 28.31 | 48 | 71 | 41.4 | 33 | |
| Aug. 31, 1954 | 28.38 | 22 | - | 41.8 | - | |
| Sept. 18, 1936 | 28.53 | 34 | 63 | 38.0 | - | |
| Aug. 26, 1924 | 28.70 | 66 | 59 | 41.3 | 29 | |

**From an analysis of observed winds at Lake Okeechobee (central pressure 28.20 in.)

TABLE A. Continued

GULF COAST UNITED STATES

| Date | CPI (inches) | R (n.mi.) | V _{gx} (m.p.h.) | F (kt.) | Lat. (deg. N.) | Long. (deg. W.) | Date | CPI (inches) | R (n.mi.) | V _{gx} (m.p.h.) | F (kt.) | Lat. (deg. N.) | Long. (deg. W.) | Max. 30-ft. wind speed estimated from analyses of data | |
|-----------------|---|--------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|---|--|
| ZONE | | | | | | | ZONE B | | | | | | | | |
| Sept. 2, 1935 | 26.35 | 6 | | 9 | 24.8 | 80.9 | Sept. 8, 1900 | 27.64 | -- | 104 | -- | 27.0 | 90.5 | 88 | |
| Sept. 9, 1919 | 27.44 | 15 | | 8 | 24.6 | 82.9 | Sept. 29, 1915 | 27.87 | 29 | 106 | 10 | 29.9 | 90.1 | 85 | |
| Oct. 20, 1926 | 27.52 | 21 | | 16 | 23.8 | 81.3 | Sept. 14, 1919 | 28.00 | -- | -- | 20 | 26.9 | 90.2 | | |
| Sept. 21, 1948 | 27.62 | 7 | | 8 | 24.5 | 81.8 | Sept. 20, 1926 | 28.20 | 17 | 98 | 7 | 30.5 | 87.5 | | |
| Oct. 17, 1910 | 27.80 | 16 | | 11 | 24.5 | 82.5 | July 21, 1909 | 28.31 | 19 | 99 | -- | 26.8 | 91.0 | | |
| Oct. 18, 1944 | 28.02 | 27 | | 13 | 24.6 | 82.8 | Aug. 25, 1926 | 28.31 | 27 | 100 | 10 | 29.6 | 90.7 | | |
| Sept. 17, 1947 | 28.03 | 34 | | - | 26.4 | 81.3 | July 5, 1916 | 28.38 | 50 | 81 | 25 | 30.7 | 88.0 | | |
| Sept. 18, 1926 | 28.05 | 24 | | 17 | 26.5 | 81.0 | July 31, 1936 | 28.46 | 19 | 80 | 9 | 30.5 | 86.5 | | |
| Sept. 28, 1929 | 28.15 | 28 | | 10 | 24.8 | 81.1 | Sept. 28, 1917 | 28.48 | 33 | 81 | 13 | 30.4 | 87.2 | | |
| Oct. 25, 1921 | 28.29 | 18 | | 10 | 28.1 | 82.8 | Sept. 27, 1906 | 28.50 | 73 | 83 | 16 | 30.6 | 88.5 | | |
| Oct. 11, 1909 | 28.30 | 22 | | 10 | 24.3 | 81.7 | June 16, 1934 | 28.52 | 37 | 80 | 16 | 29.9 | 91.7 | | |
| Sept. 16, 1928 | 28.30 | 53 | | - | 27.7 | 81.4 | Sept. 19, 1947 | 28.57 | 33 | 72 | 16 | 29.9 | 90.1 | 95 | |
| Sept. 4, 1950 | 28.30 | -- | | - | 29.1 | 83.1 | Aug. 14, 1901 | 28.72 | 33 | 83 | 14 | 29.9 | 90.1 | | |
| Aug. 26, 1949 | 28.37 | 23 | | 14 | 27.2 | 81.2 | Oct. 18, 1916 | 28.76 | 19 | 81 | 21 | 30.4 | 87.2 | | |
| Sept. 15, 1945 | 28.39 | 24 | | 10 | 25.5 | 81.0 | Aug. 7, 1940 | 28.76 | 11 | 71 | 8 | 29.0 | 92.8 | | |
| Sept. 4, 1933 | 28.48 | 29 | | 11 | 27.8 | 81.6 | Sept. 23, 1956 | 28.76 | *22 | -- | *10 | 30.0 | 86.5 | 82 | |
| Oct. 19, 1924 | 28.70 | 19 | | 8 | 25.0 | 83.0 | July 27, 1943 | 28.78 | 16 | 78 | 8 | 28.2 | 92.0 | | |
| Nov. 4, 1935 | 28.73 | -- | | - | 25.0 | 81.0 | Sept. 30, 1929 | 28.80 | 65 | 70 | 6 | 30.1 | 85.7 | | |
| Sept. 11, 1903 | 28.84 | 43 | | 7 | 27.0 | 81.5 | Aug. 30, 1950 | 28.92 | 21 | 64 | 23 | 30.2 | 88.0 | | |
| Oct. 18, 1906 | 28.84 | 35 | | 6 | 25.0 | 81.0 | Sept. 21, 1920 | 28.93 | 28 | 67 | 28 | 29.6 | 90.7 | | |
| Oct. 5, 1948 | 28.85 | 31 | | 13 | 24.0 | 81.2 | Sept. 20, 1909 | 28.94 | 88 | 73 | 11 | 29.9 | 90.1 | | |
| Oct. 18, 1950 | 28.88 | -- | | - | 28.0 | 81.6 | Oct. 7, 1941 | 28.98 | 18 | 78 | 11 | 29.9 | 84.7 | | |
| June 17, 1906 | 28.91 | 26 | | - | 25.1 | 80.9 | June 27, 1957* | 27.95 | 19 | -- | 14 | 29.8 | 93.6 | 104 | |
| Oct. 7, 1941 | 28.98 | 18 | | 11 | 29.8 | 84.7 | | | | | | | | | |
| Legend | | | | | | | ZONE C | | | | | | | | |
| CPI | - central pressure index | | | | | | Sept. 8, 1900 | 27.64 | 14 | 104 | 10 | 29.2 | 95.9 | 88 | |
| R | - radius of maximum winds | | | | | | Aug. 13, 1932 | 27.83 | 12 | 108 | 15 | 29.1 | 95.6 | | |
| V _{gx} | - maximum gradient wind speed from table 3-1, reference $\sqrt{\frac{4}{7}}$ | | | | | | Aug. 18, 1916 | 28.00 | 35 | 116 | 11 | 27.5 | 97.5 | | |
| F | - forward speed of the storm | | | | | | Sept. 14, 1919 | 28.00 | -- | --- | 20 | 27.7 | 97.5 | | |
| | | | | | | | Sept. 5, 1933 | 28.02 | 20 | 105 | 8 | 25.9 | 97.5 | | |
| | | | | | | | Aug. 30, 1942 | 28.07 | 18 | 88 | 14 | 28.5 | 96.7 | | |
| | | | | | | | Aug. 16, 1915 | 28.14 | 32 | 83 | 11 | 29.8 | 95.0 | 72 | |
| | | | | | | | June 22, 1921 | 28.17 | 17 | 97 | 11 | 29.7 | 95.4 | | |
| | | | | | | | July 21, 1909 | 28.31 | 19 | 99 | 12 | 29.0 | 96.0 | | |
| | | | | | | | Sept. 23, 1941 | 28.31 | 21 | 81 | 13 | 29.7 | 95.4 | | |
| | | | | | | | Aug. 27, 1945 | 28.57 | 18 | 88 | 4 | 29.0 | 96.2 | | |
| | | | | | | | June 28, 1929 | 28.62 | 13 | 82 | 15 | 28.5 | 96.5 | | |
| | | | | | | | Aug. 7, 1940 | 28.76 | 11 | 71 | 8 | 29.9 | 93.9 | | |
| | | | | | | | July 27, 1943 | 28.78 | 16 | 78 | 8 | 29.5 | 95.0 | | |
| | | | | | | | Aug. 4, 1933 | 28.80 | 25 | 76 | 10 | 25.9 | 97.5 | | |
| | | | | | | | Oct. 4, 1949 | 28.88 | 28 | 78 | 11 | 28.9 | 95.4 | 82 | |

*Not used in frequency analysis for zone B. Parameters determined subsequent to preparation of frequency analyses.

TABLE B. - AVERAGE DIRECTION OF CENTER MOVEMENT OF ALL TROPICAL STORMS IN THE ZONE WHICH REACHED HURRICANE INTENSITY AT ANY TIME (1887-1956)

EAST COAST UNITED STATES

| Avg. direction of movement within zone (degrees from) | | Avg. direction of movement within zone (degrees from) | | Avg. direction of movement within zone (degrees from) | | Avg. direction of movement within zone (degrees from) | |
|---|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|-----|
| Date | | Date | | Date | | Date | |
| ZONE 1 | | | | ZONE 2 | | | |
| Aug. 18, 1887 | 126 | Sept. 17, 1926 | 125 | Aug. 20, 1887 | 198 | July 26, 1926 | 155 |
| Aug. 15, 1888 | 122 | Aug. 12, 1928 | 129 | Aug. 22, 1887 | 173 | Sept. 17, 1928 | 202 |
| Sept. 3, 1888 | 002 | Aug. 6, 1928 | 138 | Oct. 10, 1888 | 232 | Sept. 9, 1930 | 227 |
| Sept. 7, 1888 | 92 | Sept. 15, 1928 | 123 | Sept. 9, 1888 | 211 | Sept. 6, 1934 | 185 |
| Aug. 23, 1891 | 109 | Sept. 25, 1929 | 55 | Oct. 12, 1893 | 175 | July 21, 1934 | 035 |
| Oct. 11, 1893 | 138 | Aug. 28, 1932 | 117 | Aug. 26, 1893 | 166 | Aug. 10, 1940 | 124 |
| Aug. 26, 1893 | 143 | Sept. 5, 1932 | 168 | Sept. 25, 1894 | 191 | Aug. 2, 1940 | 54 |
| Sept. 25, 1894 | 185 | Oct. 4, 1933 | 236 | Oct. 8, 1894 | 230 | Sept. 18, 1941 | 209 |
| Oct. 20, 1895 | 210 | Aug. 31, 1933 | 106 | Oct. 9, 1896 | 223 | Oct. 8, 1941 | 287 |
| Sept. 4, 1896 | 227 | Sept. 2, 1933 | 119 | Aug. 30, 1898 | 152 | Oct. 19, 1944 | 196 |
| Oct. 8, 1896 | 229 | July 29, 1933 | 113 | Oct. 1, 1898 | 120 | Aug. 1, 1944 | 170 |
| Aug. 1, 1898 | 110 | Sept. 6, 1934 | 148 | Oct. 30, 1899 | 180 | June 24, 1945 | 220 |
| Oct. 28, 1899 | 201 | Aug. 31, 1935 | 107 | Aug. 13, 1899 | 197 | Sept. 15, 1945 | 196 |
| Aug. 11, 1899 | 144 | Sept. 28, 1935 | 217 | Oct. 20, 1904 | 138 | July 5, 1946 | 174 |
| Aug. 9, 1901 | 116 | Nov. 3, 1935 | 57 | Sept. 13, 1904 | 127 | Oct. 14, 1947 | 94 |
| Aug. 10, 1903 | 111 | July 27, 1936 | 107 | Oct. 19, 1906 | 33 | Sept. 22, 1948 | 238 |
| Sept. 11, 1903 | 113 | Oct. 5, 1941 | 107 | June 17, 1906 | 122 | Sept. 5, 1950 | 165 |
| Oct. 15, 1904 | 190 | Sept. 17, 1941 | 183 | July 28, 1908 | 181 | May 16, 1951 | 199 |
| June 16, 1906 | 212 | Sept. 14, 1945 | 126 | Oct. 18, 1910 | 195 | Oct. 2, 1951 | 122 |
| Oct. 17, 1906 | 216 | Sept. 12, 1946 | 205 | Aug. 26, 1911 | 115 | Aug. 29, 1952 | 142 |
| Sept. 30, 1908 | 182 | Oct. 11, 1947 | 231 | July 13, 1916 | 146 | Sept. 27, 1953 | 248 |
| July 27, 1908 | 156 | Sept. 16, 1947 | 081 | Oct. 25, 1921 | 255 | Oct. 14, 1954 | 274 |
| Oct. 11, 1909 | 232 | Sept. 21, 1948 | 231 | Sept. 29, 1920 | 223 | Aug. 29, 1954 | 201 |
| Nov. 21, 1912 | 214 | Oct. 5, 1948 | 224 | Sept. 22, 1920 | 134 | Aug. 16, 1955 | 138 |
| Nov. 15, 1916 | 242 | Aug. 25, 1949 | 119 | Sept. 16, 1924 | 240 | Aug. 11, 1955 | 168 |
| Sept. 7, 1919 | 90 | Oct. 17, 1950 | 159 | Nov. 30, 1925 | 215 | Sept. 19, 1955 | 169 |
| Oct. 20, 1924 | 256 | Oct. 2, 1951 | 233 | | | | |
| Oct. 20, 1926 | 236 | May 17, 1951 | 305 | | | | |
| July 26, 1926 | 144 | Oct. 24, 1952 | 232 | | | | |

TABLE B. - Continued

EAST COAST UNITED STATES

| ZONE 3 | | | ZONE 4 | | |
|----------------|---|----------------|---|----------------|---|
| Date | Avg. direction of movement within zone (degrees from) | Date | Avg. direction of movement within zone (degrees from) | Date | Avg. direction of movement within zone (degrees from) |
| Aug. 20, 1887 | 227 | Sept. 17, 1928 | 126 | Oct. 20, 1887 | 197 |
| Oct. 20, 1887 | 215 | Sept. 11, 1930 | 124 | Aug. 21, 1888 | 248 |
| Oct. 11, 1888 | 218 | Aug. 22, 1933 | 142 | Sept. 10, 1888 | 224 |
| Nov. 25, 1888 | 204 | Sept. 15, 1933 | 196 | Oct. 11, 1888 | 225 |
| Sept. 24, 1889 | 237 | July 21, 1934 | 067 | Nov. 26, 1888 | 214 |
| Sept. 11, 1889 | 134 | Sept. 7, 1934 | 203 | Sept. 10, 1889 | 98 |
| July 8, 1891 | 249 | Sept. 5, 1935 | 246 | Sept. 24, 1889 | 225 |
| Oct. 11, 1891 | 195 | Sept. 17, 1936 | 159 | Oct. 12, 1891 | 220 |
| Aug. 23, 1893 | 178 | Sept. 20, 1938 | 093 | July 8, 1891 | 246 |
| Oct. 4, 1893 | 284 | Aug. 31, 1940 | 205 | Aug. 23, 1893 | 183 |
| Oct. 13, 1893 | 186 | Aug. 16, 1940 | 217 | Oct. 9, 1894 | 212 |
| Sept. 26, 1894 | 223 | Sept. 22, 1941 | 209 | Sept. 9, 1896 | 177 |
| Oct. 9, 1894 | 221 | Oct. 8, 1941 | 288 | Aug. 19, 1899 | 244 |
| Oct. 30, 1899 | 184 | Oct. 19, 1944 | 202 | June 29, 1902 | 278 |
| Aug. 15, 1899 | 214 | Aug. 1, 1944 | 178 | Oct. 12, 1902 | 246 |
| July 10, 1901 | 076 | Sept. 13, 1944 | 188 | Sept. 15, 1903 | 152 |
| Oct. 10, 1903 | 202 | June 25, 1945 | 217 | Oct. 11, 1903 | 239 |
| Sept. 15, 1903 | 167 | Sept. 17, 1945 | 195 | Sept. 14, 1904 | 219 |
| Sept. 14, 1904 | 149 | July 5, 1946 | 225 | Sept. 15, 1912 | 258 |
| Sept. 16, 1906 | 112 | Oct. 9, 1946 | 266 | July 20, 1916 | 208 |
| July 30, 1908 | 216 | Aug. 23, 1949 | 215 | Sept. 6, 1918 | 200 |
| Oct. 19, 1910 | 238 | Aug. 19, 1950 | 213 | Sept. 30, 1920 | 202 |
| Oct. 5, 1912 | 061 | Oct. 3, 1951 | 220 | | |
| Sept. 1, 1913 | 135 | May 20, 1951 | 205 | | |
| July 19, 1916 | 192 | Aug. 13, 1953 | 193 | | |
| July 13, 1916 | 140 | Sept. 27, 1953 | 238 | | |
| Sept. 22, 1920 | 135 | Oct. 15, 1954 | 182 | | |
| Sept. 30, 1920 | 205 | Aug. 30, 1954 | 209 | | |
| Oct. 23, 1923 | 168 | Sept. 10, 1954 | 204 | | |
| Sept. 16, 1924 | 133 | Aug. 11, 1955 | 192 | | |
| Aug. 25, 1924 | 104 | Sept. 18, 1955 | 195 | | |
| Dec. 1, 1925 | 104 | Aug. 16, 1955 | 144 | | |
| Aug. 23, 1927 | 183 | Sept. 27, 1956 | 230 | | |
| | | | | Aug. 25, 1924 | 208 |
| | | | | Dec. 3, 1925 | 248 |
| | | | | Aug. 23, 1927 | 214 |
| | | | | Sept. 16, 1933 | 224 |
| | | | | Sept. 8, 1934 | 197 |
| | | | | June 19, 1934 | 237 |
| | | | | Sept. 6, 1935 | 249 |
| | | | | Sept. 18, 1936 | 226 |
| | | | | Sept. 24, 1936 | 195 |
| | | | | Sept. 21, 1938 | 187 |
| | | | | Sept. 1, 1940 | 207 |
| | | | | Oct. 17, 1943 | 187 |
| | | | | Oct. 20, 1944 | 227 |
| | | | | Aug. 2, 1944 | 241 |
| | | | | Sept. 14, 1944 | 213 |
| | | | | June 26, 1945 | 226 |
| | | | | Sept. 11, 1950 | 222 |
| | | | | Aug. 14, 1953 | 226 |
| | | | | Sept. 6, 1953 | 199 |
| | | | | Aug. 30, 1954 | 195 |
| | | | | Sept. 10, 1954 | 210 |
| | | | | Aug. 18, 1955 | 254 |
| | | | | Sept. 27, 1956 | 235 |

TABLE B. Continued

GULF COAST UNITED STATES

| Avg. direction of movement within zone (degrees from) | | Date | Avg. direction of movement within zone (degrees from) | | Date | Avg. direction of movement within zone (degrees from) | | Date | Avg. direction of movement within zone (degrees from) | | Date |
|---|-----|----------------|---|----------------|------|---|-----|----------------|---|----------------|------|
| ZONE A | | | | | | Zone B | | | | | |
| Sept. 5, 1900 | 137 | Sept. 1, 1933 | 104 | Sept. 7, 1900 | 112 | Aug. 13, 1928 | 164 | Sept. 12, 1903 | 170 | Sept. 29, 1929 | 180 |
| Aug. 10, 1901 | 110 | Oct. 4, 1933 | 208 | Aug. 12, 1901 | 145 | Aug. 31, 1932 | 135 | Sept. 26, 1906 | 152 | Aug. 2, 1933 | 89 |
| Sept. 11, 1903 | 112 | Sept. 3, 1933 | 145 | Oct. 9, 1902 | 200 | July 23, 1934 | 90 | Aug. 10, 1911 | 138 | June 15, 1934 | 179 |
| Sept. 17, 1904 | 005 | July 22, 1934 | 67 | Sept. 11, 1912 | 135 | Aug. 26, 1934 | 115 | Oct. 16, 1906 | 218 | Sept. 4, 1935 | 180 |
| June 16, 1906 | 170 | Sept. 2, 1935 | 155 | June 12, 1912 | 230 | Nov. 7, 1935 | 255 | Oct. 10, 1909 | 200 | July 30, 1936 | 147 |
| Oct. 16, 1906 | 218 | Nov. 4, 1935 | 90 | Sept. 3, 1915 | 180 | Aug. 4, 1938 | 116 | Oct. 17, 1910 | 182 | Oct. 6, 1941 | 180 |
| Aug. 9, 1911 | 145 | Nov. 7, 1935 | 264 | Sept. 28, 1915 | 175 | Aug. 18, 1942 | 124 | Sept. 11, 1912 | 135 | July 25, 1943 | 93 |
| Oct. 17, 1910 | 182 | July 28, 1936 | 123 | Oct. 17, 1916 | 185 | Sept. 19, 1943 | 212 | Aug. 9, 1911 | 138 | Sept. 18, 1947 | 123 |
| Sept. 11, 1912 | 114 | Aug. 2, 1938 | 70 | July 4, 1916 | 170 | Aug. 22, 1947 | 135 | Sept. 2, 1915 | 180 | Sept. 3, 1948 | 200 |
| Sept. 2, 1915 | 157 | Sept. 16, 1941 | 92 | Sept. 27, 1917 | 205 | Oct. 18, 1950 | 31 | Sept. 28, 1915 | 175 | Oct. 18, 1950 | 185 |
| Nov. 15, 1916 | 235 | Oct. 6, 1941 | 140 | Aug. 6, 1918 | 148 | Aug. 29, 1950 | 221 | Oct. 17, 1916 | 185 | Sept. 25, 1953 | 221 |
| Nov. 9, 1919 | 95 | Oct. 18, 1944 | 190 | Nov. 12, 1919 | 100 | | | July 4, 1916 | 170 | | |
| Sept. 29, 1920 | 245 | June 23, 1945 | 239 | Sept. 21, 1920 | 170 | | | Sept. 27, 1917 | 205 | | |
| Oct. 24, 1921 | 230 | Sept. 15, 1945 | 180 | Aug. 29, 1920 | 240 | | | Aug. 6, 1918 | 148 | | |
| Oct. 19, 1924 | 240 | Oct. 7, 1946 | 190 | Oct. 15, 1923 | 190 | | | Nov. 12, 1919 | 100 | | |
| Nov. 30, 1925 | 221 | Sept. 17, 1947 | 109 | Sept. 13, 1924 | 215 | | | Sept. 21, 1920 | 170 | | |
| July 28, 1926 | 131 | Aug. 18, 1947 | 96 | Sept. 19, 1926 | 128 | | | Sept. 29, 1920 | 240 | | |
| Sept. 18, 1926 | 120 | Oct. 11, 1947 | 218 | Aug. 24, 1926 | 180 | | | Oct. 15, 1923 | 190 | | |
| Sept. 16, 1928 | 150 | Oct. 4, 1948 | 220 | Aug. 9, 1928 | 130 | | | Sept. 13, 1924 | 215 | | |
| Aug. 8, 1928 | 130 | Sept. 20, 1948 | 190 | | | | | Sept. 19, 1926 | 128 | | |
| Aug. 12, 1928 | 141 | Aug. 26, 1949 | 145 | | | | | Aug. 24, 1926 | 180 | | |
| Sept. 27, 1929 | 135 | Oct. 20, 1950 | 210 | | | | | Aug. 9, 1928 | 130 | | |
| Sept. 7, 1930 | 227 | Sept. 2, 1950 | 198 | | | | | | | | |
| Aug. 29, 1932 | 116 | Oct. 18, 1950 | 158 | | | | | | | | |
| July 30, 1933 | 90 | Oct. 1, 1951 | 270 | | | | | | | | |
| ZONE C | | | | | | ZONE C | | | | | |
| | | | | Sept. 7, 1900 | 125 | July 6, 1933 | 60 | | | | |
| | | | | June 24, 1902 | 185 | Sept. 3, 1933 | 98 | | | | |
| | | | | July 20, 1909 | 96 | July 24, 1934 | 90 | | | | |
| | | | | Aug. 27, 1909 | 112 | Aug. 26, 1934 | 32 | | | | |
| | | | | Sept. 13, 1910 | 180 | Aug. 17, 1936 | 56 | | | | |
| | | | | June 11, 1912 | 198 | June 26, 1936 | 138 | | | | |
| | | | | Oct. 15, 1912 | 139 | Aug. 14, 1938 | 160 | | | | |
| | | | | June 27, 1913 | 115 | Aug. 27, 1938 | 90 | | | | |
| | | | | Aug. 16, 1915 | 165 | Aug. 6, 1938 | 127 | | | | |
| | | | | Aug. 18, 1916 | 110 | Sept. 22, 1941 | 166 | | | | |
| | | | | Aug. 6, 1918 | 145 | Aug. 20, 1942 | 119 | | | | |
| | | | | Nov. 13, 1919 | 90 | Aug. 29, 1942 | 133 | | | | |
| | | | | Sept. 6, 1921 | 140 | July 26, 1943 | 122 | | | | |
| | | | | June 20, 1921 | 166 | Sept. 15, 1943 | 215 | | | | |
| | | | | June 15, 1922 | 125 | Aug. 24, 1945 | 180 | | | | |
| | | | | June 28, 1929 | 140 | Oct. 22, 1947 | 128 | | | | |
| | | | | Aug. 12, 1932 | 149 | Oct. 3, 1949 | 180 | | | | |
| | | | | Aug. 3, 1933 | 75 | June 24, 1954 | 136 | | | | |
| | | | | | | Sept. 4, 1955 | 100 | | | | |