Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. Date of Submission: September 10, 2007
2. Agency: 393
3. Bureau: 000
4. Name of this Capital Asset: Electronic Editing and Publishing System (eDOCS)
5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investments only, see section *53*. For all other, use agency ID system.) 393-00-01-04-01-0007-00
6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M ONLY in FY2009, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2009 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)

   ☐ Planning ☐ Full Acquisition ☒ Operations and Maintenance ☐ Mixed Life Cycle☐ Multi-Agency Collaboration
7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? FY2001 or earlier
8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:

   > The Electronic Editing and Publishing System (eDOCS) is a client-server based electronic system available to all Office of the Federal Register staff involved in the processing of material for publication in the daily Federal Register. The system allows: a. Federal agencies to submit rulemaking and other documents electronically for publication in the Federal Register, b. Federal Register editorial staff to process documents and perform their duties in an electronic mode, and c. the electronic transmission of data to the Government Printing Office for publication.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? Yes
   a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? 08/27/2007
10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit? Yes
11. Contact information of Project Manager?

Name	Thomas McAndrew, PMP
Phone Number	301-837-1955
E-mail	Thomas.mcandrew@nara.gov

   a. What is the current FAC-P/PM certification level of the project/program manager? 2
12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient, and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project. (Answer applicable to non-IT assets only) Yes
   a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)? Yes
   b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)) N/A

       1.   If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment? N/A

       2.   If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles? N/A

       3.   If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code? N/A

13. Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives? No

If "yes," check all that apply: *To check all that applies, double click the grey box next to the initiative you would like to add. Select CHECKED from the default value section and then select OK.*

☐ Human Capital

☐ Budget Performance Integration

☐ Financial Performance

☐ Expanded E-Government

☐ Competitive Sourcing

☐ Faith Based and Community

☐ Real Property Asset Management

☐ Eliminating Improper Payments

☐ Privatization of Military Housing

☐ Research & Development Investment Criteria

☐ Housing & Urban Development Management & Performance

☐ Broadening Health Insurance Coverage through State Initiatives

☐ "Right Sized" Overseas Presence

☐ Coordination of VA & DoD Programs and Systems

      a.   Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.) Yes

    a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review? No

    b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program? Records Services Program

    c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive? Adequate   (Effective, Moderately Effective, Adequate, Ineffective, Results Not Demonstrated)

15. Is this investment for information technology? (see section 53 for definition) Yes

If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council PM Guidance)?

☐ Level 1

☒ Level 2

☐ Level 3

17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance):

☒ Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

☐ Project manager qualification is under review for this investment

☐ Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements

☐ Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started

☐ No Project manager has yet been assigned to this investment

18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4-FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)? Yes

19. Is this a financial management system? No

    a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area? N/A

        1. If "yes," which compliance area: N/A

        2. If "no," what does it address? N/A

    b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A–11 section 52 N/A

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following? (This should total 100% - enter as decimal, e.g., .25 = 25%)

Hardware 0

Software .10

Services .90

Other 0%

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities? Yes

22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Name	Gary M Stern
Phone Number	301-837-3026
Title	Senior Official for Privacy Policy
E-mail	garyM.stern@nara.gov

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval? Yes

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas? No

Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be **excluded** from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)				
	PY-1 and Earlier	**PY 2007**	**CY 2008**	**BY 2009**
Planning:	0.700	0.000	0.000	0.000
Acquisition:	2.630	0.560	0.320	0.000
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	3.330	0.560	0.320	0.000
Operations & Maintenance:	1.010	0.340	0.350	0.670
TOTAL:	4.340	0.900	0.670	0.670
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above				
Government FTE Costs:	1.065	0.285	0.285	0.285
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	7	3	3	3

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's? No
    a. If "yes," How many and in what year? N/A
3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes. N/A

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Contracts/Task Orders Table:																	
Contractor Task Order Number	Type of Contract/Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the ward? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start & end date of Contact / Task Order	End date of Contract/Task Order	Total Value of Contract/Task Order ($M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? N)	Does the contract include the Required security & privacy clauses?(Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level(Level 1, 2, 3, N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition? Y/N)
GS-35F-4863G	Fixed Price	Yes	3/15/2005	3/15/2005	3/15/2010	$2.7M	No	No	Yes	N/A	No	Yes	Laverne Fields	301-837-3063 Laverne.Fields@nara.gov	2	Yes

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why: This is an Operations and Maintenance contract and does not require EVM.
3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance? Yes
    a. Explain why: NARA specifies Section 508 compliance in all contracts, including small acquisitions, to ensure that assistive technology, devices, and services are available to all NARA employees and members of the public with disabilities who use NARA Information Technology equipment in NARA facilities. Contractors are required to design, develop, implement, maintain, and upgrade all technologies to demonstrate full compliance with all existing accessibility legislation.
4. Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements? Yes
    a. If "yes," what is the date? 12/7/1999
    b. If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed? NA
        1. If "no," briefly explain why: N/A

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond FY 2009.

Performance Information Table							
Fiscal Year	**Strategic Goal(s) Supported**	**Measurement Area**	**Measurement Grouping**	**Measurement Indicator**	**Baseline**	**Target**	**Actual Results**
2007	Goal One – As the nation's record keeper, we will ensure the continuity and effective operation of Federal programs by expanding our leadership and services in managing the Government's records.	Mission & Business Results	Public Comment Tracking	The amount of time needed to report Federal Register statistics decreases	17% (based on partial year data)	Decrease the amount of time needed to report monthly, quarterly, and yearly statistics by 10%	17% (based on partial year data through July 31, 2007
2007	Goal Two – We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible.	Customer Results:	Availability	Increase the availability of Federal Register documents to the public	317million	Increase number of documents retrieved online	108 million *Note Actual documents downloaded form the web site. Prior numbers reflected total number of Hits on

				Performance Information Table			
Fiscal Year	**Strategic Goal(s) Supported**	**Measurement Area**	**Measurement Grouping**	**Measurement Indicator**	**Baseline**	**Target**	**Actual Results**
							the web site..
2007	Goal Three – We will address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.	Processes & Activities	Security	Number of agencies submitting digitally signed electronic documents increases	17 agencies (based on partial year data)	Increase the number of agencies submitting digitally signed electronic documents to all agencies	29 agencies (as of July 31, 2007)
2007	Goal Six – We will equip NARA to meet the changing needs of our customers.	Technology	Reliability	Increase percentage of documents handled electronically	59%	Increase percentage of documents handled electronically by 4%	81% (as of July 31, 2007)
2008	Goal One – As the nation's record keeper, we will ensure the continuity and effective operation of Federal programs by expanding our leadership and services in managing the Government's records.	Mission & Business Results	Public Comment Tracking	The amount of time needed to report Federal Register statistics decreases	Pending FY07 Numbers	Decrease the amount of time needed to report monthly, quarterly, and yearly statistics by 10%	TBD
2008	Goal Two – We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible.	Customer Results	Availability	Increase the availability of Federal Register documents to the public	108	Increase number of documents retrieved online	TBD
2008	Goal Three – We will address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.	Processes & Activities	Security	Number of agencies submitting digitally signed electronic documents increases	29 agencies	Increase the number of agencies submitting digitally signed electronic documents to all agencies	TBD

Short text - 250 characters Medium text - 500 characters Long text - 2500 characters
All dollar amounts must be reported in millions with at least 3 decimals (6 decimals available)

				Performance Information Table			
Fiscal Year	**Strategic Goal(s) Supported**	**Measurement Area**	**Measurement Grouping**	**Measurement Indicator**	**Baseline**	**Target**	**Actual Results**
2008	Goal Six – We will equip NARA to meet the changing needs of our customers.	Technology	Reliability	Increase percentage of documents handled electronically	80%	Increase percentage of documents handled electronically by 4%	TBD
2009	Goal One – As the nation's record keeper, we will ensure the continuity and effective operation of Federal programs by expanding our leadership and services in managing the Government's records.	Mission & Business Results	Public Comment Tracking	The amount of time needed to report Federal Register statistics decreases	17%	Decrease the amount of time needed to report monthly, quarterly, and yearly statistics by 10%	TBD
2009	Goal Two – We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible.	Customer Results	Availability	Increase the availability of Federal Register documents to the public	TBD	Increase number of documents retrieved online	TBD
2009	Goal Three – We will address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.	Processes & Activities	Security	Number of agencies submitting digitally signed electronic documents increases	TBD	Increase the number of agencies submitting digitally signed electronic documents to all agencies	TBD
2009	Goal Six – We will equip NARA to meet the changing needs of our customers.	Technology:	Reliability	Increase percentage of documents handled electronically	TBD	Increase percentage of documents handled electronically by 4%	TBD

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

For existing Mixed-Life Cycle investments where enhancement, development, and/or modernization is planned, include the investment in both the "Systems in Planning" table (Table 3) and the "Operational Systems" table (Table 4). Systems which are already operational, but have enhancement, development, and/or modernization activity, should be included in both Table 3 and Table 4. Table 3 should reflect the planned date for the system changes to be complete and operational, and the planned date for the associated C&A update. Table 4 should reflect the current status of the requirements listed. In this context, information contained within Table 3 should characterize what updates to testing and documentation will occur before implementing the enhancements; and Table 4 should characterize the current state of the materials associated with the existing system.

All systems listed in the two security tables should be identified in the privacy table. The list of systems in the "Name of System" column of the privacy table (Table 8) should match the systems listed in columns titled "Name of System" in the security tables (Tables 3 and 4). For the Privacy table, it is possible that there may not be a one-to-one ratio between the list of systems and the related privacy documents. For example, one PIA could cover multiple systems. If this is the case, a working link to the PIA may be listed in column (d) of the privacy table more than once (for each system covered by the PIA)
.
The questions asking whether there is a PIA which covers the system and whether a SORN is required for the system are discrete from the narrative fields. The narrative column provides an opportunity for free text explanation why a working link is not provided. For example, a SORN may be required for the system, but the system is not yet operational. In this circumstance, answer "yes" for column (e) and in the narrative in column (f), explain that because the system is not operational the SORN is not yet required to be published.

1. Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified and integrated into the overall costs of the investment: Yes
   a. If "yes," provide the "Percentage IT Security" for the budget year: 3%
   b. Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part of the overall risk management effort for each system supporting or part of this investment. Yes

> **3. Systems in Planning and Undergoing Enhancement(s), Development, and/or Modernization – Security Table(s):**

Short text - 250 characters Medium text - 500 characters Long text - 2500 characters
All dollar amounts must be reported in millions with at least 3 decimals (6 decimals available)

Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	Planned Operational Date	Date of Planned C&A update (for existing mixed life cycle systems) or Planned Completion Date (for new systems)

4. Operational Systems – Security Table:							
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests?" (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, NIST 800-26, Other, N/A)	Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested
EDOCS	Government Only	High	Y	Feb 23, 2007	FIPS 200 / NIST 800-53	Feb 23, 2007	Aug 15, 2007

5. Have any weaknesses, not yet re-mediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG? No
   a. If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into the agency's plan of action and milestone process? No
6. Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses? No
   a. a. If "yes," specify the amount, provide a general description of the weakness, and explain how the funding request will remediate the weakness. N/A
7. How are contractor security procedures monitored, verified, and validated by the agency for the contractor systems above?
   N/A

8. Planning & Operational Systems – Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
EDOCS	No	No	System does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information.	No	The system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Details for Text Options: Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.					

8. Planning & Operational Systems – Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN. Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.					

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture? Yes
   a. If "no," please explain why? N/A
2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy? Yes
   a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. EDOCS
   b. If "no," please explain why? N/A
3. Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture? Yes

a. If "yes," provide the name of the segment architecture as provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment: NARA does not have multiple segment architectures – Source: OMB FEA PMO EA Assessment for NARA Q2 FY2007 – March 2007.

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table :							
Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA Service Component Reused (b)		Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
				Component Name	UPI		
EDOC	Business process reengineering effort to consolidate and automate current Federal Register processes into one integrated system.	Document Management	Document Review & Approval	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Internal	100

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:				
FEA SRM Component	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification
Document Review and Approval	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web browser	Internet Explorer and Netscape Communicator
Document Review and Approval	Component Framework	Security	Certificates/Digital Signature	Dept of Agriculture PKI
Document Review and Approval	Service Interface & Integration	Interoperability	Data Types / Validation	Oracle

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)? Yes

a. If "yes," please describe.
eDOCS provides a metadata feed on rules/proposed rules that are open for comment to Regulations.gov, but does not interface with OMB's ROCIS system.

PART III: For "Operation and Maintenance" investments ONLY(Steady State)

Part III should be completed only for investments identified as "Operation and Maintenance" (Steady State) in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

Section A: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate, or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan? Yes
   a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan? 10/27/2006
   b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB? No
   c. If "yes," describe any significant changes: N/A
2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed? N/A
   a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?   N/A
   b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks? N/A

Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

1. Was operational analysis conducted? Yes
   a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed. August 31, 2007
   b. If "yes," what were the results?

      An operational analysis was completed on 8/31/07. Findings indicate that the overall effectiveness of the eDOCS system is adequate to meet customers technology needs. Based on previous feedback and system availability, the eDOCS system has delivered the appropriate level of service and services requested by its customers.

   c. If "no," please explain why it was not conducted and if there are any plans to conduct operational analysis in the future: N/A

2. Complete the following table to compare actual cost performance against the planned cost performance baseline. Milestones reported may include specific individual scheduled preventative and predictable corrective maintenance activities, or may be the total of planned annual operation and maintenance efforts).

a. What costs are included in the reported Cost/Schedule Performance information? Contractor and Government

Comparison of Plan vs. Actual Performance Table:						
Description of Milestone	**Current Baseline**				**Current Baseline Variance**	
	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) Planned/Actual		**Total Cost ($M) Planned /Actual**		**Schedule/ Cost (# days/$M)**	
Process Analysis & System Design	Sep 26, 2000	Sep 26, 2001	0.59	0.59	0	0
Contract Award	Oct 1, 2001	Dec 31, 2001	0	0	0	0
Development of System	Jan 21, 2001	Sep 1, 2003	1.51	1.51	0	0
Testing – Pilot System	Jan 23, 2003	Sep 30, 2003	0.55	0.55	0	0
Pilot System Implemented	Sep 1, 2003	Sep 30, 2003	0.1	0.1	0	0
Beta System Implemented	Oct 1, 2003	Dec 31, 2003	0.2	0.2	0	0
Production System Implemented	Mar 1, 2005	Aug 1, 2005	0.55	0.55	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	Apr 1, 2004	Mar 31, 2006	0.3	0.3	0	0
System Enhancements	Aug 1, 2005	Dec 28, 2006	0.5	0.5	0	0
Operations and Maintenance	Apr 1, 2005	Mar 31, 2006	0.35	0.35	0	0
System Enhancements	Apr 1, 2005	Mar 31, 2006	0.25	0.25	0	0
Operations and Maintenance	Apr 1, 2006	Mar 31, 2007	0.35	0.35	0	0
System Enhancements	Apr 1, 2006	Mar 31, 2007	0.24	0.24	0	0
Operations and Maintenance	Apr 1, 2008	[Not answered]	0.35	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0
System Enhancements	Apr 1, 2008	[Not answered]	0.24	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0
Operations and Maintenance	Apr 1, 2008	[Not answered]	0.35	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0
System Enhancements	Apr 1, 2008	[Not answered]	0.09	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0