PART I - A

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A. B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

I.A.1) Date of Submission (mm/dd/yyyy)

Dec 2, 2008

I.A.2) Agency

029 - Department of Veterans Affairs

I.A.3) Bureau

00 - Agency Wide Initiatives

I.A.4) Name of this Investment: (SHORT ANSWER)

Identity Access Management-2010

I.A.5) Unique Project(Investment) Identifier: Update the UPI using the Exhibit 53 tab.

029-00-01-25-01-5103-00

I.A.6) What kind of investment will this be in FY2010? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY2010, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2010 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)

Planning

I.A.7) What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?

FY2010

I.A.8) Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap: (LONG ANSWER)

This Exhibit reflects Initial Concept and Strategic Planning. The Identity and Access Management (IAM) Portfolio that currently contains the agency HSPD-12 and E-Authentication efforts is being expanded with ten new strategic programs. These additional programs will support a full enterprise level approach to managing all persons of interest to the VA, both internal and external, and their electronic access to our systems and services. The new efforts will integrate with existing efforts to provide enterprise level consumable services, remediate security weaknesses, and reduce the burden of identity and access management procedures and best practices on all applications used by the VA throughout the enterprise. The new efforts include Access Management, Compliance Auditing and Reporting, Identity Life Cycle Management, Identity Proofing, Provisioning, Security Framework, Credential Service Provider, Single-Sign-on, e-Signature and PK Enablement. The implementation of these 10 additional services will improve VA ability for interoperability and data sharing with other federal agencies, improved data quality and reliability, while at the same time improving the accuracy and security of electronic transactions and data sharing. The goal is to correctly identify a person of interest and then provide the right information to the right consumers of that information. Identity and Access management is a foundational required for IT automation and the 21st century, ensuring accurate and timely access to data.

I.A.9) Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?

Yes

I.A.9.a) If "yes," what was the date of this approval?

Sep 23, 2008

I.A.10) Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?

Yes

I.A.11) Contact information of Program/Project Manager?

		Project Managers Names (SHORT ANSWER)	PM Phone	E-mail (SHORT ANSWER)
Prin	nary in-house	Cynthia L. Bias		304-262-7097	Cynthia.Bias@va.gov
\.11.a)	What is the current	FAC-P/PM (for civilian agencies) or I	DAWIA (for defense agenc	ies) certification level o	of the program/project manager?
A.11.b)	When was the Prog	gram/Project Manager Assigned?			
or 27,	2008				
	What date did the P cation?	Program/Project Manager receive the	FACP/PM certification? If	the certification has no	t been issued, what is the anticipated o
ov 15,	2006				
A.12) H	as the agency deve	loped and/or promoted cost effective	e, energy-efficient and envir	ronmentally sustainable	e techniques or practices for this projec
es					
A.12.a)	Will this investment	t include electronic assets (including o	computers)?		
es					
A.12.b)	Is this investment fo	or construction or retrofit of a federal	building or facility? (Answe	er applicable to non-IT	assets only)
D					
A.12.b.	1) If "yes," is an ESF	PC or UESC being used to help fund	this investment?		
A.12.b.2	2) If "yes," will this in	nvestment meet sustainable design p	rinciples?		
A.12.b.2	2) If "yes," will this in	nvestment meet sustainable design p	rinciples?		
	· ·	nvestment meet sustainable design p Ined to be 30% more energy efficient	-	ver applicable to non-l	Tassets only)
	· ·		-	wer applicable to non-l	T assets only)
A.12.b.:	3) If "yes," is it desig		than relevant code? (Ansv	wer applicable to non-l	T assets only)
A.12.b.:	3) If "yes," is it desig	ned to be 30% more energy efficient	than relevant code? (Ansv	wer applicable to non-l	T assets only)
A. 12.b.: A. 13) D Es	3) If "yes," is it desig	ned to be 30% more energy efficient directly support one of the PMA initia	than relevant code? (Ansv	wer applicable to non-l	T assets only)
A. 12.b.: A. 13) D Es	3) If "yes," is it desig oes this investment	ned to be 30% more energy efficient directly support one of the PMA initia	than relevant code? (Ansv	ver applicable to non-l	T assets only)
A. 12.b.: A. 13) D Es	3) If "yes," is it desig oes this investment If "yes," check all th	ned to be 30% more energy efficient directly support one of the PMA initia	than relevant code? (Ansv		T assets only)
A. 12.b.: A. 13) D Es	3) If "yes," is it desig oes this investment If "yes," check all th	ned to be 30% more energy efficient directly support one of the PMA initia nat apply:	than relevant code? (Answatives?	es	T assets only)
A. 12. b. 3 A. 13) D es A. 13. a)	3) If "yes," is it desig oes this investment If "yes," check all th	aned to be 30% more energy efficient directly support one of the PMA initia nat apply: es for XML Submission	than relevant code? (Answatives? PMA Initiativ - Human Capita	es	T assets only)
A. 12. b. 3 A. 13) D es A. 13. a)	3) If "yes," is it desig oes this investment If "yes," check all th PMA Initiative	aned to be 30% more energy efficient directly support one of the PMA initia nat apply: es for XML Submission	than relevant code? (Answatives? PMA Initiativ - Human Capita	es al rmance Integration	T assets only)
A. 12. b. 3 A. 13) D es A. 13. a)	3) If "yes," is it desig oes this investment If "yes," check all th PMA Initiative Budget Performa	ance Integration	than relevant code? (Answatives? PMA Initiativ - Human Capita - Budget Perfor	es al rmance Integration formance	T assets only)
A. 12.b. (A. 13) D es A. 13.a) Yes	3) If "yes," is it desig oes this investment If "yes," check all th PMA Initiative Budget Performa	ance Integration	than relevant code? (Answatives? PMA Initiativ - Human Capita - Budget Perfor - Financial Perf	es al rmance Integration formance Government	T assets only)
A. 12.b. (A. 13) D es A. 13.a) Yes Yes	 a) If "yes," is it designed over this investment b) if "yes," check all the investment b) if "yes," check all the investment b) if the investment b) if the investment c) if the investment <li< td=""><td>ance Integration</td><td>than relevant code? (Answatives? PMA Initiativ - Human Capita - Budget Perfor - Financial Perf - Expanded E-C</td><td>es al rmance Integration formance Government Sourcing</td><td>T assets only)</td></li<>	ance Integration	than relevant code? (Answatives? PMA Initiativ - Human Capita - Budget Perfor - Financial Perf - Expanded E-C	es al rmance Integration formance Government Sourcing	T assets only)

- Eliminating Improper Payments

- Privatization of Military Housing

- Research & Development Investment Criteria

- Housing & Urban Development Management & Performance

- Broadening Health Insurance Coverage through State Initiatives

			- "Right Sized" Overseas Presence
	Yes	Coordination of VA and DoD Programs and Systems	- Coordination of VA & DoD Programs and Systems
		·	
I.A. app	13.b) proved	Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this ass I shared service provider or the managing partner?)(MEDIUM A	et directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an ANSWER)
elir aw	ninato ardeo	e multiple hardware procurements. 3. Expanded E-Gov	ormance Integration - Use of shared equipment between IAM Programs to - Supports e-Authentication. 4. Competitive Sourcing – competitively coordination of VA and DOD Programs - transitioning and initiatives.
		oes this investment support a program assessed using the Prog tehouse.gov/omb/part.)	gram Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit
Ye	5		
I.A	14.a)	If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during	g a PART review?
Ye	5		
I.A	14.b)	If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program? (SHORT A	NSWER)
I.A	14.c)	If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?	
	equat	te	
Ad	15) /s	this investment information technology? (See section 53.8 for	definition)
	10) 13		
I.A	<i>'</i>		
<i>I.A</i> Ye	S	/hat is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidanc	ce)
I.A. Ye I.A.	S	/hat is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidand	ce)

Qualification Status	Qualification Status for XML Submission	Description
1	(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment	(1) - Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment.
		(2) - Project manager qualification is under review for this investment.
		(3) - Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements.
		(4) - Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
		(5) - No Project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

1.A.18) Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4-FY 2008 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)

No

I.A.19) Is this project (investment) a Financial Management System? (see section 53.3 for definition)

No

I.A.19.a) If so, does this project (investment) address a FFMIA (Federal Financial Managers Integrity Act) compliance area?

I.A.19.a.1) If yes, which compliance area?

I.A. 19.a.2) If "no," what does it address? (MEDIUM ANSWER)

The Identity & Access Management (IAM) Portfolio will provide consumable services that will reduce the burden of identity and access

management procedures and best practices on all applications used by the enterprise internally and externally. Justification: Currently, there is no standardized approach to providing identification, authentication and authorization enterprise-wide, which impacts customer confidence and increases risk.

I.A.19.b) If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A–11 section 52 (LONG ANSWER)

I.A.20) What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2010 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Percentage of Total Investment	
% Hardware	
% Software	
% Services	
% Others	

I.A.21) If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

Yes

I.A.22) Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Contact Name: (SHORT ANSWER)	Dennis Stewart
Phone Number:	202-461-7456
Title: (SHORT ANSWER)	IT Specialist
E-mail: (SHORT ANSWER)	Dennis.Stewart2@va.gov

I.A.23) Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval? Yes

I.A.24) Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas?

No

PART I - B

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A. B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)

Section B: Summary of Funding (All Capital Assets)

I.B.1) FILL IN TABLE IN CURRENT VALUES (in millions)

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be EXCLUDED from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should be long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Category of Funds	PY-1 and Earlier	PY 2008	CY 2009	BY 2010
Planning Total	0.000	0.000	0.000	19.500
Acquisition Total	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Operations & Maintenance Total	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Total, All Stages (Non-FTE)	0.000	0.000	0.000	19.500
Government FTE Costs	0.000	0.000	0.000	3.435
Govt. FTE Numbers	0	0	0	27
Total (FTE and Non-FTE)	0.000	0.000	0.000	22.935

Government FTE Costs SHOULD NOT be INCLUDED as part of the TOTAL, All Stages Resources represented.

Note: 1) For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). 2) Total, All Stages Resources should equal Total, All Stages Outlays.

I.B.2) Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

Yes

I.B.2.a) If Yes, How many and in what year? (MEDIUM ANSWER)

The IAM portfolio has been approved to have 15 additional FTEs to support IAM initiatives. It is hopeful that these positions should be filled by FY2010 (prior to starting the 10 new programs).

I.B.3) If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2009 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes. (LONG ANSWER)

No change due to the first year being submitted

PART I - C

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A. B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

I.C.1) If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why? (LONG ANSWER)

Earned value is going to be a requirement based on FAR 2006.

I.C.2) Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance?

Yes

I.C.2.a) Explain why not or how this is being done? (MEDIUM ANSWER)

An acquisition plan is being developed for this exhibit and section 508 will be addressed. Section 508 compliance will take the form of appropriate FAR contract clauses and testing.

I.C.3) Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?

No
I.C.3.a) If "yes," what is the date?
I.C.3.a.1) Is it Current?
No
I.C.3.b) If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?
Yes
I.C.3.b.1) If "no," briefly explain why: (MEDIUM ANSWER)
The IAM portfolio is in the planning stages. An acquisition plan will be developed prior to the acquisition phase.

PART I - D

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A. B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond the next President's Budget.

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Planned Improvements to the Baseline	Actual Results

 •	•			

PART I - F

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A. B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

I.F.1) Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

Yes

I.F.1.a) If "no," please explain why? (LONG ANSWER)

I.F.2) Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

Yes

I.F.2.a) If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. (MEDIUM ANSWER)

Identity Access Management

I.F.2.b) If "no," please explain why? (LONG ANSWER)

I.F.3) Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture?

No

I.F.3a) If "yes," provide the six digit code corresponding to the agency segment architecture. The segment architecture codes are maintained by the agency Chief Architect.

700-000

Segment Architecture Mapping Reference Table:

BUSINESS SEGMENT NAME	SEGMENT ARCHITECTURE CODE
1) Health Business Segment	100-000
2) Benefits Business Segment	200-000
3) Memorial, Burials & HQ Segment	300-000
4) Material Management Segment	400-000
5) Financial Segment	500-000
6) Human Resources Segment	600-000
7) Security Management Segment	700-000
8) Information Management Segment	800-000
9) Education & Training Segment	900-000

I.F.3) FEA SERVICE REFERENCE MODEL:

I.F.3) Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/egov/.

SERVICE COMPONENT TABLE:

	Agency Component Name(SHORT ANSWER)	Agency Component Description (MEDIUM ANSWER)	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a*)	FEA Service Component Reused : Component Name (b*)	FEA Service Component Reused : UPI (b*)	Internal or External Reuse? (c*)	BY Funding Percentage (d*)
1	Identification and Authentication	defines the set of capabilities that support obtaining information about those parties attempting to log onto a system or application for security and validation purposes	Security Management	Identification and Authentication			No Reuse	
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								

10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
29					
30					
31					
32					
33					
34	 				
35					
36					
37					
38					
39					
40					
41					
42					
L		•	•	•	•

		Image: state	Image: selection of the	Image: state s

NOTE:

(a*) - Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

(b*) - A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

(c*) - 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

(d*) - Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service.

I.F.4) FEA TECHNICAL REFERENCE MODEL:

I.F.4) To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

TECHNICAL REFERENCE MODEL TABLE:

	FEA SRM Component (a*)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard
1	Identification and Authentication	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Authentication / Single Sign- on
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				

14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
29			
30			
31			
32			
33			
34			
35			
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41			
42			
43			
44			
45			
46			
L	1	1	1

47					
48					
49					
50					
		·			
NOTE:					
(a*) - Service Components ide supported by multiple TRM Se	entified in the previous question(I.F.3 ervice Specifications	3) should be entered in this	column. Please enter m	ultiple rows for FEA SRM Co	mponents
(h*) In the Comise Creating	tion field Agonaion abould provide in	formation on the apositiod	tooppiool standard or you	ndor product monpod to the	

(*b**) - In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

I.F.5) Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

Yes

I.F.5.a) If "yes," please describe. (LONG ANSWER)

The IAM programs will leverage existing components from the E-Authentication Federation and Federal PKI Bridge.

PART II - B

PART II: PLANNING, ACQUISITION AND PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

Part II should be completed only for investments which in FY2008 will be in "Planning" or "Full Acquisition," investments, i.e., selected one of these three choices in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)

Section B - RISK MANAGEMENT (All Capital Assets)

II.B.1) Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?

No

II.B.1.a) If "yes," what is the date of the plan?

II.B.1.b) Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

II.B.1.c) If "yes," describe any significant changes: (LONG ANSWER)

II.B.2) If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?

Yes

II.B.2.a) If "yes," what is the planned completion date?

Mar 15, 2010

II.B.2.b) If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks? (LONG ANSWER)

II.B.3) Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule: (LONG ANSWER)

There are several investment risk factors that will be reflected in the completed risk management plan targeted to be completed in February 2009. All lifecycle costs expenses will be addressed using the Best Practices for Estimating and Managing Program Costs (GAO Cost Assessment Guide).

Part II: Planning, Acquisition And Performance Information

Part II should be completed only for investments which in FY2008 will be in "Planning" or "Full Acquisition," investments, i.e., selected one of these three choices in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)

C) Cost and Schedule Performance:

Identify in this section the proposed change to the original or current OMB-approved baseline. What are the new cost and schedule goals for the phase or segment/module (e.g., what are the major investment milestones or events; when will each occur; and what is the estimated cost to accomplish each one)? If this is a new investment in the FY 2008 Budget year or if the agency does not intend to propose a new baseline modification, this section will be blank for your budget submission.

II.C.1) Does the earned value management system meet the criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard – 748?

No

II.C.3) Has the investment re-baselined during the past fiscal year?

No

II.C.3.a) If "Yes", when was it approved by the Agency head?

II.C.4) Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline:

II.C.4) Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions).

Description of Milestone	Init BL Planned Completion Date	Current BL Completion Date Planned	Current BL Completion Date Actual
DRAFT-Access Management (Phase 0-1)	Sep 30, 2010	Sep 30, 2010	
DRAFT-Security Framework (Phase 0-1)	Sep 30, 2010	Sep 30, 2010	
DRAFT-Compliance Auditing and Reporting (0-1)	Sep 30, 2010	Sep 30, 2010	
DRAFT-Credential Service Provider (Phase 0-1)	Sep 30, 2010	Sep 30, 2010	
DRAFT-Enterprise Single Sign-On (Phase 0-1)	Sep 30, 2010	Sep 30, 2010	
DRAFT-E-Signature (Phase 0-1)	Sep 30, 2010	Sep 30, 2010	
DRAFT-Identity Proofing (Phase 0- 1)	Sep 30, 2010	Sep 30, 2010	
DRAFT-Identity Lifecycle (Phase 0- 1)	Sep 30, 2010	Sep 30, 2010	
DRAFT-PKI Enablement (Phase 0- 1)	Sep 30, 2010	Sep 30, 2010	
DRAFT-Provisioning Service (Phase 0-1)	Sep 30, 2010	Sep 30, 2010	
