


Special Effects /Garmar INC
541 Guy Road
P. O. Box 1737
Clayton NC, 27528
(919) 553-3773
September 27, 2007



Federal Trade Commission / Office of the Secretary
Room H-135 (Annex K)
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20580

Re: 16 CFR Part 303 – Textile Rule 8, Mohawk, DuPont, and PTT Canada Comment
Matter No. P074201

To Whom It May Concern:

We as a flooring company have been in business going on fifteen years now. During this time we have used both P.E.T. polyester and P.T.T. polyester in our carpet installations. We have found that the P.T.T. products have had a superior history as far as resilience and stain resistance as well as a much softer hand in feel.

We do find that since the P.T.T. product has to be labeled as polyester that many consumers compare these products to the P.E.T products. Since P.E.T. products do not perform at the same level as the P.T.T. some consumers that have had P.E.T. products in the past are biased against the P.T.T. products just because of name association. Therefore a separation is very much needed.

For the record let me state that we find both P.E.T. and P.T.T products to be very fine quality products. We do though find P.T.T and DuPont products to yield superior results which deserve its own classification.

Please allow a separate classification to allow for an easier market separation.

Sincerely,

~~Benjamin Davies (Owner, President)~~