

214 Massachusetts Avenue, NE • Washington DC 20002 • (202) 546-4400 • heritage.org

CONGRESSIONAL TESTIMONY

United Nations Peacekeeping: Challenges and Opportunities

Testimony before
The United States House of Representatives
Committee on Foreign Affairs

July 29, 2009

Brett D. Schaefer

Jay Kingham Fellow in International Regulatory Affairs

Margaret Thatcher Center for Freedom

The Heritage Foundation

One of the United Nations' primary responsibilities—and the one with which Americans most agree—is to help maintain international peace and security. The ability of the U.N. to undertake peacekeeping operations during its first 45 years was greatly hindered by Cold War rivalries. Since the end of the Cold War, however, the U.N. Security Council has been far more active in establishing peacekeeping operations. After an initial post-Cold War surge, the enthusiasm for U.N. peacekeeping missions was reversed by the debacles in Somalia, Rwanda, and Bosnia, and missteps in these missions led to a necessary reevaluation of U.N. peacekeeping.

However, as troubling situations have arisen in recent years, many of them in Africa, the Security Council has found itself under pressure to respond and "do something." The response, for better or worse, has often been to establish yet another peacekeeping operation.

U.N. peacekeeping is now being conducted with unprecedented pace, scope, and ambition, and increasing demands have revealed ongoing, serious flaws. Specifically, audits and investigations over the past few years have revealed substantial problems with mismanagement, fraud, and corruption in procurement for U.N. peacekeeping, and incidents of sexual exploitation and abuse by U.N. peacekeepers and civilian personnel have been shockingly widespread.

While the U.N. has limited authority to discipline peacekeepers who commit such crimes, it has failed to take steps that are within its power to hold nations accountable when they fail to investigate or punish their troops' misconduct. The U.N. Security Council has also yielded to pressure to "do something" in situations like Darfur and is considering intervention in Somalia even though it violates the central lesson learned in the 1990s—emphasized in the 2000 *Report of the Panel on United Nations Peace Operations*—that "the United Nations does not wage war."

U.N. peacekeeping operations can be useful and successful if entered into with an awareness of the limitations and weaknesses of U.N. peacekeeping. This awareness is crucial, because there is little indication that the demand for U.N. peacekeeping will decline in the foreseeable future. This requires the U.S. to press for substantial changes to address serious problems with U.N. peacekeeping. Without fundamental reform, these problems will likely continue and expand, undermining the U.N.'s credibility and ability to accomplish one of its key stated missions: maintaining international peace and security.

U.N. Peacekeeping

Within the U.N. system, the U.N. Charter places the principal responsibility for maintaining international peace and security on the Security Council. The Charter gives the Security Council extensive powers to investigate disputes to determine whether they endanger international peace and security; to call on participants in a dispute to settle the conflict through peaceful negotiation; to impose economic, travel, and diplomatic sanctions; and ultimately to authorize the use of military force. This robust vision of the U.N. as a key vehicle for maintaining international peace and security quickly ran afoul of the interests of member states, particularly during the Cold War when opposing alliances largely prevented the U.N. from taking decisive action—except when the interests of the major powers were minimally involved.

As a result, between 1945 and 1990, the United Nations established only 18 peace operations, despite a multitude of conflicts that threatened international peace and security to greater or lesser degree. Traditionally, Security Council authorizations of military force have involved deployments into

¹U.N. General Assembly and U.N. Security Council, *Report of the Panel on United Nations Peace Operations*, A/55/305—S/2000/809, August 21, 2000, p. 10, at http://www.un.org/peace/reports/peace operations/docs/a 55 305.pdf. The report is often referred to as the "Brahimi Report," after the panel's chairman, former Algerian Foreign Minister Lakhdar Brahimi.

²Charter of the United Nations, Article 24, at http://www.un.org/aboutun/charter.

³In matters of international peace and security, the U.N. Security Council was originally envisioned—unrealistically, in retrospect—as the principal vehicle for the use of force, except for the inherent right of every state to defend itself if attacked, facing an imminent attack, or facing an immediate threat, which the Charter explicitly acknowledges. See *Ibid.*, Article 51. ⁴Since 1945, there have been approximately 300 wars resulting in over 22 million deaths. The U.N. has authorized military action

relatively low-risk situations such as truce monitoring. The bulk of these peace operations were fact-finding missions, observer missions, and other roles in assisting peace processes in which the parties had agreed to cease hostilities. U.N. peace operations were rarely authorized with the expectation that they would involve the use of force. 6

Since the end of the Cold War, the U.N. Security Council has been far more active in establishing peace operations. In the early 1990s, crises in the Balkans, Somalia, and Cambodia led to a dramatic increase in missions. The debacle in Somalia and the failure of U.N. peacekeepers to intervene and prevent the 1994 genocide in Rwanda or to stop the 1995 massacre in Srebrenica, Bosnia, however, led to a necessary skepticism about U.N. peacekeeping.

This lull was short-lived. With a number of troubling situations, many of them in Africa, receiving increasing attention from the media in recent years, the Security Council has found itself under pressure to respond and "do something." The response, for better or worse, has often been to establish another peacekeeping operation.

The Security Council has approved more than 40 new peace operations since 1990. Half of all current peacekeeping operations have been authorized since 2000. These post-1990 operations often have involved mandates beyond traditional peacekeeping in terms of scope, purpose, and responsibilities. Moreover, these missions often have been focused on quelling civil wars, reflecting a change in the nature of conflict from inter-state conflict between nations to intra-state conflict within nations.⁷

This expansion of risk and responsibilities was justified by pointing out the international consequences of the conflict, such as refugees fleeing to neighboring countries or widespread conflict and instability. As a result, from a rather modest history of monitoring cease-fires, demilitarized zones, and post-conflict security, U.N. peace operations have expanded to include multiple responsibilities, including more complex military interventions, civilian police duties, human rights interventions, reconstruction, overseeing elections, and post-conflict reconstruction. Such actions, while they may be justified in some

to counter aggression just twice: in response to the North Korean invasion of South Korea in 1950 and in response to the Iraqi invasion of Kuwait in 1990.

⁵For example, the U.N. Truce Supervision Organization (UNTSO) was established in 1948 to observe the cease-fire agreements among Egypt, Jordan, Lebanon, Syria, and Israel and still operates today. The UNTSO and U.N. Emergency Force I (UNEF I) missions are examples of "traditional" U.N. peace operations. Interestingly, the first venture into peacekeeping was taken by the General Assembly in 1956 after the Security Council was unable to reach a consensus on the Suez crisis. The General Assembly established UNEF I to separate Egyptian and Israeli forces and facilitate the transition of the Suez Canal to Egypt when British and French forces left. Because the UNEF resolutions were not passed under Chapter VII, Egypt had to approve the deployment. ⁶This restraint was reinforced by the U.N.'s venture into peace enforcement in the Congo (1960–1964), in which U.N.-led forces confronted a mutiny by Congolese armed forces against the government, sought to maintain the Congo's territorial integrity, and tried to prevent civil war after the province of Katanga seceded. According to a RAND Corporation study, "U.N. achievements in the Congo came at considerable cost in men lost, money spent, and controversy raised.... As a result of these costs and controversies, neither the United Nations' leadership nor its member nations were eager to repeat the experience. For the next 25 years the United Nations restricted its military interventions to interpositional peacekeeping, policing ceasefires, and patrolling disengagement zones in circumstances where all parties invited its presence and armed force was to be used by U.N. troops only in self-defense." See James Dobbins, Seth G. Jones, Keith Crane, Andrew Rathmell, Brett Steele, Richard Teltschik, and Anga Timilsina, "The U.N.'s Role in Nation-Building: From the Congo to Iraq," RAND Corporation, 2005, p. xvi, at http://www.rand.org/pubs/monographs/2005/RAND_MG304.pdf.

According to one estimate, 80 percent of all wars from 1900 to 1941 were conflicts between states that involved formal state armies, while 85 percent of all wars from 1945 to 1976 were within the territory of a single state and involved internal armies, militias, rebels, or other parties to the conflict. See Charter of the United Nations, Article 2, and Michael W. Doyle and Nicholas Sambanis, *Making War and Building Peace: United Nations Peace Operations* (Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 2006), p. 11, at http://www.press.princeton.edu/chapters/s8196.pdf.

⁸The broadening of U.N. peacekeeping into these non-traditional missions and the mixed U.N. record in pursuit of these missions raise legitimate questions as to whether the U.N. should be engaged in these activities. Such questions are primarily political matters that can be resolved only by the members of the Security Council, particularly the permanent members. For more information, see John R. Bolton, "United States Policy on United Nations Peacekeeping: Case Studies in the Congo, Sierra Leone, Ethiopia–Eritrea, Kosovo and East Timor," testimony before the Committee on International Relations, U.S. House of Representatives, January 21, 2000, at http://www.aei.org/speech/17044.

cases, represent a dramatic shift from earlier doctrine.

At the end of June 2009, there were 16 U.N. peacekeeping operations and another two political or peace-building operations directed and supported by the U.N. Department of Peacekeeping Operations (DPKO). Eight of these operations, including political missions, were in Africa (Burundi, Central African Republic and Chad, Côte d'Ivoire, Darfur, Democratic Republic of Congo, Liberia, Sudan, and Western Sahara); one was in the Caribbean (Haiti); three were in Europe (Cyprus, Georgia, ¹⁰ and Kosovo); and the remaining six missions were in the Middle East (Lebanon, the Syrian Golan Heights, and a region-wide mission) and Asia (Afghanistan, East Timor, and India and Pakistan).

The size and expense of U.N. peace operations have risen to unprecedented levels. The 16 peacekeeping missions cited above involved some 93,000 uniformed personnel from 118 countries, including over 74,000 troops, over 2,000 military observers, and about 11,000 police personnel. There were also over 20,000 U.N. volunteers and other international and local civilian personnel employed in these operations. Additionally, more than 2,000 military observers, police, international and local civilians, and U.N. volunteers were involved in the two political or peace-building missions directed and supported by the DPKO.¹¹

All told, including international and local civilian personnel and U.N. volunteers, the personnel involved in U.N. peacekeeping, political, or peace-building operations overseen by the DPKO totaled more than 115,000 at the end of June 2009. These operations involved the deployment of more uniformed personnel than were deployed by any single nation in the world other than the United States. (See Attached Table.)

This activity has led to a dramatically increased budget. The approved budget for the DPKO—just one department in the U.N. Secretariat—from July 1, 2009, to June 20, 2010, was \$7.75 billion. ¹² This is approximately a threefold increase in budget and personnel since 2003. ¹³

By comparison, the annual peacekeeping budget is roughly triple the size of the annualized U.N. regular biennial 2008–2009 budget for the rest of the Secretariat.

In general, the U.S. has supported the expansion of U.N. peacekeeping. Multiple administrations have concluded that it is in America's interest to support U.N. operations as a useful, cost-effective way to influence situations that affect the U.S. national interest but do not require direct U.S. intervention. Although the U.N. peacekeeping record includes significant failures, U.N. peace operations overall have proven to be a convenient multilateral means for addressing humanitarian concerns in situations where conflict or instability make civilians vulnerable to atrocities, for promoting peace efforts, and for supporting the transition to democracy and post-conflict rebuilding.

The U.S. contributes the greatest share of funding for peacekeeping operations. All permanent

3

⁹The U.N. Assistance Mission in Afghanistan (UNAMA) and the U.N. Integrated Office in Burundi (BINUB).

¹⁰The U.N. Security Council ended the United Nations Observer Mission in Georgia in June 2009 when Russia blocked its extension. In addition, within the past year, the Security Council ended the United Nations Mission in Ethiopia and Eritrea (July 2008) and the replaced (September 2008) the United Nations Integrated Office in Sierra Leone (UNIOSIL) special political mission directed by DPKO with the United Nations Integrated Peacebuilding Office in Sierra Leone (UNIPSIL) which is directed by the U.N. Department of Political Affairs.

¹¹ United Nations Peacekeeping, "Current Operations," at http://www.un.org/Depts/dpko/dpko/currentops.shtml#africa; United Nations Peacekeeping, "Monthly Summary of Contributions of Military and Civilian Police Personnel," at http://www.un.org/Depts/dpko/dpko/contributors/; "United Nations Peacekeeping Operations," *Background Note, June 30, 2009, available at http://www.un.org/Depts/dpko/dpko/ppbm.pdf. *Background Note, June 30, 2009, available at http://www.un.org/Depts/dpko/dpko/ppbm.pdf.

¹²U.N. Department of Public Information, "General Assembly adopts peacekeeping budget of nearly \$7.8 billion for period 1 July 2009 to 20 June 2010," U.N. General Assembly document GA/10841, June 30, 2009, at http://www.un.org/News/Press/docs/2009/ga10841.doc.htm.

¹³Harvey Morris, "U.N. Peacekeeping in Line of Fire," *The Financial Times*, May 17, 2008, at http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/67ae1fe4-23ac-11dd-b214-000077b07658.html.

members of the Security Council—China, France, Russia, the United Kingdom, and the United States—are charged a premium above their regular assessment rate. Specifically, the U.S. is assessed 22 percent of the U.N. regular budget, but the U.N. peacekeeping budget assessment for the U.S. is just under 26 percent for 2009.

China is assessed 3.15 percent; France, 7.4 percent; Russia, 1.4 percent; and the U.K., 7.8 percent for the U.N. peacekeeping budget. ¹⁴ Thus, the U.S. is assessed more than all of the other permanent members combined. Japan and Germany, even though they are not permanent members of the Security Council, rank second and third in assessments at 16.6 percent and 8.6 percent, respectively.

Based on the U.N.'s budget of \$7.75 billion for peacekeeping from July 1, 2009, to June 20, 2010, the U.S. will be asked to pay more than \$2 billion for U.N. peacekeeping activities over that time. ¹⁵ The 30-plus countries assessed the lowest rate of 0.0001 percent of the peacekeeping budget for will be assessed approximately \$7,750 each. ¹⁶

Although the U.S. and other developed countries regularly provide transportation (particularly airlift) and logistic support for U.N. peacekeeping, many developed countries that possess trained personnel and other essential resources are reluctant to participate directly in U.N. peace operations. The five permanent members contributed a total of 5 percent of U.N. uniformed personnel as of June 30, 2009. The U.S. contribution totaled 10 troops, 9 military observers, and 74 police. This is roughly comparable to Russia and the U.K., which contributed 328 and 283 uniformed personnel, respectively. China and France contributed more at 2,153 and 1,879 personnel, respectively.

The top 10 contributors of uniformed personnel to U.N. operations, which together account for slightly less than 60 percent of the total, are nearly all developing countries: Pakistan (10,603); Bangladesh (9,982); India (8,607); Nigeria (5,960); Nepal (4,148); Rwanda (3,584); Jordan (3,231); Ghana (3,159); Egypt (2,956); and Italy (2,690). A number of reasons account for this situation, including the fact that major contributors often use U.N. peacekeeping as a form of training and income.

While the U.S. clearly should support U.N. peacekeeping operations when they support America's national interests, broadening U.N. peace operations into non-traditional missions, such as peace enforcement, and the inability to garner broad international support in terms of troop contributions, logistics support, and funding raise legitimate questions as to whether or not the U.N. should be engaged in the current number of missions and whether these situations are best addressed through the U.N. or through regional, multilateral, or *ad hoc* efforts.

Specifically, there are strong indications that the system as currently structured is incapable of meeting its responsibilities. Indisputably, the unprecedented frequency and size of recent U.N.

¹⁴U.N. General Assembly, "Scale Implementation of General Assembly Resolutions 55/235 and 55/236," A/61/139/Add.1, 61st Session, December 27, 2006.

¹⁵This is, of course, a best guess on the part of the U.N. If a new mission is approved during the year, if a mission is closed unexpectedly, or if a mission does not deploy on schedule, the estimates will be adjusted. The U.S. is perpetually out of sync because it prepares its budget requests a year in advance. Shortfalls and other unforeseen changes are usually addressed in a subsequent or supplemental appropriation.

¹⁶ This discrepancy in payments helps explain why few U.N. member states raise serious concerns about fraud, corruption or mismanagement at the U.N. They pay virtually nothing, so have little to lose. Nations like the U.S. and Japan, on the other hand, have a lot at stake. Unsurprisingly, those two countries are often the ones urging greater transparency and accountability in U.N. procurement and budgets.

¹⁷ Troop contributor data are as of June 30, 2009. See U.N. Department of Peacekeeping Operations, "Monthly Summary of Contributions (Military Observers, Police and Troops)," at http://www.un.org/Depts/dpko/dpko/contributors/2009/june09 1.pdf.

¹⁹According to the United Nations Foundation, "The U.N. pays the governments of troop contributing countries \$1,110 per soldier each month of deployment." This amount is far greater than the amount that these nations pay the troops participating in the missions. United Nations Foundation, "Season of the Blue Helmets," *UNF Insights: New Ideas for International Cooperation*, at http://www.globalproblems-globalsolutions-files.org/unf-website/PDF/unf-insights-issue-4-season-bluehelmets.pdf.

deployments and their resulting financial demands have challenged and overwhelmed the capabilities of the U.N. Department of Peacekeeping Operations. As noted by DPKO in its new Charting a New Horizon for UN Peacekeeping report, "The scope and magnitude of UN field operations today is straining the Secretariat infrastructure that was not designed for current levels of activity."²⁰ This stress has contributed to serious problems of mismanagement, misconduct, poor planning, corruption, sexual abuse by U.N. personnel, unclear mandates, and other weaknesses.

Mismanagement, Fraud, and Corruption

The U.N., as illustrated by numerous instances in recent years of mismanagement and corruption unearthed by investigations of the Office of Internal Oversight Services (OIOS) and the now defunct U.N. Procurement Task Force, ²¹ has proven to be susceptible to mismanagement, fraud, and corruption. This also applies to U.N. peacekeeping.

For instance, the U.N. Secretariat procured more than \$1.6 billion in goods and services in 2005, mostly to support peacekeeping. An OIOS audit of \$1 billion in DPKO procurement contracts over a sixvear period found that at least \$265 million was subject to waste, fraud, or abuse. 22 The U.S. Government Accountability Office concluded:

While the U.N. Department of Management is responsible for UN procurement, field procurement staff are instead supervised by the U.N. Department of Peacekeeping Operations, which currently lacks the expertise and capacities needed to manage field procurement activities.²³

The Department of Management and the DPKO accepted a majority of the 32 OIOS audit recommendations for addressing the findings.²⁴ A Department of Field Support was also created in 2007 to oversee support for peacekeeping operations, including personnel, finance, technology, and logistics. However, recent reports indicate that these new procedures may not be sufficient to prevent a recurrence of fraud and corruption. Specifically, according to a 2007 OIOS report, an examination of \$1.4 billion worth of peacekeeping contracts turned up "significant" corruption schemes that tainted contracts involving more than \$619 million—over 40 percent of the total value of the contracts. 25 At the time of the report, the task force had looked at only seven of the 18 U.N. peacekeeping missions that were operational over the period of the investigation. A report on the audit of the U.N. mission in Sudan revealed tens of millions of dollars lost to mismanagement and waste and substantial indications of fraud and corruption.²⁶

Moreover, the OIOS revealed in 2008 that it was investigating about 250 instances of wrongdoing ranging from sexual abuse by peacekeepers to financial irregularities. According to Inga-Britt Ahlenius, head of the OIOS, "We can say that we found mismanagement and fraud and corruption to an extent we

²⁰ U.N. Departments of Peacekeeping Operations and Field Support, "A New Partnership Agenda: Charting a New Horizon for UN Peacekeeping," United Nations, July 2009, p. 35, at http://www.un.org/Depts/dpko/dpko/newhorizon.pdf.

Told in Briefing by Chief of Staff," SC/8645, U.N. Department of Public Information, February 22, 2006, at

²⁴U.N. Security Council, "Peacekeeping Procurement Audit Found Mismanagement."

²¹ Brett D. Schaefer, "The Demise of the U.N. Procurement Task Force Threatens Oversight at the U.N.," Heritage Foundation WebMemo no. 2272, at February 5, 2009, at http://www.heritage.org/Research/InternationalOrganizations/wm2272.cfm. ²²U.N. Security Council, "Peacekeeping Procurement Audit Found Mismanagement, Risk of Financial Loss, Security Council

http://www.un.org/News/Press/docs/2006/sc8645.doc.htm.

23 David M. Walker, Comptroller General of the United States, "United Nations: Internal Oversight and Procurement Controls and Processes Need Strengthening," GAO-06-701T, testimony before the Committee on International Relations, U.S. House of Representatives, April 27, 2006, at http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d06701t.pdf.

²⁵U.N. Office of Internal Oversight Services, "Report of the Office of Internal Oversight Services on the Activities of the Procurement Task Force for the 18-Month Period Ended 30 June 2007," October 5, 2007, at http://tinyurl.com/9ext17 and George Russell, "Report Details Progress in Battle Against Corruption at U.N. Office," Fox News, October 11, 2007, at

http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,301255,00.html. ²⁶Colum Lynch, "Audit of U.N.'s Sudan Mission Finds Tens of Millions in Waste," *The Washington Post*, February 10, 2008, p. A16.

didn't really expect."27

Worse, even the OIOS seems to be susceptible to improper influence. Allegations were made in 2006 that U.N. peacekeepers had illegal dealings with Congolese militias, including gold smuggling and arms trafficking. The lead OIOS investigator in charge of investigating the charges against the U.N. peacekeepers in the Congo found the allegations of abuses by Pakistani peacekeepers to be "credible," but the "the investigation was taken away from my team after we resisted what we saw as attempts to influence the outcome. My fellow team members and I were appalled to see that the oversight office's final report was little short of a whitewash." The BBC and Human Rights Watch provided evidence that the U.N. covered up evidence of wrongdoing by its peacekeepers in Congo. ²⁹

The absence of a truly independent inspector general at the U.N. is an ongoing problem. It underscores the irresponsibility of the U.N. in refusing to extend the mandate the independent U.N. Procurement Task Force, ³⁰ which was making strong inroads on uncovering mismanagement, fraud and corruption in U.N. procurement. The U.N. needs more independent oversight, not less -- especially since U.N. procurement has increased rapidly along with the number and size of peacekeeping missions. According to the U.N. Department of Field Support, total value for U.N. peacekeeping procurement transactions was \$1.43 billion in 2008.³¹ If this procurement follows previous patterns revealed by Procurement Task Force and OIOS investigations, some 40 percent (nearly \$600 million) of this procurement could be tainted by fraud.

Sexual Misconduct

In recent years, there have numerous reports of serious crimes and sexual misconduct committed by U.N. personnel, from rape to the forced prostitution of women and young girls. The most notorious of these reports have involved the U.N. Mission in the Democratic Republic of Congo (MONUC). However, allegations and confirmed incidents of sexual exploitation and abuse by U.N. personnel have also occurred in Bosnia, Burundi, Cambodia, Congo, Guinea, Haiti, Kosovo, Liberia, Sierra Leone, and Sudan. 32

The alleged perpetrators of these abuses include U.N. military and civilian personnel from a number of U.N. member states involved in peace operations and from U.N. funds and programs. The victims are often refugees—many of them children—who have been terrorized by years of war and look to U.N. peacekeepers for safety and protection. ³³ In addition to the horrible mistreatment of those who are under the protection of the U.N., sexual exploitation and abuse undermine the credibility of U.N. peace operations and must be addressed through an effective plan and commitment to end abuses and ensure

http://www.stopdemand.org/afawcs01128/8/ID=5/newsdetails.html; and Colum Lynch, "U.N. Faces More Accusations of Sext Misconduct," The Washington Post, March 13, 2005, p. A22, at http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A30286-2005Mar12.html.

²⁷Louis Charbonneau, "UN Probes Allegations of Corruption, Fraud," Reuters, January 10, 2008, at http://www.reuters.com/article/latestCrisis/idUSN10215991.

²⁸Matthias Basanisi, "Who Will Watch the Peacekeepers?" *The New York Times*, May 23, 2008, at http://www.nytimes.com/2008/05/23/opinion/23basanisi.html.

²⁹BBC, "U.N. Troops 'Armed DR Congo Rebels," April 28, 2008, at http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/africa/7365283.stm (September 10, 2008), and Joe Bavier, "U.N. Ignored Peacekeeper Abuses in Congo, Group Says," Reuters, May 2, 2008, at http://www.reuters.com/article/featuredCrisis/idUSN02278304.

³⁰ Schaefer, "The Demise of the U.N. Procurement Task Force Threatens Oversight at the U.N."

³¹ U.N. Departments of Peacekeeping Operations and Field Support, "A New Partnership Agenda: Charting a New Horizon for UN Peacekeeping," p. 35.

³²See Kate Holt and Sarah Hughes, "U.N. Staff Accused of Raping Children in Sudan," *The Daily Telegraph*, January 4, 2007, at http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/main.jhtml?xml=/news/2007/01/03/wsudan03.xml; Kate Holt and Sarah Hughes, "Sex and the U.N.: When Peacemakers Become Predators," *The Independent*, January 11, 2005, at http://www.stopdemand.org/afawcs0112878/ID=5/newsdetails.html; and Colum Lynch, "U.N. Faces More Accusations of Sexual

²⁰⁰⁵Mar12.html.

33For more information on U.N. peacekeeping abuses, see Nile Gardiner, Ph.D., "The U.N. Peacekeeping Scandal in the Congo: How Congress Should Respond," Heritage Foundation *Lecture* No. 868, March 1, 2005, at http://www.heritage.org/Research/InternationalOrganizations/upload/76028/1.pdf.

accountability.34

After intense lobbying by the U.S. Department of State and the U.S. Mission to the United Nations, as well as pressure from several key Members of Congress, the U.N. Secretariat agreed to adopt stricter requirements for peacekeeping troops and their contributing countries. 35 The U.S. also helped the DPKO to publish a resource manual on trafficking for U.N. peacekeepers.

In 2005, Prince Zeid Ra'ad Al-Hussein of Jordan, the Secretary-General's adviser on sexual exploitation and abuse by U.N. peacekeepers, submitted his report to the Secretary-General with recommendations on how to address the sexual abuse problem, including imposing a uniform standard of conduct, conducting professional investigations, and holding troop-contributing countries accountable for the actions of their soldiers and for enforcing proper disciplinary action. In June 2005, the General Assembly adopted the recommendations in principle, and some recommendations have been implemented. Contact and discipline teams are now present in many U.N. peacekeeping missions, and troops are now required to undergo briefing and training on behavior and conduct.³⁶

Tragically, this does not seem to have addressed the problem adequately. In May 2008, the international nonprofit Save the Children accused aid workers and peacekeepers of sexually abusing young children in war zones and disaster zones in Ivory Coast, southern Sudan, and Haiti—and going largely unpunished. U.N. peacekeepers were deemed most likely to be responsible for abuse. According to a report issued by Save the Children, "Children as young as six are trading sex with aid workers and peacekeepers in exchange for food, money, soap and, in very few cases, luxury items such as mobile phones."37

A 2009 report found that, while the overall number of misconduct allegations against U.N. peacekeepers in the Democratic Republic of the Congo operation was down in 2008 from 2007, the frequency of offences was still unacceptably high. Specifically, there were 56 instances of serious offences in 2008 including 38 instances of alleged sexual abuse and exploitation. There were also 202 reported allegations of lesser offences.³⁸ This is from a single U.N. mission, albeit the largest mission, and clearly illustrates that lack of discipline among U.N. peacekeepers remains a serious concern.

Moreover, despite the U.N.'s announcement of a "zero tolerance" policy on sexual abuse and other actions to reduce misconduct and criminality among peacekeepers, the perpetrators of these crimes are very rarely punished, as was revealed in a January 2007 news report on U.N. abuses in southern Sudan. ³⁹ The standard memorandum of understanding between the U.N. and troop contributors

³⁴U.S. Institute of Peace, Task Force on the United Nations, "American Interests and U.N. Reform," June 2005, pp. 94–96, at http://www.usip.org/un/report/usip_un_report.pdf.

⁵See Kim R. Holmes, "United Nations Organization Mission in the Democratic Republic of Congo: A Case for Peacekeeping Reform," testimony before the Subcommittee on Africa, Global Human Rights, and International Operations, Committee on International Relations, U.S. House of Representatives, 109th Cong., 1st Sess., March 1, 2005, at http://commdocs.house.gov/committees/intlrel/hfa99590.000/hfa99590 0.HTM.

³⁶ According to the U.N., "Conduct and discipline personnel are now deployed in the following peace operations: Afghanistan (UNAMA), Burundi (BINUB), Brindisi (UNLB), Côte d'Ivoire (UNOCI), Cyprus (UNFICYP), the Democratic Republic of the Congo (MONUC), Golan Heights (UNDOF), Haiti (MINUSTAH), Jerusalem (UNTSO/UNSCO), Kosovo (UNMIK), Lebanon (UNIFIL), Liberia (UNMIL), Nepal (UNMIN), India/Pakistan (UNMOGIP), Sierra Leone (UNIOSIL), Sudan (UNMIS), Timor-Leste (UNMIT) and Western Sahara (MINURSO). In 2007, plans are underway to ensure that conduct and discipline experts are deployed to cover a total of 20 missions." See United Nations Department of Field Support, "About the Conduct and Discipline Units," at http://www.un.org/Depts/dpko/CDT/about.html. Also see, U.S. Department of State, Bureau of International Organization Affairs, United States Participation in the United Nations 2005, "Part 1: Political and Security Affairs," October 2005, pp. 43–44, at http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/74052.pdf.

³⁷Corinna Csáky, "No One to Turn To: The Under-Reporting of Child Sexual Exploitation and Abuse by Aid Workers and Peacekeepers," Save the Children, 2008, at http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/shared/bsp/hi/pdfs/27 05 08 savethechildren.pdf. See also BBC, "Peacekeepers 'Abusing Children," May 27, 2008, at http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/in_depth/7420798.stm.

³⁸ "UN team looking into alleged sexual misconduct by blue helmets in DR Congo: MONUC peacekeepers on patrol in the DRC," U.N. News Center, 24 July 2009, at http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=31574&Cr=monuc&Cr1=#. ¹⁹According to Fox News, "U.N. military officials have the power to direct the troops placed under their command, but are

appropriately grants troop-contributing countries jurisdiction over military members who participate in U.N. peace operations, but little is done if these countries fail to investigate or punish those who are guilty of such crimes.

A Political Problem

The problems of mismanagement, corruption, and misconduct cry out for fundamental reform of the U.N. peacekeeping structure to improve accountability and transparency. However, corruption, mismanagement, and sexual misconduct by U.N. peacekeepers are not the only problems with U.N. peacekeeping.

The other problem is a political problem. The vast expansion of U.N. peacekeeping—with the possibility of even more operations on the horizon like the proposal for a new Somalia mission with up to 27,000 peacekeepers—has led some to point out that the U.N. Security Council has gone "mandate crazy" in its attempts to be seen as effective and "doing something." The willingness of the council to approve missions where "there is no peace to keep"—such as Darfur or Somalia—violates a dearly learned lesson that U.N. peacekeepers are not war fighters. 41

In general, the U.N. and its member states had accepted the fact that U.N. peace operations should not include a mandate to enforce peace outside of limited circumstances and should focus instead on assisting countries in shifting from conflict to a negotiated peace and from peace agreements to legitimate governance and development. 42 As noted in the Report of the Panel on United Nations Peace *Operations*:

[T]he United Nations does not wage war. Where enforcement action is required, it has consistently been entrusted to coalitions of willing States, with the authorization of the Security Council, acting under Chapter VII of the Charter. 43

Ignoring this lesson can be costly, straining the ability of countries willing to provide peacekeepers and pushing DPKO beyond its capabilities. As recently reaffirmed by DPKO in its "Charting a New Horizon for UN Peacekeeping" report,

The single most important finding of the Brahimi report was that UN peacekeeping can only succeed as part of a wider political strategy to end a conflict and with the will of the parties to implement that strategy.... In active conflict, multinational coalitions of forces or regional actors operating under UN Security Council mandates may be more suitable.44

relatively powerless when it comes to punishing them if they are accused of crimes against humanity. There are 13 misconduct investigations ongoing at the Sudan mission, [and] some include sexual abuse. From January 2004 to the end of November 2006, investigations were conducted for 319 sexual exploitation and abuse cases in U.N. missions throughout the world. These probes resulted in the dismissal of 18 civilians and the repatriation on disciplinary grounds of 17 police and 144 military personnel.... What's frustrating to military commanders on the ground is that there is little they can do to offending peacekeepers, other than putting them on desk duty, restricting them to quarters, and requesting a full investigation and repatriation." Liza Porteus, "U.N. Peacekeepers Accused in Sudan Sex-Abuse Case Get Reprimand," Fox News, January 05, 2007, at http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,241960,00.html.

40Morris, "U.N. Peacekeeping in Line of Fire."

⁴¹ Even situations short of war that may require a U.N. peace operation are still rife with danger, as illustrated by the nearly 2,600 peacekeepers that have been killed in operations since 1948.

42Doyle and Sambanis, *Making War and Building Peace: United Nations Peace Operations*, p. 20; Dobbins *et al.*, "The U.N.'s

Role in Nation-Building: From the Congo to Iraq," p. xvi; and Victoria K. Holt, Senior Associate, Henry L. Stimson Center, testimony in hearing, UN Peacekeeping Reform: Seeking Greater Accountability and Integrity, Subcommittee on Africa, Global Human Rights, and International Operations, Committee on International Relations, U.S. House of Representatives, May 18. 2005, at www.internationalrelations.house.gov/archives/109/hol051805.pdf.

⁴³U.N. General Assembly and U.N. Security Council, *Report of the Panel on United Nations Peace Operations*, p. 10. ⁴⁴ U.N. Departments of Peacekeeping Operations and Field Support, "A New Partnership Agenda: Charting a New Horizon for UN Peacekeeping," p. 9.

These more aggressive U.N. missions also involve great demands in terms of resources, management, and personnel. Indeed, it is precisely these types of situations (DRC and Sudan) where conflict reigns or there little "genuine commitment to a political process by the parties to work toward peace" or "supportive engagement by neighbouring countries and regional actors" or "host country commitment to unhindered operations and freedom of movement" that consume some 50 percent of the U.N. peacekeeping budget and account for about 50 percent of uniformed personnel involved in U.N. peacekeeping.

Worse, this investment may not be helping the situation. Dr. Greg Mills, director of the Johannesburg-based Brenthurst Foundation, and Dr. Terence McNamee, director of publications at the Royal United Services Institute for Defence and Security Studies (RUSI), have conducted several case studies of U.N. peacekeeping operations for a forthcoming Heritage Foundation book titled *Conundrum: The Limits of the United Nations and the Search for Alternatives*. They have concluded that, in the cases of Lebanon and the Democratic Republic of Congo, it is an open question whether the U.N. peacekeeping missions have contributed to resolving the situations or to exacerbating them.

In other cases, such as the U.N. missions in Cyprus and the Western Sahara, established in 1964 and 1991, respectively, the U.N. presence is simply an historical palliative. The peacekeepers do little to keep the peace. Nor does their presence seem to have contributed to the process for resolving the decades-long political standoff. Instead, the missions continue out of inertia or because of requests by parties to the conflict that they remain in operation. It is an open question whether or not the U.N. presence has contributed to the intractability of the situation by providing the excuse not to develop a resolution to what is largely a political problem.

The next U.S. Administration should fundamentally re-evaluate all the "perpetual" U.N. operations that date back to the early 1990s or before—some, like UNTSO in the Middle East and UNMOGIP in Kashmir, date back to the 1940s—to determine whether the U.N. mission is contributing to resolving the situation or retarding that process. In cases where they are not demonstrably facilitating resolution of the situation, the U.N. should move increasingly toward the UNFICYP model where Greece and Cyprus pay for over 40 percent of the cost of the mission. Stakeholders wishing to continue U.N. peacekeeping operations that have not resolved the conflict despite being in place for decades should be asked to independently assume the financial burden of their continued operation. These missions are generally small and among the least costly, but such a re-evaluation would send a welcome message of accountability and assessment that too often has been lacking in the rubber-stamp process of reauthorizing peacekeeping operations.

Limited Success Stories

This is not to say that U.N. missions are never useful and should be rejected out of hand. U.N. missions have been successful in situations like Cambodia, where U.N. peacekeepers helped to restore stability following dictatorship and civil war. Indeed, no one wants another Rwanda, and the consequences of doing nothing could end in tragedy. But a long list of operations that have been less than successful indicates that the Security Council should be far more judicious when adopting decisions to intervene.

Darfur is particularly relevant. The U.S. has called the situation in Darfur "genocide." The U.N. did not come to that conclusion, but it did recognize the widespread human rights violations and suffering. After the African Union mission failed to curtail the violence and suffering, the U.N. adopted a resolution authorizing a joint AU–U.N. peacekeeping force despite ongoing conflict and considerable evidence that neither the rebels nor the government-backed forces were prepared to abide by a peace agreement. Protected by China's veto, Sudan also demanded that the peacekeepers be predominantly

_

⁴⁵ U.N. Departments of Peacekeeping Operations and Field Support, "A New Partnership Agenda: Charting a New Horizon for UN Peacekeeping," p. 2.

African. This has led to a severe constraint on the number of available troops: There simply are not enough trained and capable African troops to meet the demand.

As a result, Jan Eliasson, the Secretary-General's special envoy for Darfur, told the Security Council that the situation in Darfur had deteriorated despite the efforts of U.N. and African Union troops. ⁴⁶ The decision of the prosecutor of the International Criminal Court (ICC) to indict Sudanese President Omar al-Bashir has lead to further complications with humanitarian workers expelled and harassed.

In Darfur, the U.N. Security Council yielded to the pressure to act. Massive suffering was occurring and would likely have grown worse without U.N. backing and support for the AU peacekeeping effort. However, the council accepted demands from Sudan that vastly complicate peacekeeping efforts, such as restricting U.N. peacekeepers for that mission to African nationals. The council also entered a conflict situation against the lessons of its own experience. It compounded the error by failing to adopt clear objectives, metrics for success, or an exit strategy.

Because of these failings, not to mention the potential for deterioration toward broader conflict or a stiffening of resolve by President Bashir with an ICC indictment weighing on his mind, Darfur could very easily unravel despite the U.N. peacekeeping force.

What the U.S. Should Seek to Do

There are several actions that the U.S. should urge the U.N. and the Security Council to undertake to address the foregoing weaknesses. Specifically:

- Seek to flatten out the U.N. peacekeeping scale of assessments. Given the far larger financial demands of the recent expanded role for U.N. peacekeeping, the system for assessing the U.N. peacekeeping budget is becoming an increasing burden on the member states with larger assessments. It should be revised to more equitably spread the financial burden among U.N. member states. The notion that wealthier nations should bear a larger portion of the costs is strongly entrenched at the U.N., but a system that has the U.S. paying \$2 billion and other states paying less than \$8,000 is indefensible and creates a free rider problem wherein countries paying virtually nothing have little reason to conduct due diligence on whether a proposed mission is appropriate or an existing mission is meeting its mandate or if U.N. funds are being used prudently and are subject to appropriate oversight. All U.N. member states, particularly those on the Security Council, must have skin in the game if they are to take their oversight responsibilities seriously. There are many ways to address this issue and the Administration and Congress should press the U.N. to explore them.
- **Be more judicious in authorizing U.N. peacekeeping operations.** The pressure to "do something" must not trump sensible consideration of whether a U.N. presence will improve or destabilize the situation, which includes clearly establishing the objectives of the operations, ensuring that they are achievable, carefully planning the requirements for achieving them, securing pledges for providing what is needed to achieve them before authorizing the operation, and demanding an exit strategy to prevent a "perpetual mission" trap. ⁴⁸

⁴⁶U.N. News Centre, "Darfur: U.N. Envoy Doubtful Parties Are Willing to Enter Serious Negotiations," June 24, 2008, at <a href="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=27149&Cr=darfur&Cr1="http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp."http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp."http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp."http://www.un.org

⁴⁷ For more information see Brett D. Schaefer and Janice A. Smith, "The U.S. Should Support Japan's Call to Revise the UN Scale of Assessments," Heritage Foundation WebMemo no. 1017, March 18, 2006, at http://www.heritage.org/Research/InternationalOrganizations/wm1017.cfm.

⁴⁸An example of this thought process that should be pursued by the U.S. and other countries was summarized by former Assistant Secretary of State Kim R. Holmes: "While the Security Council is hammering out the details of a peacekeeping resolution, member states work with the U.N. to figure out what that mission will require. We consider causes, regional equities, resources, the need for military forces and civilian police, the involvement of rule of law and human rights experts, reconstruction needs, and more. From the outset, we work to ensure [that] each mission is right-sized, has a clear mandate, can deploy promptly, and

This process should also apply in reauthorization of existing missions, where there too often is a rubber-stamp approach. If a mission has not achieved its objective or has not made evident progress toward that end after a lengthy period, the Security Council should assess whether it is serving a constructive role in resolving the situation. If it is not, it should be ended or the expense of continuing the mission shifted to the nations, a la UNFICYP, seeking to continue it for political reasons.

In its deliberations, however, the council should recognize that short, easy missions are extremely rare. When authorizing a mission, the council should recognize that it may be there for a lengthy period. If the council seems unlikely to persevere, it should consider not approving the mission.

Critically, this recommendation should not be construed as implying that all U.N. peacekeeping operations should be or can be identical. On the contrary, differing circumstances often require differing approaches. Indeed, if peacekeeping missions are to be successful, the council must be flexible in the makeup and composition of U.N. peacekeeping operations or in choosing to stand back in favor of a regional intervention or an *ad hoc* coalition if those approaches better fit the immediate situation. However, in the process of deciding to authorize a mission, the council should not let an "emergency" override the prudent evaluation and assessment process that is necessary to ensure that the prospective mission has the largest chance of success.

• Transform the DPKO structure to enable it to handle increased peace operation demands and to plan for future operations more effectively. This requires more direct involvement of the Security Council; more staff, supplies, and training; and greatly improved oversight by a capable, independent inspector general dedicated to peace operations perhaps modeled after the defunct U.N. Procurement Task Force.

A key element of this should include transforming the DPKO to incorporate greater flexibility so that it can rapidly expand and contract to meet varying levels of peace operation activity. Current U.N. rules do not permit the necessary authority and discretion in hiring and shifting resources to meet priorities. A core professional military staff must be maintained and used, but the DPKO should also be able to rely on gratis military and other seconded professionals to meet exceptional demands on U.N. peace operations. ⁴⁹ This would readily provide the expertise and experience

has a clear exit strategy. This was particularly the case in getting peacekeepers into Haiti and expanding the mission in the Congo to target the main area of instability, the African Great Lakes region. Nevertheless, as this committee well knows, new CIPA requirements arise quickly. It is not possible to predict when conflicts will intensify to the point where they require U.N. action. We are cautious because, historically, U.N. missions are not as effective at peace enforcement, when offensive military action is needed to end the conflict, as they are at maintaining ceasefires and supporting peace agreements. But our focused analysis has helped the U.N. close down most of the peacekeeping missions begun during the early 1990s, once their jobs were done. It is helping member states [to] look for possible reductions in some long-standing missions, and press the U.N. to right-size or close other missions as they complete their mandates. The United States, in voting on peacekeeping mandates, always pushes for prudent mandates, force size, and missions that not only would succeed, but also just plain end." Unfortunately, this type of analysis in the context of Security Council authorization of U.N. peacekeeping operations appears to be the exception rather than the rule. See Kim R. Holmes, Assistant Secretary for International Organization Affairs, "Statement Urging Congress to Fund Fully President's 2006 Budget Request for the UN," statement before the Subcommittee on Science, State, Justice, and Commerce, and Related Agencies, Committee on Appropriations, U.S. House of Representatives, April 21, 2005, at http://www.state.gov/p/io/rls/rm/45037.htm.

⁴⁹According to the Secretary-General, "[G]ratis personnel were not regulated until the adoption by the General Assembly of resolutions 51/243 and 52/234, in which the Assembly placed strict conditions on the acceptance of type II gratis personnel. Among the conditions set out in administrative instruction ST/AI/1999/6, is the requirement that type II gratis personnel be accepted on an exceptional basis only and for the following purposes: (a) to provide expertise not available within the Organization for very specialized functions or (b) to provide temporary and urgent assistance in the case of new and/or expanded mandates of the Organization." See U.N. General Assembly, "Gratis Personnel Provided by Governments and Other Entities," A/61/257/Add.1, August 9, 2006, at http://www.centerforunreform.org/system/files/A.61.257.Add.1.pdf. The restrictions on gratis personnel were adopted at the behest of the Group of 77 developing nations, which thought that their nationals were not being given equal opportunity to fill positions at the U.N. because their governments could not afford to provide staff gratis. A possible solution could be to allow the countries to receive credits toward their assessed dues that are equivalent to the estimated salaries

needed to assess the requirements of mandates under consideration, including troop numbers, equipment, timeline, and rules of engagement, both efficiently and realistically.

• Build up peacekeeping capabilities around the world, particularly in Africa, and further develop a U.N. database of qualified, trained, pre-screened uniformed and civilian personnel available for U.N. operations. The U.N. has no standing armed forces and is entirely dependent on member states to donate troops and other personnel to fulfill peace operation mandates. This is appropriate. Nations should maintain control of their armed forces and refuse to support the establishment of armed forces outside of direct national oversight and responsibility. However, the current arrangement results in an *ad hoc* system plagued by delays; inadequately trained personnel; insufficient numbers of military troops, military observers, civilian police, and civilian staff; inadequate planning; inadequate or non-functional equipment; and logistical gaps. 50

The U.N. established a Standby Arrangements System (UNSAS) in 1994, wherein member states make conditional commitments to prepare and maintain specified resources (military and specialized personnel, services, matériel, and equipment) on "stand-by" in their home countries to fulfill specified tasks or functions for U.N. peace operations.⁵¹ Some 87 countries are participating in the system and Japan recently announced its decision to participate.⁵² This is their prerogative, but the resources committed under the UNSAS fall short of needs. For its part, the U.S. is seeking to increase peacekeeping resources under the Global Peace Operations Initiative (GPOI). This program contributes significantly to bolstering the capacity and capabilities of regional troops, particularly in Africa, to serve as peacekeepers through the U.N. or regional organizations like the African Union and should be expanded.⁵³

To speed up deployment on missions, the U.N. needs to further develop a database of information on individuals' and units' past experience in U.N. operations; disciplinary issues; performance evaluations; expertise (e.g., language, engineering, and combat skills); and availability for deployment.

• Implement a modern logistics system and streamline procurement procedures so that missions receive what they need when they need it. To be effective, procurement and contracting must "have a formal governance structure responsible for its oversight and direction,"

of gratis personnel. See "U.N. Gratis Personnel System Is Undemocratic, Says G-77 Chairman," *Journal of the Group of 77*, January/February 1997, at http://www.g77.org/nc/journal/janfeb97/6.htm.

⁵⁰Operations in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Côte d'Ivoire (Ivory Coast), Lebanon, and Darfur all recently experienced difficulties in raising the numbers of troops authorized by the Security Council.

⁵¹U.N. Department of Peacekeeping Operations, "United Nations Standby Arrangements System (UNSAS)," April 30, 2005, at http://www.un.org/Depts/dpko/milad/fgs2/unsas_files/sba.htm.
⁵² Japan Today, "Japan to join U.N. Standby Arrangements System for active PKO," July, 2, 2009, at

Tapan Today, "Japan to join U.N. Standby Arrangements System for active PKO," July, 2, 2009, at http://www.japantoday.com/category/politics/view/japan-to-join-un-standby-arrangements-system-for-active-pko.

The State Department budget request includes a request for \$97 million for GPOI in FY 2010, down from \$105 million in FY

The State Department budget request includes a request for \$97 million for GPOI in FY 2010, down from \$105 million in FY 2009. Most of the funds for the GPOI, including the African Contingency Operations Training and Assistance program (ACOTA), go to Africa-related programs. According to the State Department, "The United States has surpassed its commitment, adopted at the 2004 G-8 Sea Island Summit, to train and equip 75,000 new peacekeepers to be able to participate in peacekeeping operations worldwide by 2010. As of this month, the Department of State's Global Peace Operations Initiative (GPOI) has succeeded in training and equipping more than 81,000 new peacekeepers, and has facilitated the deployment of nearly 50,000 peacekeepers to 20 United Nations and regional peace support operations to secure the peace and protect at-risk populations in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Haiti, Lebanon, Somalia and Sudan.... Starting in October 2009, GPOI will embark on its second phase (Fiscal Years 2010-2014) in which it will build on its success with a shift in focus from providing direct training to increasing the self-sufficiency of partner countries to conduct sustainable, indigenous peace support operations training on their own. In doing so, GPOI will help partner countries achieve full operational capability in peace support operations training and consequently develop stronger partners in the shared goal of promoting peace and stability in post-conflict societies."." See U.S. Department of State, "Peacekeeping Operations," Congressional Budget Justification: Foreign Operations, Fiscal Year 2010, p. 86, at http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/123415.pdf and Bureau of Public Affairs,

[&]quot;U.S. Department of State Surpasses Target of 75,000 Trained Peacekeepers by 2010," U.S. Department of State, Office of the Spokesman, July 23, 200, at http://www.state.gov/r/pa/prs/ps/2009/july/126396.htm.

as former Under-Secretary-General for Management Catherine Bertini advised Congress in 2005.⁵⁴ Critically, the new logistics system and the procurement system must be subject to appropriate transparency, rigorous accountability, and independent oversight accompanied by robust investigatory capabilities and a reliable system of internal justice.⁵⁵

The relatively recent restructuring of the DPKO into a Department of Peacekeeping Operations and a Department of Field Support does not appear to have led to any substantial improvement in peacekeeping procurement. This may be due to the fact that the new department did not receive requested personnel or funding, but it also appears to be a case of "paper reform" rather than actual reform. Most of the same people remain in place, and it is uncertain that procedures have changed substantively.

• Implement mandatory, uniform standards of conduct for civilian and military personnel participating in U.N. peace operations. If the U.N. is to take serious steps to end sexual exploitation, abuse, and other misconduct by peacekeepers, it must do more than adopt a U.N. code of conduct, issue manuals, and send abusers home. There must be real consequences for individuals and for governments to create incentives for enforcement. The remedy should not involve yielding jurisdiction over personnel to the U.N. or to non-national judicial authority, but it should entail commitments by member states to investigate, try, and punish their personnel in cases of misconduct.

Investigators should be granted full cooperation and access to witnesses, records, and sites where crimes allegedly occurred so that trials can proceed. Equally important, the U.N. must be stricter in holding member countries to these standards. States that fail to fulfill their commitments to discipline their troops should be barred from providing troops for peace operations.

Conclusion

U.N. peacekeeping operations can be useful and successful if entered into with an awareness of their limitations and weaknesses. This awareness is crucial, because there seems to be little indication that the demand for U.N. peacekeeping will decline in the foreseeable future. Moreover, the unprecedented pace, scope, and ambition of U.N. peacekeeping operations have revealed numerous flaws that are serious and need to be addressed. The Obama Administration and Congress need to consider carefully any requests by the United Nations for additional funding for a system in which procurement problems have wasted millions of dollars and sexual abuse by peacekeepers is still unacceptably high and often goes unpunished. Indeed, the decision by the Administration and Congress to pay U.S. arrears to U.N. peacekeeping without demanding reforms sent entirely the wrong message and removed a powerful leverage point for encouraging reform. Without fundamental reform, these problems will likely continue and expand, undermining the U.N.'s credibility and ability to accomplish one of its primary missions: maintaining international peace and security.

—Brett D. Schaefer is Jay Kingham Fellow in International Regulatory Affairs in the Margaret Thatcher Center for Freedom, a division of the Kathryn and Shelby Cullom Davis Institute for International Studies, at The Heritage Foundation.

⁵⁴Catherine Bertini, former U.N. Under-Secretary-General for Management, statement in hearing, *Reforming the United Nations: Budget and Management Perspectives*, Committee on International Relations, U.S. House of Representatives, 109th Cong., 1st Sess., May 19, 2005, at http://commdocs.house.gov/committees/intlrel/hfa21309.000/hfa21309 0f.htm.

⁵⁵U.S. Government Accountability Office, *United Nations: Procurement Internal Controls Are Weak*, GAO–06–577, April 2006, at http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d06577.pdf.

The Heritage Foundation is a public policy, research, and educational organization operating under Section 501(C)(3). It is privately supported and receives no funds from any government at any level, nor does it perform any government or other contract work.

The Heritage Foundation is the most broadly supported think tank in the United States. During 2008, it had nearly 400,000 individual, foundation, and corporate supporters representing every state in the U.S. Its 2008 income came from the following sources:

Individuals	67%
Foundations	27%
Corporations	5%

The top five corporate givers provided The Heritage Foundation with 1.8% of its 2008 income. The Heritage Foundation's books are audited annually by the national accounting firm of McGladrey & Pullen. A list of major donors is available from The Heritage Foundation upon request.

Members of The Heritage Foundation staff testify as individuals discussing their own independent research. The views expressed are their own and do not reflect an institutional position for The Heritage Foundation or its board of trustees.

			PERSONNEL				
	cekeeping Operations	Security Council Resolution	Troops	Military Observers	Police	Other	Total
AFRICA							
MINURCAT	United Nations Mission in the Central African Republic and Chad	September 25, 2007	2,317	29	219	750	3,315
UNAMID	African Union/United Nations Hybrid Operation in Darfur	July 31, 2007	13,300	176	2,959	3,481	19,916
UNMIS	United Nations Mission in Sudan	March 24, 2005	8, 4 79	517	647	3,474	13,117
UNOCI	United Nations Operation in Cote d'Ivoire	February 27, 2004	7,662	190	1,174	1,214	10,240
UNMIL	United Nations Mission in Liberia	September 19, 2003	10,065	136	1,205	1,669	13,075
MONUC	United Nations Organization Mission in the Democratic Republic of the Congo	November 30, 1999	16,921	692	1,078	4,075	22,766
MINURSO	United Nations Mission for the Referendum in Western Sahara	April 29, 1991	20	201	6	272	499
AMERICAS							
MINUSTAH	United Nations Stabilization Mission in Haiti	April 30, 2004	7,030		2,050	1,905	10,985
ASIA AND	THE PACIFIC						
UNMIT	United Nations Integrated Mission in Timor-Leste	August 25, 2006		33	1,559	1,391	2,983
UNMOGIP	United Nations Military Observer Group in India and Pakistan	January 1949		40		72	112
EUROPE							
UNFICYP	United Nations Peacekeeping Force in Cyprus	March 4, 1964	856		70	150	1,076
UNOMIG	United Nations Observer Mission in Georgia	August 24, 1993		129	16	313	458
UNMIK	United Nations Mission in Kosovo	June 10, 1999		13	8	551	572
MIDDLE EA	AST						
UNDOF*	United Nations Disengagement Observer Force	May 31, 1974	1,043	0		144	1,187
UNIFIL*	United Nations Interim Force in Lebanon	March 19, 1978	12,030	0		976	13,006
UNTSO	United Nations Truce Supervision Organization	May 1948		151		223	374
Subtotal		_	79,723	2,307	10,991	20,660	113,681

UN Politcal or Peace-Building Operations Directed or Supported by UNDPKO AFRICA

BINUB	Bureau des Nations Unies au Burundi	October 25, 2006	8	11	409	428
ASIA						
UNAMA	United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan	March 28, 2002	20	7	1,553	1,580
Subtotal			28	18	1,962	2,008
Grand Total		79,72	3 2,335	11,009	22,622	115,689

^{*} Mission websites indicated that these missions also had military observers, but the U.N. DPKO Background Note did not. The Background Note data was used.

Source: "United Nations Peacekeeping Operations," Background Note, June 30, 2009, at http://www.un.org/Depts/dpko/dpko/dpko/bnote.htm (July 27, 2009). UNOMIG data as of March 31, 2009 from the mission website, at http://www.un.org/Depts/dpko/missions/unomig/facts.html (July 27, 2009). UNAMA and BINUB figures from "United Nations Political and Peacebuilding Missions," Background Note, June 30, 2009, at http://www.un.org/Depts/dpko/dpko/ppbm.pdf (July 27, 2009).

