$\phi(1680)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

$\phi(1680)$ MASS

e^+e^- PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1680±20 OUR ESTIMATE					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1709±20±43		[1] AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
1623±20	948	[2] AKHMETSHIN	03	CMD2	1.05–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^0_L K^0_S$
∼ 1500		[3] ACHASOV	98H	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^-
∼ 1900		[4] ACHASOV	98H	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1700±20		[5] CLEGG	94	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$, $K^0_S K\pi$
1657±27	367	BISELLO	91C	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1655±17		[6] BISELLO	88B	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
1680±10		[7] BUON	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
1677±12		[8] MANE	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^0_S K\pi$

PHOTOPRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1753± 3	[9] LINK	02K	FOCS	20–160 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+K^-p$
1726±22	[9] BUSENITZ	89	TPS	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+K^-X$
1760±20	[9] ATKINSON	85C	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K\overline{K}X$
1690±10	[9] ASTON	81F	OMEG	25–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+K^-X$

$p\overline{p}$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1700±8	[10] AMSLER	06	CBAR	0.9 $\overline{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$

[1] From the simultaneous fit to the $K\overline{K}^*(892)+$ c.c. and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.
[2] From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ, ω, and ϕ. Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.
[3] Using data from IVANOV 81, BARKOV 87, BISELLO 88B, DOLINSKY 91, and ANTONELLI 92.
[4] Using the data from BISELLO 91C.
[5] Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.
[6] From global fit including ρ, ω, ϕ and $\rho(1700)$ assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitation.
[7] From global fit of ρ, ω, ϕ and their radial excitations to channels $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^-, $K^0_S K^0_L$, $K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$. Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for ω radial excitation.
[8] Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with ω, $\rho(1700)$.
[9] We list here a state decaying into K^+K^- possibly different from $\phi(1680)$.
[10] Could also be $\rho(1700)$.

$\phi(1680)$ WIDTH

e^+e^- PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
150±50 OUR ESTIMATE This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
322±77±160		[11] AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
139±60	948	[12] AKHMETSHIN	03	CMD2	1.05–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^0_L K^0_S$
300±60		[13] CLEGG	94	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$, $K^0_S K\pi$
146±55	367	BISELLO	91C	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$
207±45		[14] BISELLO	88B	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
185±22		[15] BUON	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
102±36		[16] MANE	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^0_S K\pi$

PHOTOPRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
122±63	[17] LINK	02K	FOCS	20–160 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+K^- p$
121±47	[17] BUSENITZ	89	TPS	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+K^- X$
80±40	[17] ATKINSON	85C	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K\overline{K}X$
100±40	[17] ASTON	81F	OMEG	25–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+K^- X$

$p\overline{p}$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
143±24	[18] AMSLER	06	CBAR	0.9 $\overline{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$

[11] From the simultaneous fit to the $K\overline{K}^*(892)+$ c.c. and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

[12] From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ, ω, and ϕ. Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.

[13] Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.

[14] From global fit including ρ, ω, ϕ and $\rho(1700)$

[15] From global fit of ρ, ω, ϕ and their radial excitations to channels $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^-, $K^0_S K^0_L$, $K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp$. Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for ω radial excitation.

[16] Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with ω, $\rho(1700)$.

[17] We list here a state decaying into K^+K^- possibly different from $\phi(1680)$.

[18] Could also be $\rho(1700)$.

$\phi(1680)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$K\overline{K}^*(892)+$ c.c.	dominant
Γ_2	$K^0_S K\pi$	seen
Γ_3	$K\overline{K}$	seen
Γ_4	$K^0_L K^0_S$	

Γ_5	e^+e^-	seen
Γ_6	$\omega\pi\pi$	not seen
Γ_7	$\phi\eta$	
Γ_8	$K^+K^-\pi^0$	

ϕ(1680) $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2$(total)

This combination of a branching ratio into channel (i) and branching ratio into e^+e^- is directly measured and obtained from the cross section at the peak. We list only data that have not been used to determine the branching ratio into (i) or e^+e^-.

$\Gamma(K^0_L K^0_S)/\Gamma_{total} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.131 ± 0.059	948	[19] AKHMETSHIN	03	CMD2	1.05–1.38 $e^+e^- \to K^0_L K^0_S$

[19] From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ, ω, and ϕ. Neither isospin nor flavor structure known. Recalculated by us.

$\Gamma(K\overline{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$1.15\pm0.16\pm0.01$		[20] AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K\overline{K}^*(892)\gamma$ + c.c.
3.29 ± 1.57	367	[21] BISELLO	91C	DM2	1.35–2.40 $e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp$

[20] From the simultaneous fit to the $K\overline{K}^*(892)+$ c.c. and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.
[21] Recalculated by us with the published value of B($K\overline{K}^*(892)+$ c.c.) $\times\ \Gamma(e^+e^-)$.

$\Gamma(\phi\eta)/\Gamma_{total} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_7/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.43\pm0.10\pm0.09$	[22] AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \phi\eta\gamma$

[22] From the simultaneous fit to the $K\overline{K}^*(892)+$ c.c. and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

ϕ(1680) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K\overline{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma(K^0_S K\pi)$ — Γ_1/Γ_2

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
dominant	MANE	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp$

$\Gamma(K\overline{K})/\Gamma(K\overline{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})$ — Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.07 ± 0.01	BUON	82	DM1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\overline{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})$ — Γ_6/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.10	BUON	82	DM1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\phi\eta)/\Gamma(K\overline{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})$ — Γ_7/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
≈ 0.37	[23] AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

[23] From the fit including data from AUBERT 07AK.

ϕ(1680) REFERENCES

AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
AMSLER	06	PL B639 165	C. Amsler et al.	(CBAR Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03	PL B551 27	R.R. Akhmetshin et al.	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
Also		PAN 65 1222	E.V. Anashkin, V.M. Aulchenko, R.R. Akhmetshin	
		Translated from YAF 65 1255.		
LINK	02K	PL B545 50	J.M. Link et al.	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ACHASOV	98H	PR D57 4334	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
ANTONELLI	92	ZPHY C56 15	A. Antonelli et al.	(DM2 Collab.)
BISELLO	91C	ZPHY C52 227	D. Bisello et al.	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky et al.	(NOVO)
BUSENITZ	89	PR D40 1	J.K. Busenitz et al.	(ILL, FNAL)
BISELLO	88B	ZPHY C39 13	D. Bisello et al.	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)
BARKOV	87	JETPL 46 164	L.M. Barkov et al.	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 46 132.		
ATKINSON	85C	ZPHY C27 233	M. Atkinson et al.	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
BUON	82	PL 118B 221	J. Buon et al.	(LALO, MONP)
MANE	82	PL 112B 178	F. Mane et al.	(LALO)
ASTON	81F	PL 104B 231	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
IVANOV	81	PL 107B 297	P.M. Ivanov et al.	(NOVO)
MANE	81	PL 99B 261	F. Mane et al.	(ORSAY)

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

ACHASOV	07A	PR D76 072012	M.N. Achasov et al.	(SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	06D	JETP 103 720	N.N. Achasov et al.	(SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETF 130 831.		
AUBERT	06D	PR D73 052003	B. Aubert et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
CLOSE	02	PR D65 092003	F.E. Close, A. Donnachie, Yu.S. Kalashnikova	
LINK	02K	PL B545 50	J.M. Link et al.	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ABELE	99D	PL B468 178	A. Abele et al.	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV	97F	PAN 60 2029	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	(NOVM)
		Translated from YAF 60 2212.		
ATKINSON	86C	ZPHY C30 541	M. Atkinson et al.	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ATKINSON	84	NP B231 15	M. Atkinson et al.	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ATKINSON	84B	NP B231 1	M. Atkinson et al.	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ATKINSON	83C	NP B229 269	M. Atkinson et al.	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
CORDIER	81	PL 106B 155	A. Cordier et al.	(ORSAY)
MANE	81	PL 99B 261	F. Mane et al.	(ORSAY)
ASTON	80F	NP B174 269	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)