



Juvenile Justice Information System

Data & Evaluation Reports Restitution (2006)

JJIS Steering Committee
JJIS Data & Evaluation Sub-Committee

JJIS – A Shared Information System

Oregon Youth Authority
Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association





Juvenile Justice Information System Vision & Goals

VISION

To promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development of a statewide juvenile justice information system that:

- Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies;
- Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the juvenile justice process;
- Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime; and
- Recognizes and supports the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.

GOALS To accomplish the vision, JJIS will strive to accomplish the following goals.

Vision Statement	Goals in Support of Vision Statement
Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies.	JJIS will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be a statewide juvenile justice information system that supports a partnership of the OYA, 36 county juvenile departments, and approved external partners; • Be the primary information system used by the OYA and county juvenile departments to manage and report information about juvenile offenders in their agency; and • Support statewide reporting.
Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the justice process.	JJIS will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support the assessment of risks and needs of juvenile offenders; • Support individual case plans; and • Track juveniles through the entire justice process so that individual status, program involvement, progress, and outcomes can be determined.
Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime.	JJIS will provide data and information to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop a knowledge base about outcomes relevant to functions and programs of the juvenile justice system; • Evaluate the benefit of programs aimed at reducing juvenile crime.
Recognizes and supports the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.	JJIS will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be developed in a cost-efficient and incremental manner; • Be operated in an efficient manner; • Eliminate duplicate data entry within the JJIS partnership agencies; • Develop common data elements while maintaining integrity of information and adhering to existing state justice information system standards; • Provide a statewide standard for entry of information into the system; • Ensure consistent training of JJIS users; • Maintain confidentiality and protection of information contained in JJIS; • Be designed using the cooperative input of the JJIS partnership; and • Be guided by the decisions and policies of the JJIS Steering Committee.

JJIS Steering Committee

The JJIS Steering Committee provides oversight to the JJIS project. It meets monthly to review the project and ensure that it is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of JJIS. The Steering Committee prioritizes the development of software features, makes policy decisions, and allocates resources to the project.

George Dunford, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority Business Services

Dave Koch, Assistant Director

Multnomah County Juvenile Community Justice Department

Charles Logan-Belford, Director

Umatilla County Juvenile Department

Tim Loewen, Director

Yamhill County Juvenile Department

Trish Reding, Director

Polk County Juvenile Department

Lisa Smith, Director

Lane County Juvenile Department

Jill Petersen, Manager

Oregon Youth Authority Information Systems

Ed Schmidt, Manager

JJIS Implementation & Training

Cherie Lingelbach, Coordinator

JJIS Policy & Standards

Jann Brown

Multnomah County Juvenile Justice Department

Brian Florip, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority Facility Operations

Debbie Rios, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority Program Office

Robyn Cole, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority Field Operation

JJIS Data & Evaluation Subcommittee

– serving as a standing subcommittee of the JJIS Steering Committee to:

- guide the development of routine publications/statistics; specific research initiatives; design, reporting, and analysis of JJIS data in order to provide quality program and system evaluations and forecasting for system resources and prevention and intervention strategies; and
- function as an analytical Users Group that assesses development, implementation, and interpretation of reports for consistency, accuracy, and appropriateness in the areas of program evaluation, forecasting, policy analysis, impact analysis, and trend analysis.

Joe Christy

Data & Evaluation Subcommittee Chair
and Washington County
Juvenile Department Director

*THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
FOR PURPOSES OF 2-SIDED PRINTING*

Introduction

Oregon's juvenile justice community is committed to providing effective and efficient services to promote public safety, ensure justice for victims, and prevent youth from repeating criminal behavior. Restitution provides payment to victims for damages caused by another person. Participation in making payments to victims is one of several strategies designed not only to help victims but to change youth behavior. Reporting annual Restitution Completion data provides a basis to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of this juvenile justice practice over time.

Contents of this report

This document contains statewide and county specific data regarding the number and status of Restitution conditions closed during the reporting year. Both formal restitution conditions ordered by the court as well as restitution conditions directed by the juvenile department are included. Conditions are closed throughout a youth's supervision with a juvenile justice agency. These data represent condition closures rather than case closures. The total number of youth with Restitution Conditions along with amounts of Restitution collected at the time the conditions are closed are also reported. There are three sections to the report:

Number of Youth

The total number of youth that have court ordered and juvenile department directed Restitution Conditions closed during the year.

Number of Conditions Closed

The total number of court ordered and juvenile department directed Restitution Conditions listed by closing status of the condition at the time the condition was closed.

Amounts of Restitution at Closing

The total amount of restitution owed; the total amount of restitution paid at the time the conditions were closed; the total amount closed with a continuing Money Judgment; and the total amount closed "Incomplete".

The Condition may have been ordered in a prior reporting year (*and the Amount Ordered is based on the Amount Ordered at the time the condition was imposed*). The Amount Completed is the cumulative amount completed or accepted as complete at the time the condition was closed.

Notes about the information

Overall Criteria: Conditions closed during the year are counted. These conditions may have been ordered in a prior reporting year. Reporting on closed conditions provides a more complete picture than reporting on conditions that may still be in progress.

Statewide Totals: Statewide totals include data for 32 of 36 counties and OYA.

of Youth: The unduplicated # of youth that had Restitution Conditions closed during the year. Youth may be counted in more than one county, but will only be counted once in the Statewide total.

Total # of Conditions: The total number of Restitution conditions closed during the year. Youth may have more than one closed condition during the year.

Agency at Condition Closure: Based on Office of Primary Worker at time condition was closed.

Cautions Regarding Restitution Data

- **Number and Percent of Conditions Closed Complete versus Amounts Completed**
 - Readers are strongly cautioned about drawing conclusions by comparing amounts owed to amounts completed. There are many complicated factors involved in understanding Restitution data. As a result, it is very important to consider the percentage of conditions that have been closed complete in addition to the total amounts collected. This number provides a more accurate picture of the degree to which youth are meeting their court ordered and agency directed accountability conditions while under juvenile justice supervision and can provide the basis for subsequent meaningful analysis about these types of interventions.

 - **Amount Owed**
 - A youth may be ordered to pay Restitution - Joint and Several with other parties, including adults. This means that each party is individually and collectively responsible for the entire Restitution Order. Once the order is paid, the obligation is satisfied for all parties, regardless who pays. The decision to order Joint and Several Restitution is at the discretion of the judge. Joint and Several orders are recorded in JJIS by recording the entire ordered amount as a condition for each youth. If each youth satisfactorily pays his share, the youth's actual payment is recorded and the condition is closed "Accepted as Complete". For example, if 10 youth are part of a Joint and Several Order to pay \$1,000, the entire Amount Ordered is recorded on all 10 youth. If each of the 10 youth pay \$100, the victim has been compensated for the entire \$1,000 and each condition is closed "Accepted as Complete". The report will accurately indicate that 10 conditions were closed "Accepted as Complete" and will compute the amount owed to be \$100 for each youth or \$1,000. However, if one or all of the youth has
-

a continuing Money Judgment when the condition is closed, the original ordered amount will be used to compute the amount ordered.

- **Single conditions with an unusually large amount of Restitution Ordered**

- In 2006, twenty-six youth across the state each were ordered more than \$10,000 in Restitution. These youth have been pulled out of the statewide data and listed separately in order to provide a more accurate understanding of the majority of the conditions. In fact one youth was ordered over \$1,300,000 on a single condition.

This group represented just over 1% of the conditions ordered but over 61% of the amounts ordered.

- **Amount Paid at Closure**

- The amount paid at closure does not always represent youths' fulfillment of obligations to make payments to their victims or the victim's receipt of compensation for damages. Oregon law requires judges to order restitution based on the amount of loss to the victim and that restitution orders also be recorded similar to judgments in a civil action. Commonly called Money Judgments, these orders extend obligations to make reparations to victims beyond juvenile justice supervision. Any unpaid restitution at the close of supervision is reflected with a closing status of Money Judgment at the time the condition is closed. This procedure acknowledges a youth's ability to pay and make satisfactory progress while under supervision plus continue as a court ordered obligation once supervision ends. The amount of money subsequently collected pursuant to the money judgment is not tracked in JJIS and will not be reported.
- Youth can satisfy restitution obligations to victims a number of ways, often determined through mediation. If the youth performs community service or satisfies other alternative negotiated conditions in lieu of restitution, the restitution order can be vacated by the court or otherwise considered accepted as complete. When the condition is closed or Accepted as Complete, the amount owed is computed to reflect the amount paid.
- Victims are often compensated for damages caused by youth by their insurance. When this happens, the youth is held accountable by paying restitution to the insurance company instead of the victim.

*THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
FOR PURPOSES OF 2-SIDED PRINTING*

Agency at Closure	# of Youth	Conditions Closed**							Amounts of Restitution at Closing						
		Total Conditions Closed	Complete & Accepted as Complete	%	***Money Judgment	%	Incomplete	%	Dollars Owed	Dollars Paid	%	Dollars ***Money Judgment	%	Dollars Incomplete	%
Baker	31	32	29	90.6%	2	6.3%	1	3.1%	10,262.21	5,709.52	55.6%	116.00	1.1%	4,436.69	43.2%
Benton	32	34	34	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19,871.57	19,871.57	100.0%	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.0%
Clackamas	99	101	87	86.1%	9	8.9%	5	5.0%	79,155.91	59,316.14	74.9%	18,280.77	23.1%	1,559.00	2.0%
Clatsop	17	18	13	72.2%	3	16.7%	2	11.1%	38,452.43	33,565.20	87.3%	4,190.23	10.9%	697.00	1.8%
Columbia*															
Coos	18	20	16	80.0%	1	5.0%	3	15.0%	19,469.75	17,259.75	88.6%	537.00	2.8%	1,673.00	8.6%
Crook*															
Curry	14	15	13	86.7%	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	6,015.80	5,928.84	98.6%	16.96	0.3%	70.00	1.2%
Deschutes	149	159	137	86.2%	10	6.3%	12	7.5%	81,539.86	52,300.92	64.1%	26,615.03	32.6%	2,623.91	3.2%
Douglas	90	97	64	66.0%	27	27.8%	6	6.2%	45,272.90	19,753.85	43.6%	23,022.40	50.9%	2,496.65	5.5%
Gilliam*															
Grant	10	14	12	85.7%	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	1,079.57	1,079.57	100.0%	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.0%
Harney	11	11	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,273.99	2,273.99	100.0%	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.0%
Hood River	26	27	25	92.6%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	17,118.00	13,691.98	80.0%	3,426.02	20.0%	0.00	0.0%
Jackson	142	150	79	52.7%	64	42.7%	7	4.7%	77,552.02	12,588.01	16.2%	64,492.96	83.2%	471.05	0.6%
Jefferson	12	13	8	61.5%	2	15.4%	3	23.1%	12,379.71	1,865.00	15.1%	2,649.79	21.4%	7,864.92	63.5%
Josephine	69	73	37	50.7%	16	21.9%	20	27.4%	28,220.70	6,360.05	22.5%	18,919.93	67.0%	2,940.72	10.4%
Klamath	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.0
Lake	3	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	182.63	182.63	100.0%	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.0%
Lane*	107	120	70	58.3%	36	30.0%	14	11.7%	73,606.57	39,803.81	54.1%	26,508.11	36.0%	7,294.65	9.9%
Lincoln	12	13	7	53.8%	5	38.5%	1	7.7%	2,051.77	1,127.00	54.9%	762.24	37.2%	162.53	7.9%
Linn	52	53	31	58.5%	18	34.0%	4	7.5%	26,997.78	6,659.12	24.7%	18,969.10	70.3%	1,369.56	5.1%
Malheur	79	83	61	73.5%	1	1.2%	21	25.3%	39,550.58	18,220.37	46.1%	200.00	0.5%	21,130.21	53.4%
Marion	198	266	184	69.2%	74	27.8%	8	3.0%	105,308.05	53,351.80	50.7%	48,443.97	46.0%	3,512.28	3.3%
Morrow	7	8	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,092.19	2,092.19	100.0%	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.0%

Agency at Closure	# of Youth	Conditions Closed**							Amounts of Restitution at Closing						
		Total Conditions Closed	Complete & Accepted as Complete	%	***Money Judgment	%	Incomplete	%	Dollars Owed	Dollars Paid	%	Dollars ***Money Judgment	%	Dollars Incomplete	%
Multnomah	161	187	137	73.3%	30	16.0%	20	10.7%	106,757.16	70,827.70	66.3%	20,277.41	19.0%	15,652.05	14.7%
Polk	26	33	26	78.8%	6	18.2%	1	3.0%	12,566.67	6,281.73	50.0%	6,081.27	48.4%	203.67	1.6%
Sherman*															
Tillamook*															
Umatilla	68	74	64	86.5%	6	8.1%	4	5.4%	36,957.87	29,076.11	78.7%	6,454.09	17.5%	1,427.67	3.9%
Union	25	26	24	92.3%	0	0.0%	2	7.7%	7,223.91	7,223.91	100.0%	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.0%
Wallowa	8	9	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,129.32	2,129.32	100.0%	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.0%
Wasco	23	24	22	91.7%	0	0.0%	2	8.3%	7,374.54	6,726.52	91.2%	0.00	0.0%	648.02	8.8%
Washington	175	180	138	76.7%	23	12.8%	19	10.6%	134,480.30	95,986.92	71.4%	30,573.31	22.7%	7,920.07	5.9%
Wheeler	1	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	653.00	653.00	100.0%	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.0%
Yamhill	69	81	63	77.8%	14	17.3%	4	4.9%	24,464.74	15,058.62	61.6%	8,911.63	36.4%	494.49	2.0%
OYA	190	250	157	62.8%	67	26.8%	26	10.4%	190,328.06	87,106.16	45.8%	87,104.19	45.8%	16,117.71	8.5%
Statewide	1923	2175	1570	72.2%	417	19.2%	188	8.6%	1,211,389.56	694,071.30	57.3%	416,552.41	34.4%	100,765.85	8.3%

* County is not recording Community Service data in JJIS. Lane County submitted data to JJIS for inclusion in year-end report.

**Condition is a requirement ordered by the court or directed by the juvenile department as part of a disposition of a youth's case.

***Money judgments represent Restitution that continues as a court ordered obligation after probation or parole supervision ends. Restitution conditions directed by the juvenile department are not eligible for money judgments.

NOTE: The statewide youth count may not equal the sum of youth by county due to a youth being counted in more than one county.

Closed Restitution Conditions <= 10,000

Agency at Closure	# of Youth	Conditions Closed*							Amounts of Restitution at Closing						
		Total Conditions Closed	Complete & Accepted as Complete	%	**Money Judgment	%	Incomplete	%	Dollars Owed	Dollars Paid	%	Dollars **Money Judgment	%	Dollars Incomplete	%
Clackamas	1	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	15,473.96	0.00	0.0%	15,473.96	100.0%	0.00	0.0%
Clatsop	3	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	35,253.98	35,253.98	100.0%	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.0%
Curry	1	2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	53,233.80	2,518.00	4.7%	0.00	0.0%	50,715.80	95.3%
Deschutes	2	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	58,000.00	58,000.00	100.0%	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.0%
Douglas	1	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	26,388.00	9,865.35	37.4%	16,522.65	62.6%	0.00	0.0%
Josephine	1	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	71,866.66	67.50	0.1%	71,799.16	99.9%	0.00	0.0%
Lake	1	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	65,000.00	65,000.00	100.0%	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.0%
Multnomah	1	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	63,037.00	0.00	0.0%	63,037.00	100.0%	0.00	0.0%
Umatilla	1	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1,305,657.35	0.00	0.0%	1,305,657.35	100.0%	0.00	0.0%
Wasco	1	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	24,000.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.0%	24,000.00	100.0%
Washington	9	9	1	11.1%	8	88.9%	0	0.0%	198,506.12	50,574.67	25.5%	147,931.45	74.5%	0.00	0.0%
OYA	4	4	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	54,558.01	102.65	0.2%	54,455.36	99.8%	0.00	0.0%
Statewide	26	27	7	25.9%	17	63.0%	3	11.1%	1,970,974.88	221,382.15	11.2%	1,674,876.93	85.0%	74,715.80	3.8%

*Condition is a requirement ordered by the court or directed by the juvenile department as part of a disposition of a youth's case.

**Money judgments represent Restitution that continues as a court ordered obligation after probation or parole supervision ends. Restitution conditions directed by the juvenile department are not eligible for money judgments.

NOTE: The statewide youth count may not equal the sum of youth by county due to a youth being counted in more than one county.