



Juvenile Justice Information System

Data & Evaluation Reports Detention Admission Reasons and Length of Stay (2004)

JJIS Steering Committee
JJIS Data & Evaluation Sub-Committee

JJIS – A Shared Information System

Oregon Youth Authority
Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association





Juvenile Justice Information System Vision & Goals

VISION

To promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development of a statewide juvenile justice information system that:

- Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies;
- Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the juvenile justice process;
- Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime; and
- Recognizes and supports the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.

GOALS To accomplish the vision, JJIS will strive to accomplish the following goals.

| Vision Statement | Goals in Support of Vision Statement |
|--|---|
| Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies. | JJIS will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be a statewide juvenile justice information system that supports a partnership of the OYA, 36 county juvenile departments, and approved external partners; • Be the primary information system used by the OYA and county juvenile departments to manage and report information about juvenile offenders in their agency; and • Support statewide reporting. |
| Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the justice process. | JJIS will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support the assessment of risks and needs of juvenile offenders; • Support individual case plans; and • Track juveniles through the entire justice process so that individual status, program involvement, progress, and outcomes can be determined. |
| Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime. | JJIS will provide data and information to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop a knowledge base about outcomes relevant to functions and programs of the juvenile justice system; • Evaluate the benefit of programs aimed at reducing juvenile crime. |
| Recognizes and supports the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies. | JJIS will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be developed in a cost-efficient and incremental manner; • Be operated in an efficient manner; • Eliminate duplicate data entry within the JJIS partnership agencies; • Develop common data elements while maintaining integrity of information and adhering to existing state justice information system standards; • Provide a statewide standard for entry of information into the system; • Ensure consistent training of JJIS users; • Maintain confidentiality and protection of information contained in JJIS; • Be designed using the cooperative input of the JJIS partnership; and • Be guided by the decisions and policies of the JJIS Steering Committee. |

JJIS Steering Committee

The JJIS Steering Committee provides oversight to the JJIS project. It meets monthly to review the project and ensure that it is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of JJIS. The Steering Committee prioritizes the development of software features, makes policy decisions, and allocates resources to the project.

Karen Olson, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority Business Services

Dave Koch, Assistant Director

Multnomah County Juvenile Community Justice Department

Charles Logan-Belford, Director

Umatilla County Juvenile Department

Tim Loewen, Director

Yamhill County Juvenile Department

Trish Reding, Director

Polk County Juvenile Department

Lisa Smith, Director

Lane County Juvenile Department

Jill Petersen, Manager

Oregon Youth Authority Information Systems

Ed Schmidt, Manager

JJIS Implementation & Training Manager

Cherie Lingelbach, Coordinator

JJIS Policy & Standards

Jann Brown

Multnomah County Juvenile Justice Department

Brian Florip, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority Facility Operations

Debbie Rios, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority Program Office

Robyn Cole, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority Field Operations

Mary Hunt

Department of Corrections

JJIS Data & Evaluation Subcommittee

-- serving as a standing subcommittee of the JJIS Steering Committee to:

- guide the development of routine publications/statistics; specific research initiatives; design, reporting, and analysis of JJIS data in order to provide quality program and system evaluations and forecasting for system resources and prevention and intervention strategies; and
- function as an analytical Users Group that assesses development, implementation, and interpretation of reports for consistency, accuracy, and appropriateness in the areas of program evaluation, forecasting, policy analysis, impact analysis, and trend analysis.

Joe Christy

Data & Evaluation Subcommittee Chair
and Washington County
Juvenile Department Director

Introduction

In 1995 the Oregon Legislature became increasingly concerned about public safety, and the increase in the frequency and severity of juvenile crime. In response to these concerns, the Legislature created the Oregon Youth Authority as a separate state agency and acted to strengthen the juvenile justice system through the passage of Senate Bill 1. This bill required an unprecedented level of cooperation among agencies involved in the juvenile justice process.

The Legislature envisioned the juvenile justice system as a partnership among local, county, and state agencies with much of the custodial and rehabilitation effort occurring at the local and county level. The successful operation of an effective, coordinated, and integrated juvenile justice system required an infrastructure of comprehensive and timely information shared among these agencies.

This “information infrastructure” did not exist at the time the legislation was passed. It was difficult, if not impossible, for any agency to determine a youth’s history with another jurisdiction within the state. Spurred by these legislative requirements the Oregon Youth Authority (OYA) and the Oregon Juvenile Department Directors’ Association (OJDDA) formed a partnership to address the information system needs of the juvenile justice community in Oregon. The partnership created a steering committee to oversee the planning, development, and implementation of a statewide Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS).

The JJIS Steering Committee’s vision is that JJIS will promote public safety and youth accountability, and offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, by:

- Providing a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon’s state and county juvenile justice agencies;
 - Providing comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the juvenile justice process;
 - Providing the capacity for and aiding in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime; and
 - Recognizing and supporting the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.
-

Detention Admissions & Length of Stay

These reports are published by the JJIS Steering Committee designed specifically to address the third statement in this JJIS vision: Aid in the overall planning, development and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime. The report is intended to communicate information not only to evaluators but also to any party interested in Oregon's juvenile justice system.

This document contains statewide and county specific reports describing admissions to and releases from Juvenile detention facilities. There are three reports for each county and statewide for each year. The reports are:

Detention Admission Reason by Demographics

- A unique count of admissions to a detention facility during the year, by the reason the youth was admitted to the facility and demographic characteristic (gender, age and race/ethnicity) of the youth being admitted.

Detention Length of Stay by Demographics

- For each detention release during the year, the length of time spent in the facility (length of stay) by demographic characteristic (gender, age and race/ethnicity) of the youth. (Note: The associated "admission" may have occurred in a prior reporting year.)

Detention Length of Stay by Admission Reason

- For each detention release during the year, the number of days the youth spent in the facility (length of stay) by the reason the youth was admitted to the facility. (Note: The associated "admission" may have occurred in a prior reporting year.)

Each report divides Admission Reasons and Length of Stay into Pre-Adjudicatory, Post Adjudicatory, and Warrant and Other. Percentages, sub-totals and grand-totals are provided for each category.

Notes about the information

Admissions: An Admission is an entry into a detention facility during the reporting year. It is possible for one youth to have more than one admission in the reporting year.

Length of Stay: Length of Stay is computed by subtracting the admission date from the release date. If a youth is admitted and released on the same day, the Length of Stay is one day. Length of Stay is only computed for youth that have been released from the detention facility. The Admission may have occurred in a prior reporting year.

Comparing the three reports: It is not possible to compare the Admission reports to the Length of Stay reports. Admission reports are based on Admissions during the reporting year. Length of Stay reports are based on Releases during the year. Youth on the Length of Stay reports may have been admitted in a prior reporting year.

Reporting Year: The calendar year is used for the reporting period.

County: Youth are reported in the county that had jurisdiction over the youth at the time the report was produced.

Race and Ethnicity: The JJIS Steering committee defined Age and Race/Ethnicity reporting categories based on best practices and available data. Race and ethnicity may be as recorded by the juvenile department based on police reports and youths' self-reporting. These reports categorize youth by the race that is recorded in JJIS unless the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic. When the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic, the youth is categorized as Hispanic, regardless of recorded race. There are several efforts underway in the state to standardize reporting of race and ethnicity. The categories of Race/Ethnicity used in this report may change in the future when state standards are defined.

Note: Many county juvenile detention facilities operated Detention Based Youth Care Centers within their detention facilities. Admission statistics for Detention Based Youth Care Centers are not included with these reports.

April 2005

| JJIS Detention Report - 2004 Admission Reasons by Demographics | Total | % | Sex | | | Age at Admission | | | | Race/Ethnicity | | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------|---------------|-------------|------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|
| | | | Female | Male | Unknown | 12 and Younger | 13 - 15 | 16 - 17 | 18 and Older | African American | Asian | Hispanic | Native American | Other/Unknown | White |
| Marion County - Current Jurisdiction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pre Adjudicatory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mandatory Minimum Sentence Law Violation | 10 | 2.2 % | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| New Law Violation | 225 | 50.3 % | 30 | 195 | 0 | 13 | 101 | 111 | 0 | 19 | 5 | 66 | 3 | 0 | 132 |
| Parole Violation | 16 | 3.6 % | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| Probation Violation | 178 | 39.8 % | 37 | 141 | 0 | 8 | 91 | 79 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 52 | 7 | 0 | 101 |
| Temporary Hold for Release Planning (36 Hour) | 1 | 0.2 % | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Violation of Conditional Release | 17 | 3.8 % | 0 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| Total Pre Adjudicatory | 447 | | 68 | 379 | 0 | 22 | 206 | 219 | 0 | 34 | 11 | 130 | 13 | 0 | 259 |
| % | | | 15.2 % | 84.8 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 46.1 | 49.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 2.5 | 29.1 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 57.9 |
| Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total | 48.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post Adjudicatory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Court Ordered (1-8 Day) Sanction | 249 | 87.7 % | 29 | 220 | 0 | 10 | 121 | 118 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 68 | 9 | 0 | 155 |
| Court Ordered Extended Detention Program | 34 | 12.0 % | 5 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 19 |
| Unauthorized Absence from OYA Close Custody | 1 | 0.4 % | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Post Adjudicatory | 284 | | 34 | 250 | 0 | 11 | 137 | 136 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 79 | 10 | 0 | 175 |
| % | | | 12.0 % | 88.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 48.2 | 47.9 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 27.8 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 61.6 |
| Post Adjudicatory % of County Total | 30.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Warrant and Other | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Warrant | 194 | 98.5 % | 53 | 141 | 0 | 1 | 80 | 112 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 63 | 8 | 2 | 99 |
| Other | 3 | 1.5 % | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Warrant and Other | 197 | | 53 | 144 | 0 | 1 | 80 | 115 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 65 | 8 | 2 | 100 |
| % | | | 26.9 % | 73.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 40.6 | 58.4 | 0.5 | 10.7 | 0.5 | 33.0 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 50.8 |
| Warrant and Other % of County Total | 21.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Admissions for Marion | 928 | | 155 | 773 | 0 | 34 | 423 | 470 | 1 | 69 | 18 | 274 | 31 | 2 | 534 |
| % | | | 16.7% | 83.3 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 45.6 | 50.6 | 0.1 | 7.4% | 1.9 | 29.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 57.5 |
| Marion % of Statewide Total | 8.5% | | 1.4% | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.6% | 0.2 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 4.9 |

| JJIS Detention Report - 2004 Length of Stay by Demographics | Total | % | Length of Stay | | Sex | | | Age at Admission | | | | Race/Ethnicity | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|
| | Total Admits | % of Admits | Days of Custody | Average LOS | Female | Male | Unknown | 12 and Younger | 13 - 15 | 16 - 17 | 18 and Older | African American | Asian | Hispanic | Native American | Other/Unknown | White |
| Marion County - Current Jurisdiction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pre Adjudicatory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 - 3 Days | 169 | 37.8 % | 337 | 2.0 | 22 | 147 | 0 | 9 | 76 | 84 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 106 |
| 4 - 8 Days | 106 | 23.7 % | 566 | 5.3 | 13 | 93 | 0 | 3 | 60 | 43 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 34 | 5 | 0 | 62 |
| 9 - 30 Days | 132 | 29.5 % | 2292 | 17.4 | 27 | 105 | 0 | 8 | 49 | 75 | 0 | 18 | 5 | 35 | 5 | 0 | 69 |
| 31 - 59 Days | 28 | 6.3 % | 1147 | 41.0 | 4 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
| 60 - 89 Days | 9 | 2.0 % | 667 | 74.1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 90+ Days | 3 | 0.7 % | 965 | 321.7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total Pre Adjudicatory | 447 | | 5974 | 13.4 | 66 | 381 | 0 | 21 | 208 | 218 | 0 | 33 | 11 | 128 | 13 | 0 | 262 |
| % | | | | | 14.8 % | 85.2 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 46.5 | 48.8 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 2.5 | 28.6 | 2.90 | 0.0 | 58.6 |
| Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total | | 48.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post Adjudicatory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 - 3 Days | 186 | 65.3 % | 361 | 1.9 | 23 | 163 | 0 | 6 | 96 | 84 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 54 | 6 | 0 | 115 |
| 4 - 8 Days | 60 | 21.1 % | 332 | 5.5 | 5 | 55 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 36 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 38 |
| 9 - 30 Days | 29 | 10.2 % | 429 | 14.8 | 4 | 25 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| 31 - 59 Days | 5 | 1.8 % | 172 | 34.4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 60 - 89 Days | 4 | 1.4 % | 303 | 75.8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 90+ Days | 1 | 0.4 % | 114 | 114.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Post Adjudicatory | 285 | | 1711 | 6.0 | 32 | 253 | 0 | 11 | 136 | 138 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 79 | 10 | 0 | 176 |
| % | | | | | 11.2 % | 88.8 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 47.7 | 48.4 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 27.7 | 3.50 | 0.0 | 61.8 |
| Post Adjudicatory % of County Total | | 30.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Warrant and Other | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 - 3 Days | 60 | 30.3 % | 103 | 1.7 | 20 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 33 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 33 |
| 4 - 8 Days | 42 | 21.2 % | 264 | 6.3 | 13 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 24 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 23 |
| 9 - 30 Days | 74 | 37.4 % | 1200 | 16.2 | 16 | 58 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 48 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 27 | 4 | 1 | 35 |
| 31 - 59 Days | 17 | 8.6 % | 702 | 41.3 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| 60 - 89 Days | 3 | 1.5 % | 214 | 71.3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 90+ Days | 2 | 1.0 % | 191 | 95.5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total Warrant and Other | 198 | | 2674 | 13.5 | 54 | 144 | 0 | 1 | 78 | 118 | 1 | 19 | 2 | 66 | 7 | 2 | 102 |
| % | | | | | 27.3 % | 72.7 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 39.4 | 59.6 | 0.5 | 9.6 | 1.0 | 33.3 | 3.50 | 1.0 | 51.5 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|-------|------|--------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|----------|-------------|
| Warrant and Other % of County Total | | 21.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Admissions for Marion % | 930 | | 10359 | 11.1 | 152 16.3% | 778 83.7 | 0 0.0 | 33 3.5 | 422 45.4 | 474 51.0 | 1 0.1 | 66 7.1 | 19 2.0 | 273 29.4 | 30 3.2 | 2 0.2 | 540 58.1 |
| Marion % of Statewide Total | | 8.5% | | | 1.4% | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 5.0 |

| JJIS | Total | % | Length of Stay | | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| | | | 1 - 3 Days | 4 - 8 Days | 9 - 30 Days | 31 - 59 Days | 60 - 89 Days | 90 + Days |
| Detention Report - 2004 Length of Stay by Admission Reasons | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Marion County - Current Jurisdiction</u> | | | | | | | | |
| Pre Adjudicatory | | | | | | | | |
| Mandatory Minimum Sentence Law Violation | 10 | 2.2 % | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| New Law Violation | 220 | 49.2 % | 81 | 41 | 74 | 16 | 7 | 1 |
| Parole Violation | 17 | 3.8 % | 9 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Probation Violation | 182 | 40.7 % | 66 | 53 | 51 | 9 | 2 | 1 |
| Temporary Hold for Release Planning (36 Hour) | 1 | 0.2 % | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Violation of Conditional Release | 17 | 3.8 % | 7 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Pre Adjudicatory | 447 | | 169 | 106 | 132 | 28 | 9 | 3 |
| % | | | 37.8% | 23.7 | 29.5 | 6.3 | 2.0 | 0.7 |
| Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total | 48.1% | | | | | | | |
| Post Adjudicatory | | | | | | | | |
| Court Ordered (1-8 Day) Sanction | 250 | 87.7 % | 183 | 54 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Court Ordered Extended Detention Program | 34 | 11.9 % | 3 | 6 | 20 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| Unauthorized Absence from OYA Close Custody | 1 | 0.4 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Post Adjudicatory | 285 | | 186 | 60 | 29 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| % | | | 65.3% | 21.1 | 10.2 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 0.4 |
| Post Adjudicatory % of County Total | 30.6% | | | | | | | |
| Warrant and Other | | | | | | | | |
| Warrant | 195 | 98.5 % | 59 | 41 | 73 | 17 | 3 | 2 |
| Other | 3 | 1.5 % | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Warrant and Other | 198 | | 60 | 42 | 74 | 17 | 3 | 2 |
| % | | | 30.3% | 21.2 | 37.4 | 8.6 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| Warrant and Other % of County Total | 21.3% | | | | | | | |
| Total Admissions for Marion | 930 | | 415 | 208 | 235 | 50 | 16 | 6 |
| % | | | 44.6% | 22.4 | 25.3 | 5.4 | 1.7 | 0.6 |
| Marion % of Statewide Total | 8.5% | | 3.8% | 1.9 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 |