

## County Juvenile Recidivism Reports

### 1998 - 2002 Data as Reported by Individual County

The following pages contain juvenile data as reported by Oregon's 36\* counties.

As with the statewide data, recidivism baseline data begin with an initial criminal referral occurring in any of the five years studied. Subsequent crime is tracked for 12 months following an initial referral.

Virtually all of the counties' recidivism data show a similar pattern. A small group of offenders commit a majority of new crimes. While the proportion of chronic offenders varied among counties, this pattern did not change by location.

\* The Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS) is the primary data source for the report. All Oregon counties are represented in JJIS.

## Baker County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	59	51	89	87	85	48.4	45.9	64.5	67.4	60.3
1	16	27	21	24	31	13.1	24.3	15.2	18.6	22.0
2	21	15	17	11	12	17.2	13.5	12.3	8.5	8.5
3	8	5	5	4	9	6.6	4.5	3.6	3.1	6.4
4	2	3	3	1	2	1.6	2.7	2.2	0.8	1.4
5	3	6	1	0	2	2.5	5.4	0.7	0.0	1.4
6 & Over	13	4	2	2	0	10.7	3.6	1.4	1.6	0.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	16	27	21	24	31	5.0	19.1	21.0	32.0	31.0
2	42	30	34	22	24	13.2	21.3	34.0	29.3	24.0
3	24	15	15	12	27	7.5	10.6	15.0	16.0	27.0
4	8	12	12	4	8	2.5	8.5	12.0	5.3	8.0
5	15	30	5	0	10	4.7	21.3	5.0	0.0	10.0
6 & Over	213	27	13	13	0	67.0	19.1	13.0	17.3	0.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>318</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 9 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- New referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 9 offenders committed 27.0% of the total new referrals.

## Baker County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders With 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	2016	122	60.5	59	29.3	37	18.4	26	12.9
1999	2030	111	54.7	51	25.1	42	20.7	18	8.9
2000	2030	138	68.0	89	43.8	38	18.7	11	5.4
2001	2015	129	64.0	87	43.2	35	17.4	7	3.5
2002	2107	141	66.9	85	40.3	43	20.4	13	6.2


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Benton County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	109	149	172	154	213	47.2	54.6	63.5	57.0	70.8
1	46	58	50	67	51	19.9	21.2	18.5	24.8	16.9
2	33	31	25	26	23	14.3	11.4	9.2	9.6	7.6
3	14	9	12	11	7	6.1	3.3	4.4	4.1	2.3
4	12	8	5	3	2	5.2	2.9	1.8	1.1	0.7
5	6	5	3	3	1	2.6	1.8	1.1	1.1	0.3
6 & Over	11	13	4	6	4	4.8	4.8	1.5	2.2	1.3
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>273</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	46	58	50	67	51	10.3	12.9	25.1	30.2	32.1
2	66	62	50	52	46	14.7	13.7	25.1	23.4	28.9
3	42	27	36	33	21	9.4	6.0	18.1	14.9	13.2
4	48	32	20	12	8	10.7	7.1	10.1	5.4	5.0
5	30	25	15	15	5	6.7	5.5	7.5	6.8	3.1
6 & Over	216	247	28	43	28	48.2	54.8	14.1	19.4	17.6
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>448</b>	<b>451</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

\* Prior to 2000, Benton data were compiled from the county information system. The conversion to JJIS could affect some findings.

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 7 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 7 offenders committed 13.2% of the total new referrals.

## Benton County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	7744	231	29.8	109	14.1	79	10.2	43	5.6
1999	7922	273	34.5	149	18.8	89	11.2	35	4.4
2000	9856	271	27.5	172	17.5	75	7.6	24	2.4
2001	9929	270	27.2	154	15.5	93	9.4	23	2.3
2002	8545	301	35.2	213	24.9	74	8.7	14	1.6


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Clackamas County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	1,108	1,086	1,188	1,044	1,031	71.8	69.3	66.9	71.8	75.3
1	268	290	399	269	228	17.4	18.5	22.5	18.5	16.6
2	93	94	116	80	73	6.0	6.0	6.5	5.5	5.3
3	33	37	38	29	18	2.1	2.4	2.1	2.0	1.3
4	18	30	16	14	11	1.2	1.9	0.9	1.0	0.8
5	5	13	6	12	6	0.3	0.8	0.3	0.8	0.4
6 & Over	18	17	14	6	3	1.2	1.1	0.8	0.4	0.2
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1,543</b>	<b>1,567</b>	<b>1,777</b>	<b>1,454</b>	<b>1,370</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	268	290	399	269	228	34.3	32.3	42.5	40.0	43.8
2	186	188	232	160	146	23.8	21.0	24.7	23.8	28.0
3	99	111	114	87	54	12.7	12.4	12.2	12.9	10.4
4	72	120	64	56	44	9.2	13.4	6.8	8.3	8.4
5	25	65	30	60	30	3.2	7.2	3.2	8.9	5.8
6 & Over	132	123	99	41	19	16.9	13.7	10.6	6.1	3.6
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>782</b>	<b>897</b>	<b>938</b>	<b>673</b>	<b>521</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 18 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002 18 offenders committed 10.4% of the total new referrals.

## Clackamas County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	40,097	1543	38.5	1108	27.6	361	9.0	74	1.8
1999	40,821	1567	38.4	1086	26.6	384	9.4	97	2.4
2000	40,999	1777	43.3	1188	29.0	515	12.6	74	1.8
2001	41,875	1454	34.7	1044	24.9	349	8.3	61	1.5
2002	43,502	1370	31.5	1031	23.7	301	6.9	38	0.9


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Clatsop County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	217	182	239	193	190	73.1	67.4	72.0	69.9	67.1
1	46	47	55	54	52	15.5	17.4	16.6	19.6	18.4
2	19	18	23	17	21	6.4	6.7	6.9	6.2	7.4
3	7	12	6	4	9	2.4	4.4	1.8	1.4	3.2
4	4	7	4	4	3	1.3	2.6	1.2	1.4	1.1
5	3	3	2	3	3	1.0	1.1	0.6	1.1	1.1
6 & Over	1	1	3	1	5	0.3	0.4	0.9	0.4	1.8
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>276</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	46	47	55	54	52	31.9	26.4	32.7	38.0	27.4
2	38	36	46	34	42	26.4	20.2	27.4	23.9	22.1
3	21	36	18	12	27	14.6	20.2	10.7	8.5	14.2
4	16	28	16	16	12	11.1	15.7	9.5	11.3	6.3
5	15	15	10	15	15	10.4	8.4	6.0	10.6	7.9
6 & Over	8	16	23	11	42	5.6	9.0	13.7	7.7	22.1
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 9 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 9 offenders committed 14.2% of the total new referrals.



## Clatsop County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	4132	297	71.9	217	52.5	65	15.7	15	3.6
1999	4166	270	64.8	182	43.7	65	15.6	23	5.5
2000	4256	332	78.0	239	56.2	78	18.3	15	3.5
2001	4271	276	64.6	193	45.2	71	16.6	12	2.8
2002	4319	283	65.5	190	44.0	73	16.9	20	4.6


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Columbia County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	230	209	201	268	221	64.6	68.5	65.3	66.2	67.2
1	71	61	54	82	65	19.9	20.0	17.5	20.2	19.8
2	22	23	23	29	20	6.2	7.5	7.5	7.2	6.1
3	20	6	13	14	14	5.6	2.0	4.2	3.5	4.3
4	5	4	11	4	5	1.4	1.3	3.6	1.0	1.5
5	8	2	4	6	1	2.2	0.7	1.3	1.5	0.3
6 & Over	0	0	2	2	3	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5	0.9
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>356</b>	<b>305</b>	<b>308</b>	<b>405</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	71	61	54	82	65	30.2	40.4	24.5	34.0	34.0
2	44	46	46	58	40	18.7	30.5	20.9	24.1	20.9
3	60	18	39	42	42	25.5	11.9	17.7	17.4	22.0
4	20	16	44	16	20	8.5	10.6	20.0	6.6	10.5
5	40	10	20	30	5	17.0	6.6	9.1	12.4	2.6
6 & Over	0	0	17	13	19	0.0	0.0	7.7	5.4	9.9
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 14 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 14 offenders committed 22.0% of the total new referrals.

## Columbia County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	6554	356	54.3	230	35.1	93	14.2	33	5.0
1999	5953	305	51.2	209	35.1	84	14.1	12	2.0
2000	5629	308	54.7	201	35.7	77	13.7	30	5.3
2001	5741	405	70.5	268	46.7	111	19.3	26	4.5
2002	6014	329	54.7	221	36.7	85	14.1	23	3.8


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Coos County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	359	255	312	261	234	58.8	54.5	70.6	73.9	69.2
1	129	123	81	53	49	21.1	26.3	18.3	15.0	14.5
2	43	51	28	21	27	7.0	10.9	6.3	5.9	8.0
3	34	20	11	9	15	5.6	4.3	2.5	2.5	4.4
4	24	8	4	2	5	3.9	1.7	0.9	0.6	1.5
5	10	4	3	5	2	1.6	0.9	0.7	1.4	0.6
6 & Over	12	7	3	2	6	2.0	1.5	0.7	0.6	1.8
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>611</b>	<b>468</b>	<b>442</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>338</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	129	123	81	53	49	23.2	31.2	36.8	31.7	21.4
2	86	102	56	42	54	15.4	25.9	25.5	25.1	23.6
3	102	60	33	27	45	18.3	15.2	15.0	16.2	19.7
4	96	32	16	8	20	17.2	8.1	7.3	4.8	8.7
5	50	20	15	25	10	9.0	5.1	6.8	15.0	4.4
6 & Over	94	57	19	12	51	16.9	14.5	8.6	7.2	22.3
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>557</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 15 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 15 offenders committed 19.7% of the total new referrals.

## Coos County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	6981	611	87.5	359	51.4	172	24.6	80	11.5
1999	7047	468	66.4	255	36.2	174	24.7	39	5.5
2000	7017	442	63.0	312	44.5	109	15.5	21	3.0
2001	6991	353	50.5	261	37.3	74	10.6	18	2.6
2002	7019	338	48.2	234	33.3	76	10.8	28	4.0


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Crook County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	11	22	105	88	86	57.9	47.8	64.4	62.4	54.4
1	5	14	32	32	35	26.3	30.4	19.6	22.7	22.2
2	1	5	13	11	17	5.3	10.9	8.0	7.8	10.8
3	2	4	8	7	5	10.5	8.7	4.9	5.0	3.2
4	0	1	2	1	10	0.0	2.2	1.2	0.7	6.3
5	0	0	1	1	2	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.7	1.3
6 & Over	0	0	2	1	3	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.7	1.9
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	5	14	32	32	35	38.5	35.0	29.4	35.6	21.3
2	2	10	26	22	34	15.4	25.0	23.9	24.4	20.7
3	6	12	24	21	15	46.2	30.0	22.0	23.3	9.1
4	0	4	8	4	40	0.0	10.0	7.3	4.4	24.4
5	0	0	5	5	10	0.0	0.0	4.6	5.6	6.1
6 & Over	0	0	14	6	30	0.0	0.0	12.8	6.7	18.3
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 5 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 5 offenders committed 9.1% of the total new referrals.

## Crook County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	2086	19	9.1	11	5.3	6	2.9	2	1.0
1999	2118	46	21.7	22	10.4	19	9.0	5	2.4
2000	2346	163	69.5	105	44.8	45	19.2	13	5.5
2001	2445	141	57.7	88	36.0	43	17.6	10	4.1
2002	2630	158	60.1	86	32.7	52	19.8	20	7.6


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Curry County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	141	122	100	109	86	62.9	63.2	62.1	70.3	64.2
1	41	40	33	26	32	18.3	20.7	20.5	16.8	23.9
2	22	15	14	11	7	9.8	7.8	8.7	7.1	5.2
3	9	5	4	3	3	4.0	2.6	2.5	1.9	2.2
4	1	7	5	0	2	0.4	3.6	3.1	0.0	1.5
5	2	1	1	5	1	0.9	0.5	0.6	3.2	0.7
6 & Over	8	3	4	1	3	3.6	1.6	2.5	0.6	2.2
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	41	40	33	26	32	21.8	27.8	26.8	29.2	35.2
2	44	30	28	22	14	23.4	20.8	22.8	24.7	15.4
3	27	15	12	9	9	14.4	10.4	9.8	10.1	9.9
4	4	28	20	0	8	2.1	19.4	16.3	0.0	8.8
5	10	5	5	25	5	5.3	3.5	4.1	28.1	5.5
6 & Over	62	26	25	7	23	33.0	18.1	20.3	7.9	25.3
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 3 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 3 offenders committed 9.9% of the total new referrals.



## Curry County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	2354	224	95.2	141	59.9	63	26.8	20	8.5
1999	2137	193	90.3	122	57.1	55	25.7	16	7.5
2000	2020	161	79.7	100	49.5	47	23.3	14	6.9
2001	2067	155	75.0	109	52.7	37	17.9	9	4.4
2002	2081	134	64.4	86	41.3	39	18.7	9	4.3


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Deschutes County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	450	498	583	718	698	49.1	50.3	56.2	63.0	68.9
1	222	241	252	264	185	24.2	24.3	24.3	23.2	18.3
2	109	119	104	78	70	11.9	12.0	10.0	6.8	6.9
3	56	59	51	40	40	6.1	6.0	4.9	3.5	3.9
4	37	33	25	22	12	4.0	3.3	2.4	1.9	1.2
5	20	14	8	8	2	2.2	1.4	0.8	0.7	0.2
6 & Over	23	27	14	9	6	2.5	2.7	1.4	0.8	0.6
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>917</b>	<b>991</b>	<b>1,037</b>	<b>1,139</b>	<b>1,013</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	222	241	252	264	185	21.5	22.9	29.8	36.0	33.7
2	218	238	208	156	140	21.1	22.6	24.6	21.3	25.5
3	168	177	153	120	120	16.3	16.8	18.1	16.3	21.9
4	148	132	100	88	48	14.4	12.5	11.8	12.0	8.7
5	100	70	40	40	10	9.7	6.6	4.7	5.4	1.8
6 & Over	175	196	93	66	46	17.0	18.6	11.0	9.0	8.4
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1,031</b>	<b>1,054</b>	<b>846</b>	<b>734</b>	<b>549</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 40 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 40 offenders committed 21.9% of the total new referrals.

## Deschutes County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	12,526	917	73.2	450	35.9	331	26.4	136	10.9
1999	12,775	991	77.6	498	39.0	360	28.2	133	10.4
2000	13,472	1037	77.0	583	43.3	356	26.4	98	7.3
2001	14,255	1139	79.9	718	50.4	342	24.0	79	5.5
2002	15,168	1013	66.8	698	46.0	255	16.8	60	4.0


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Douglas County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	570	523	515	435	532	62.8	60.3	64.5	62.2	67.3
1	176	182	128	137	163	19.4	21.0	16.0	19.6	20.6
2	75	76	69	60	60	8.3	8.8	8.6	8.6	7.6
3	38	35	42	33	18	4.2	4.0	5.3	4.7	2.3
4	18	17	23	15	8	2.0	2.0	2.9	2.1	1.0
5	8	18	8	7	2	0.9	2.1	1.0	1.0	0.3
6 & Over	22	16	14	12	8	2.4	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>907</b>	<b>867</b>	<b>799</b>	<b>699</b>	<b>791</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	176	182	128	137	163	22.9	24.5	19.4	24.6	35.8
2	150	152	138	120	120	19.5	20.4	20.9	21.6	26.4
3	114	105	126	99	54	14.8	14.1	19.1	17.8	11.9
4	72	68	92	60	32	9.4	9.1	14.0	10.8	7.0
5	40	90	40	35	10	5.2	12.1	6.1	6.3	2.2
6 & Over	216	147	135	105	76	28.1	19.8	20.5	18.9	16.7
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>768</b>	<b>744</b>	<b>659</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>455</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 18 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 18 offenders committed 11.9% of the total new referrals.

## Douglas County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	12,219	907	74.2	570	46.6	251	20.5	86	7.0
1999	12,417	867	69.8	523	42.1	258	20.8	86	6.9
2000	11,770	799	67.9	515	43.8	197	16.7	87	7.4
2001	11,842	699	59.0	435	36.7	197	16.6	67	5.7
2002	12,069	791	65.5	532	44.1	223	18.5	36	3.0


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Gilliam County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	1	2	6	4	15	100.0	66.7	85.7	50.0	88.2
1	0	1	0	4	1	0.0	33.3	0.0	50.0	5.9
2	0	0	1	0	1	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	5.9
3	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 & Over	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	0	1	0	4	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	33.3
2	0	0	2	0	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	66.7
3	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 & Over	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 15 offenders had no new referrals.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 1 offender committed 66.7% of the total new referrals.

## Gilliam County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	257	1	3.9	1	3.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
1999	262	3	11.5	2	7.6	1	3.8	0	0.0
2000	220	7	31.8	6	27.3	1	4.5	0	0.0
2001	218	8	36.7	4	18.3	4	18.3	0	0.0
2002	228	17	74.6	15	65.8	2	8.8	0	0.0


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Grant County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	15	36	25	31	45	78.9	72.0	62.5	66.0	76.3
1	3	6	9	6	12	15.8	12.0	22.5	12.8	20.3
2	0	6	3	7	0	0.0	12.0	7.5	14.9	0.0
3	1	1	2	2	2	5.3	2.0	5.0	4.3	3.4
4	0	0	0	1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0
5	0	1	0	0	0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 & Over	0	0	1	0	0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	3	6	9	6	12	50.0	23.1	33.3	20.0	66.7
2	0	12	6	14	0	0.0	46.2	22.2	46.7	0.0
3	3	3	6	6	6	50.0	11.5	22.2	20.0	33.3
4	0	0	0	4	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0
5	0	5	0	0	0	0.0	19.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 & Over	0	0	6	0	0	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 2 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 2 offenders committed 33.3% of the total new referrals.



## Grant County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	1081	19	17.6	15	13.9	3	2.8	1	0.9
1999	991	50	50.5	36	36.3	12	12.1	2	2.0
2000	965	40	41.5	25	25.9	12	12.4	3	3.1
2001	945	47	49.7	31	32.8	13	13.8	3	3.2
2002	987	59	59.8	45	45.6	12	12.2	2	2.0


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Harney County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	8	21	27	36	44	66.7	61.8	57.4	69.2	72.1
1	1	9	14	10	13	8.3	26.5	29.8	19.2	21.3
2	3	1	3	2	2	25.0	2.9	6.4	3.8	3.3
3	0	1	1	1	2	0.0	2.9	2.1	1.9	3.3
4	0	0	2	0	0	0.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0
5	0	1	0	2	0	0.0	2.9	0.0	3.8	0.0
6 & Over	0	1	0	1	0	0.0	2.9	0.0	1.9	0.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	1	9	14	10	13	14.3	33.3	45.2	30.3	56.5
2	6	2	6	4	4	85.7	7.4	19.4	12.1	17.4
3	0	3	3	3	6	0.0	11.1	9.7	9.1	26.1
4	0	0	8	0	0	0.0	0.0	25.8	0.0	0.0
5	0	5	0	10	0	0.0	18.5	0.0	30.3	0.0
6 & Over	0	8	0	6	0	0.0	29.6	0.0	18.2	0.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 2 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 2 offenders committed 26.1% of the total new referrals.

## Harney County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	1051	12	11.4	8	7.6	4	3.8	0	0.0
1999	985	34	34.5	21	21.3	10	10.2	3	3.0
2000	946	47	49.7	27	28.5	17	18.0	3	3.2
2001	938	52	55.4	36	38.4	12	12.8	4	4.3
2002	982	61	62.1	44	44.8	15	15.3	2	2.0


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Hood River County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	14	33	86	99	99	46.7	50.8	77.5	77.3	78.0
1	7	12	13	18	17	23.3	18.5	11.7	14.1	13.4
2	3	8	6	4	6	10.0	12.3	5.4	3.1	4.7
3	2	8	4	3	4	6.7	12.3	3.6	2.3	3.1
4	3	2	2	2	1	10.0	3.1	1.8	1.6	0.8
5	1	2	0	0	0	3.3	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 & Over	0	0	0	2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	7	12	13	18	17	19.4	17.1	28.9	32.7	37.8
2	6	16	12	8	12	16.7	22.9	26.7	14.5	26.7
3	6	24	12	9	12	16.7	34.3	26.7	16.4	26.7
4	12	8	8	8	4	33.3	11.4	17.8	14.5	8.9
5	5	10	0	0	0	13.9	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 & Over	0	0	0	12	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.8	0.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 4 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 4 offenders committed 26.7% of the total new referrals.

## Hood River County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	2582	30	11.6	14	5.4	10	3.9	6	2.3
1999	2488	65	26.1	33	13.3	20	8.0	12	4.8
2000	2522	111	44.0	86	34.1	19	7.5	6	2.4
2001	2522	128	50.8	99	39.3	22	8.7	7	2.8
2002	2550	127	49.8	99	38.8	23	9.0	5	2.0


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Jackson County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	1,191	1,073	1,074	1,085	958	64.4	63.5	64.2	64.7	64.1
1	341	316	341	320	299	18.5	18.7	20.4	19.1	20.0
2	146	161	109	131	114	7.9	9.5	6.5	7.8	7.6
3	75	53	58	72	58	4.1	3.1	3.5	4.3	3.9
4	47	31	36	32	20	2.5	1.8	2.2	1.9	1.3
5	20	21	21	8	11	1.1	1.2	1.3	0.5	0.7
6 & Over	28	34	34	29	34	1.5	2.0	2.0	1.7	2.3
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1,848</b>	<b>1,689</b>	<b>1,673</b>	<b>1,677</b>	<b>1,494</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	341	316	341	320	299	24.6	23.3	25.1	26.4	25.9
2	292	322	218	262	228	21.1	23.8	16.1	21.6	19.8
3	225	159	174	216	174	16.3	11.7	12.8	17.8	15.1
4	188	124	144	128	80	13.6	9.2	10.6	10.6	6.9
5	100	105	105	40	55	7.2	7.8	7.7	3.3	4.8
6 & Over	238	328	375	246	317	17.2	24.2	27.6	20.3	27.5
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1,384</b>	<b>1,354</b>	<b>1,357</b>	<b>1,212</b>	<b>1,153</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 58 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 58 offenders committed 15.1% of the total new referrals.

## Jackson County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	21,337	1848	86.6	1191	55.8	487	22.8	170	8.0
1999	20,264	1689	83.3	1073	53.0	477	23.5	139	6.9
2000	20,995	1673	79.7	1074	51.2	450	21.4	149	7.1
2001	21,398	1677	78.4	1085	50.7	451	21.1	141	6.6
2002	22,193	1494	67.3	958	43.2	413	18.6	123	5.5


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Jefferson County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	154	123	173	152	141	62.3	57.7	64.6	72.4	73.1
1	51	53	58	37	30	20.6	24.9	21.6	17.6	15.5
2	26	22	23	10	17	10.5	10.3	8.6	4.8	8.8
3	5	9	7	8	5	2.0	4.2	2.6	3.8	2.6
4	5	2	3	2	0	2.0	0.9	1.1	1.0	0.0
5	1	1	2	0	0	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.0	0.0
6 & Over	5	3	2	1	0	2.0	1.4	0.7	0.5	0.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	51	53	58	37	30	29.1	34.0	35.6	38.9	38.0
2	52	44	46	20	34	29.7	28.2	28.2	21.1	43.0
3	15	27	21	24	15	8.6	17.3	12.9	25.3	19.0
4	20	8	12	8	0	11.4	5.1	7.4	8.4	0.0
5	5	5	10	0	0	2.9	3.2	6.1	0.0	0.0
6 & Over	32	19	16	6	0	18.3	12.2	9.8	6.3	0.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 5 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 5 offenders committed 19.0% of the total new referrals.



## Jefferson County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	2394	247	103.2	154	64.3	77	32.2	16	6.7
1999	2218	213	96.0	123	55.5	75	33.8	15	6.8
2000	2539	268	105.6	173	68.1	81	31.9	14	5.5
2001	2554	210	82.2	152	59.5	47	18.4	11	4.3
2002	2713	193	71.1	141	52.0	47	17.3	5	1.8


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Josephine County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	310	306	281	280	309	64.3	62.2	68.4	73.3	71.4
1	92	95	73	69	77	19.1	19.3	17.8	18.1	17.8
2	38	43	29	13	17	7.9	8.7	7.1	3.4	3.9
3	16	19	8	5	11	3.3	3.9	1.9	1.3	2.5
4	7	8	11	5	6	1.5	1.6	2.7	1.3	1.4
5	9	9	3	3	4	1.9	1.8	0.7	0.8	0.9
6 & Over	10	12	6	7	9	2.1	2.4	1.5	1.8	2.1
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>492</b>	<b>411</b>	<b>382</b>	<b>433</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	92	95	73	69	77	25.8	21.0	27.2	27.2	30.3
2	76	86	58	26	34	21.3	19.0	21.6	10.2	13.4
3	48	57	24	15	33	13.5	12.6	9.0	5.9	13.0
4	28	32	44	20	24	7.9	7.1	16.4	7.9	9.4
5	45	45	15	15	20	12.6	10.0	5.6	5.9	7.9
6 & Over	67	137	54	109	66	18.8	30.3	20.1	42.9	26.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>356</b>	<b>452</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 11 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 11 offenders committed 13.0% of the total new referrals.

## Josephine County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	8278	482	58.2	310	37.4	130	15.7	42	5.1
1999	8370	492	58.8	306	36.6	138	16.5	48	5.7
2000	8433	411	48.7	281	33.3	102	12.1	28	3.3
2001	8548	382	44.7	280	32.8	82	9.6	20	2.3
2002	8936	433	48.5	309	34.6	94	10.5	30	3.4


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Klamath County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	370	355	353	339	308	64.5	64.9	65.1	64.3	62.6
1	129	118	103	95	116	22.5	21.6	19.0	18.0	23.6
2	42	38	47	56	44	7.3	6.9	8.7	10.6	8.9
3	22	19	18	20	14	3.8	3.5	3.3	3.8	2.8
4	7	11	8	9	5	1.2	2.0	1.5	1.7	1.0
5	2	3	7	3	1	0.3	0.5	1.3	0.6	0.2
6 & Over	2	3	6	5	4	0.3	0.5	1.1	0.9	0.8
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>574</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>542</b>	<b>527</b>	<b>492</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	129	118	103	95	116	38.9	36.0	28.0	27.1	38.9
2	84	76	94	112	88	25.3	23.2	25.5	31.9	29.5
3	66	57	54	60	42	19.9	17.4	14.7	17.1	14.1
4	28	44	32	36	20	8.4	13.4	8.7	10.3	6.7
5	10	15	35	15	5	3.0	4.6	9.5	4.3	1.7
6 & Over	15	18	50	33	27	4.5	5.5	13.6	9.4	9.1
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>328</b>	<b>368</b>	<b>351</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 14 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 14 offenders committed 14.1% of the total new referrals.

## Klamath County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	7659	574	74.9	370	48.3	171	22.3	33	4.3
1999	7728	547	70.8	355	45.9	156	20.2	36	4.7
2000	7646	542	70.9	353	46.2	150	19.6	39	5.1
2001	7668	527	68.7	339	44.2	151	19.7	37	4.8
2002	7809	492	63.0	308	39.4	160	20.5	24	3.1


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Lake County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	28	31	54	47	42	70.0	56.4	73.0	75.8	76.4
1	8	13	9	12	9	20.0	23.6	12.2	19.4	16.4
2	2	6	6	1	1	5.0	10.9	8.1	1.6	1.8
3	2	3	4	1	1	5.0	5.5	5.4	1.6	1.8
4	0	1	0	1	0	0.0	1.8	0.0	1.6	0.0
5	0	1	1	0	1	0.0	1.8	1.4	0.0	1.8
6 & Over	0	0	0	0	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	8	13	9	12	9	44.4	30.2	23.7	57.1	34.6
2	4	12	12	2	2	22.2	27.9	31.6	9.5	7.7
3	6	9	12	3	3	33.3	20.9	31.6	14.3	11.5
4	0	4	0	4	0	0.0	9.3	0.0	19.0	0.0
5	0	5	5	0	5	0.0	11.6	13.2	0.0	19.2
6 & Over	0	0	0	0	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.9
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 9 offenders had 1 new referral each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 9 offenders committed 34.6% of the total new referrals.

## Lake County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	1090	40	36.7	28	25.7	10	9.2	2	1.8
1999	979	55	56.2	31	31.7	19	19.4	5	5.1
2000	907	74	81.6	54	59.5	15	16.5	5	5.5
2001	911	62	68.1	47	51.6	13	14.3	2	2.2
2002	980	55	56.1	42	42.9	10	10.2	3	3.1


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Lane County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	1,127	1,160	1,135	1,075	1,152	61.8	64.4	65.7	64.9	70.8
1	329	345	317	332	264	18.0	19.2	18.3	20.0	16.2
2	169	126	130	124	109	9.3	7.0	7.5	7.5	6.7
3	76	80	51	58	50	4.2	4.4	3.0	3.5	3.1
4	46	46	45	30	18	2.5	2.6	2.6	1.8	1.1
5	36	12	13	15	12	2.0	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.7
6 & Over	42	32	37	23	22	2.3	1.8	2.1	1.4	1.4
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1,825</b>	<b>1,801</b>	<b>1,728</b>	<b>1,657</b>	<b>1,627</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	329	345	317	332	264	19.7	24.8	23.4	29.2	27.8
2	338	252	260	248	218	20.2	18.1	19.2	21.8	23.0
3	228	240	153	174	150	13.6	17.2	11.3	15.3	15.8
4	184	184	180	120	72	11.0	13.2	13.3	10.6	7.6
5	180	60	65	75	60	10.8	4.3	4.8	6.6	6.3
6 & Over	415	311	381	187	185	24.8	22.3	28.1	16.5	19.5
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1,674</b>	<b>1,392</b>	<b>1,356</b>	<b>1,136</b>	<b>949</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 50 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 50 offenders committed 15.8% of the total new referrals.



## Lane County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	34,612	1825	52.7	1127	32.6	498	14.4	200	5.8
1999	35,155	1801	51.2	1160	33.0	471	13.4	170	4.8
2000	36,623	1728	47.2	1135	31.0	447	12.2	146	4.0
2001	36,845	1657	45.0	1075	29.2	456	12.4	126	3.4
2002	36,161	1627	45.0	1152	31.9	373	10.3	102	2.8


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Lincoln County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	235	229	210	200	167	61.4	65.6	67.1	62.9	69.9
1	93	61	59	62	42	24.3	17.5	18.8	19.5	17.6
2	24	28	22	31	10	6.3	8.0	7.0	9.7	4.2
3	14	16	9	10	5	3.7	4.6	2.9	3.1	2.1
4	6	8	4	6	5	1.6	2.3	1.3	1.9	2.1
5	5	5	5	2	4	1.3	1.4	1.6	0.6	1.7
6 & Over	6	2	4	7	6	1.6	0.6	1.3	2.2	2.5
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>383</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>313</b>	<b>318</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	93	61	59	62	42	28.9	24.5	28.9	25.5	23.2
2	48	56	44	62	20	14.9	22.5	21.6	25.5	11.0
3	42	48	27	30	15	13.0	19.3	13.2	12.3	8.3
4	24	32	16	24	20	7.5	12.9	7.8	9.9	11.0
5	25	25	25	10	20	7.8	10.0	12.3	4.1	11.0
6 & Over	90	27	33	55	64	28.0	10.8	16.2	22.6	35.4
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 10 offenders had 2 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 10 offenders committed 11.0% of the total new referrals.

## Lincoln County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	4890	383	78.3	235	48.1	117	23.9	31	6.3
1999	4521	349	77.2	229	50.7	89	19.7	31	6.9
2000	4734	313	66.1	210	44.4	81	17.1	22	4.6
2001	4721	318	67.4	200	42.4	93	19.7	25	5.3
2002	4927	239	48.5	167	33.9	52	10.6	20	4.1


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Linn County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	518	437	428	458	413	66.5	68.4	67.5	69.3	68.6
1	151	107	123	125	115	19.4	16.7	19.4	18.9	19.1
2	58	54	49	49	45	7.4	8.5	7.7	7.4	7.5
3	29	20	21	14	13	3.7	3.1	3.3	2.1	2.2
4	10	10	6	5	10	1.3	1.6	0.9	0.8	1.7
5	3	3	2	2	2	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3
6 & Over	10	8	5	8	4	1.3	1.3	0.8	1.2	0.7
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>779</b>	<b>639</b>	<b>634</b>	<b>661</b>	<b>602</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	151	107	123	125	115	31.9	26.7	30.5	29.9	35.4
2	116	108	98	98	90	24.5	26.9	24.3	23.4	27.7
3	87	60	63	42	39	18.4	15.0	15.6	10.0	12.0
4	40	40	24	20	40	8.4	10.0	6.0	4.8	12.3
5	15	15	10	10	10	3.2	3.7	2.5	2.4	3.1
6 & Over	65	71	85	123	31	13.7	17.7	21.1	29.4	9.5
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>474</b>	<b>401</b>	<b>403</b>	<b>418</b>	<b>325</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 13 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 13 offenders committed 12.0% of the total new referrals.

## Linn County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	12,420	779	62.7	518	41.7	209	16.8	52	4.2
1999	12,559	639	50.9	437	34.8	161	12.8	41	3.3
2000	12,273	634	51.7	428	34.9	172	14.0	34	2.8
2001	12,255	661	53.9	458	37.4	174	14.2	29	2.4
2002	12,522	602	48.1	413	33.0	160	12.8	29	2.3


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Malheur County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	310	262	277	196	291	64.2	69.9	63.8	59.6	64.8
1	95	46	72	60	85	19.7	12.3	16.6	18.2	18.9
2	32	27	28	28	36	6.6	7.2	6.5	8.5	8.0
3	19	12	16	15	12	3.9	3.2	3.7	4.6	2.7
4	12	6	9	7	10	2.5	1.6	2.1	2.1	2.2
5	2	5	14	7	5	0.4	1.3	3.2	2.1	1.1
6 & Over	13	17	18	16	10	2.7	4.5	4.1	4.9	2.2
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>483</b>	<b>375</b>	<b>434</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>449</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	95	46	72	60	85	25.2	14.1	16.1	16.0	25.4
2	64	54	56	56	72	17.0	16.6	12.6	14.9	21.6
3	57	36	48	45	36	15.1	11.0	10.8	12.0	10.8
4	48	24	36	28	40	12.7	7.4	8.1	7.5	12.0
5	10	25	70	35	25	2.7	7.7	15.7	9.3	7.5
6 & Over	103	141	164	151	76	27.3	43.3	36.8	40.3	22.8
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>377</b>	<b>326</b>	<b>446</b>	<b>375</b>	<b>334</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 12 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 12 offenders committed 10.8% of the total new referrals.

## Malheur County


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	4137	483	116.8	310	74.9	127	30.7	46	11.1
1999	4421	375	84.8	262	59.3	73	16.5	40	9.0
2000	3922	434	110.7	277	70.6	100	25.5	57	14.5
2001	3937	329	83.6	196	49.8	88	22.4	45	11.4
2002	3902	449	115.1	291	74.6	121	31.0	37	9.5


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Marion County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	1,382	1,291	1,396	1,259	1,344	65.1	63.3	66.5	64.3	64.8
1	405	413	410	409	430	19.1	20.3	19.5	20.9	20.7
2	163	167	149	134	171	7.7	8.2	7.1	6.8	8.2
3	70	79	84	86	63	3.3	3.9	4.0	4.4	3.0
4	52	38	33	31	29	2.5	1.9	1.6	1.6	1.4
5	18	25	18	16	16	0.8	1.2	0.9	0.8	0.8
6 & Over	32	25	10	23	22	1.5	1.2	0.5	1.2	1.1
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>2,122</b>	<b>2,038</b>	<b>2,100</b>	<b>1,958</b>	<b>2,075</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	405	413	410	409	430	26.9	28.5	32.7	30.7	32.5
2	326	334	298	268	342	21.7	23.1	23.8	20.1	25.9
3	210	237	252	258	189	14.0	16.4	20.1	19.3	14.3
4	208	152	132	124	116	13.8	10.5	10.5	9.3	8.8
5	90	125	90	80	80	6.0	8.6	7.2	6.0	6.1
6 & Over	265	186	71	195	165	17.6	12.9	5.7	14.6	12.5
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1,504</b>	<b>1,447</b>	<b>1,253</b>	<b>1,334</b>	<b>1,322</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 63 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 63 offenders committed 14.3% of the total new referrals.



## Marion County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	32,894	2122	64.5	1382	42.0	568	17.3	172	5.2
1999	33,457	2038	60.9	1291	38.6	580	17.3	167	5.0
2000	34,553	2100	60.8	1396	40.4	559	16.2	145	4.2
2001	34,742	1958	56.4	1259	36.2	543	15.6	156	4.5
2002	35,023	2075	59.2	1344	38.4	601	17.2	130	3.7


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Morrow County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	67	56	71	66	66	56.3	53.3	58.7	57.4	64.1
1	19	22	31	24	22	16.0	21.0	25.6	20.9	21.4
2	11	10	6	11	6	9.2	9.5	5.0	9.6	5.8
3	9	8	3	3	6	7.6	7.6	2.5	2.6	5.8
4	5	2	3	4	1	4.2	1.9	2.5	3.5	1.0
5	2	3	2	2	0	1.7	2.9	1.7	1.7	0.0
6 & Over	6	4	5	5	2	5.0	3.8	4.1	4.3	1.9
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	19	22	31	24	22	13.2	18.6	28.7	20.5	31.4
2	22	20	12	22	12	15.3	16.9	11.1	18.8	17.1
3	27	24	9	9	18	18.8	20.3	8.3	7.7	25.7
4	20	8	12	16	4	13.9	6.8	11.1	13.7	5.7
5	10	15	10	10	0	6.9	12.7	9.3	8.5	0.0
6 & Over	46	29	34	36	14	31.9	24.6	31.5	30.8	20.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 6 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 6 offenders committed 25.7% of the total new referrals.

## Morrow County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	1532	119	77.7	67	43.7	30	19.6	22	14.4
1999	1486	105	70.7	56	37.7	32	21.5	17	11.4
2000	1454	121	83.2	71	48.8	37	25.4	13	8.9
2001	1483	115	77.5	66	44.5	35	23.6	14	9.4
2002	1488	103	69.2	66	44.4	28	18.8	9	6.0


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Multnomah County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	2,383	2,095	1,987	1,767	1,779	61.7	63.0	62.1	61.5	63.6
1	820	623	615	644	564	21.2	18.7	19.2	22.4	20.2
2	306	298	248	215	223	7.9	9.0	7.7	7.5	8.0
3	158	145	122	117	107	4.1	4.4	3.8	4.1	3.8
4	90	57	102	56	51	2.3	1.7	3.2	2.0	1.8
5	36	42	54	39	28	0.9	1.3	1.7	1.4	1.0
6 & Over	69	63	73	33	46	1.8	1.9	2.3	1.1	1.6
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>3,862</b>	<b>3,323</b>	<b>3,201</b>	<b>2,871</b>	<b>2,798</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	820	623	615	644	564	27.5	24.6	22.5	31.0	28.0
2	612	596	496	430	446	20.5	23.5	18.1	20.7	22.1
3	474	435	366	351	321	15.9	17.2	13.4	16.9	15.9
4	360	228	408	224	204	12.1	9.0	14.9	10.8	10.1
5	180	210	270	195	140	6.0	8.3	9.9	9.4	7.0
6 & Over	540	441	583	235	339	18.1	17.4	21.3	11.3	16.8
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>2,986</b>	<b>2,533</b>	<b>2,738</b>	<b>2,079</b>	<b>2,014</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 107 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 107 offenders committed 15.9% of the total new referrals.

## Multnomah County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	64,293	3862	60.1	2383	37.1	1126	17.5	353	5.5
1999	65,152	3323	51.0	2095	32.2	921	14.1	307	4.7
2000	65,078	3201	49.2	1987	30.5	863	13.3	351	5.4
2001	65,050	2871	44.1	1767	27.2	859	13.2	245	3.8
2002	64,735	2798	43.2	1779	27.5	787	12.2	232	3.6


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Polk County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	288	268	310	303	258	65.8	67.2	67.4	65.3	63.7
1	86	78	85	89	81	19.6	19.5	18.5	19.2	20.0
2	32	31	29	40	30	7.3	7.8	6.3	8.6	7.4
3	19	12	17	15	19	4.3	3.0	3.7	3.2	4.7
4	6	4	6	11	6	1.4	1.0	1.3	2.4	1.5
5	3	1	6	3	3	0.7	0.3	1.3	0.6	0.7
6 & Over	4	5	7	3	8	0.9	1.3	1.5	0.6	2.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>438</b>	<b>399</b>	<b>460</b>	<b>464</b>	<b>405</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	86	78	85	89	81	31.7	34.1	27.8	30.4	25.6
2	64	62	58	80	60	23.6	27.1	19.0	27.3	18.9
3	57	36	51	45	57	21.0	15.7	16.7	15.4	18.0
4	24	16	24	44	24	8.9	7.0	7.8	15.0	7.6
5	15	5	30	15	15	5.5	2.2	9.8	5.1	4.7
6 & Over	25	32	58	20	80	9.2	14.0	19.0	6.8	25.2
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>306</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 19 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 19 offenders committed 18.0% of the total new referrals.

## Polk County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	7325	438	59.8	288	39.3	118	16.1	32	4.4
1999	7518	399	53.1	268	35.6	109	14.5	22	2.9
2000	7888	460	58.3	310	39.3	114	14.5	36	4.6
2001	8077	464	57.4	303	37.5	129	16.0	32	4.0
2002	7929	405	51.1	258	32.5	111	14.0	36	4.5


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Sherman County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	1	0	5	6	3	100.0	0.0	71.4	85.7	42.9
1	0	0	2	1	3	0.0	0.0	28.6	14.3	42.9
2	0	0	0	0	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3
3	0	1	0	0	0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 & Over	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	0	0	2	1	3	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	60.0
2	0	0	0	0	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0
3	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 & Over	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 1 offender had 2 new referrals.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 1 offender committed 40.0% of the total new referrals.



## Sherman County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	304	1	3.3	1	3.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
1999	251	1	4.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
2000	275	7	25.5	5	18.2	2	7.3	0	0.0
2001	266	7	26.3	6	22.6	1	3.8	0	0.0
2002	262	7	26.7	3	11.5	4	15.3	0	0.0


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Tillamook County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	123	138	116	153	124	55.2	67.0	57.1	64.8	56.9
1	56	35	46	46	38	25.1	17.0	22.7	19.5	17.4
2	19	19	19	18	27	8.5	9.2	9.4	7.6	12.4
3	11	2	6	9	9	4.9	1.0	3.0	3.8	4.1
4	7	3	3	0	4	3.1	1.5	1.5	0.0	1.8
5	3	1	1	4	6	1.3	0.5	0.5	1.7	2.8
6 & Over	4	8	12	6	10	1.8	3.9	5.9	2.5	4.6
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	56	35	46	46	38	28.0	22.0	21.3	26.6	15.6
2	38	38	38	36	54	19.0	23.9	17.6	20.8	22.2
3	33	6	18	27	27	16.5	3.8	8.3	15.6	11.1
4	28	12	12	0	16	14.0	7.5	5.6	0.0	6.6
5	15	5	5	20	30	7.5	3.1	2.3	11.6	12.3
6 & Over	30	63	97	44	78	15.0	39.6	44.9	25.4	32.1
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 9 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 9 offenders committed 11.1% of the total new referrals.

## Tillamook County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	2616	223	85.2	123	47.0	75	28.7	25	9.6
1999	2675	206	77.0	138	51.6	54	20.2	14	5.2
2000	2633	203	77.1	116	44.1	65	24.7	22	8.4
2001	2656	236	88.9	153	57.6	64	24.1	19	7.2
2002	2712	218	80.4	124	45.7	65	24.0	29	10.7


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Umatilla County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	421	430	431	435	430	58.1	61.3	63.7	64.9	69.0
1	140	150	129	119	96	19.3	21.4	19.1	17.8	15.4
2	82	46	48	54	53	11.3	6.6	7.1	8.1	8.5
3	36	28	27	27	24	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.9
4	19	21	17	17	6	2.6	3.0	2.5	2.5	1.0
5	17	13	14	5	9	2.3	1.9	2.1	0.7	1.4
6 & Over	10	13	11	13	5	1.4	1.9	1.6	1.9	0.8
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>725</b>	<b>701</b>	<b>677</b>	<b>670</b>	<b>623</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	140	150	129	119	96	21.1	26.5	24.2	24.3	25.6
2	164	92	96	108	106	24.8	16.2	18.0	22.0	28.3
3	108	84	81	81	72	16.3	14.8	15.2	16.5	19.2
4	76	84	68	68	24	11.5	14.8	12.8	13.9	6.4
5	85	65	70	25	45	12.8	11.5	13.2	5.1	12.0
6 & Over	89	92	88	89	32	13.4	16.2	16.5	18.2	8.5
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>662</b>	<b>567</b>	<b>532</b>	<b>490</b>	<b>375</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 24 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 24 offenders committed 19.2% of the total new referrals.

## Umatilla County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	8675	725	83.6	421	48.5	222	25.6	82	9.5
1999	8874	701	79.0	430	48.5	196	22.1	75	8.5
2000	8694	677	77.9	431	49.6	177	20.4	69	7.9
2001	8706	670	77.0	435	50.0	173	19.9	62	7.1
2002	8775	623	71.0	430	49.0	149	17.0	44	5.0


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Union County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	128	148	82	143	114	65.0	64.1	61.2	79.4	77.0
1	38	52	36	24	19	19.3	22.5	26.9	13.3	12.8
2	21	22	6	9	11	10.7	9.5	4.5	5.0	7.4
3	6	3	3	3	3	3.0	1.3	2.2	1.7	2.0
4	2	1	4	1	0	1.0	0.4	3.0	0.6	0.0
5	1	4	1	0	0	0.5	1.7	0.7	0.0	0.0
6 & Over	1	1	2	0	1	0.5	0.4	1.5	0.0	0.7
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	38	52	36	24	19	31.7	38.5	39.1	43.6	33.9
2	42	44	12	18	22	35.0	32.6	13.0	32.7	39.3
3	18	9	9	9	9	15.0	6.7	9.8	16.4	16.1
4	8	4	16	4	0	6.7	3.0	17.4	7.3	0.0
5	5	20	5	0	0	4.2	14.8	5.4	0.0	0.0
6 & Over	9	6	14	0	6	7.5	4.4	15.2	0.0	10.7
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 3 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 3 offenders committed 16.1% of the total new referrals.

## Union County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	3239	197	60.8	128	39.5	59	18.2	10	3.1
1999	3266	231	70.7	148	45.3	74	22.7	9	2.8
2000	3110	134	43.1	82	26.4	42	13.5	10	3.2
2001	3098	180	58.1	143	46.2	33	10.7	4	1.3
2002	3047	148	48.6	114	37.4	30	9.8	4	1.3


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Wallowa County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	20	30	37	26	22	71.4	75.0	75.5	63.4	66.7
1	3	5	9	12	6	10.7	12.5	18.4	29.3	18.2
2	1	3	0	2	4	3.6	7.5	0.0	4.9	12.1
3	3	0	0	1	1	10.7	0.0	0.0	2.4	3.0
4	1	0	1	0	0	3.6	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
5	0	1	0	0	0	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 & Over	0	1	2	0	0	0.0	2.5	4.1	0.0	0.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	3	5	9	12	6	16.7	17.2	27.3	63.2	35.3
2	2	6	0	4	8	11.1	20.7	0.0	21.1	47.1
3	9	0	0	3	3	50.0	0.0	0.0	15.8	17.6
4	4	0	4	0	0	22.2	0.0	12.1	0.0	0.0
5	0	5	0	0	0	0.0	17.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 & Over	0	13	20	0	0	0.0	44.8	60.6	0.0	0.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 4 offenders had 2 new referrals each.
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- New referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 4 offenders committed 47.1% of the total new referrals.



## Wallowa County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	961	28	29.1	20	20.8	4	4.2	4	4.2
1999	906	40	44.2	30	33.1	8	8.8	2	2.2
2000	919	49	53.3	37	40.3	9	9.8	3	3.3
2001	898	41	45.7	26	29.0	14	15.6	1	1.1
2002	972	33	34.0	22	22.6	10	10.3	1	1.0


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Wasco County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	179	164	130	135	139	66.1	63.3	66.7	67.5	69.2
1	51	52	40	40	46	18.8	20.1	20.5	20.0	22.9
2	22	24	12	14	12	8.1	9.3	6.2	7.0	6.0
3	13	6	4	9	2	4.8	2.3	2.1	4.5	1.0
4	4	5	5	0	1	1.5	1.9	2.6	0.0	0.5
5	1	1	3	0	0	0.4	0.4	1.5	0.0	0.0
6 & Over	1	7	1	2	1	0.4	2.7	0.5	1.0	0.5
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>259</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	51	52	40	40	46	30.9	27.1	33.9	36.7	53.5
2	44	48	24	28	24	26.7	25.0	20.3	25.7	27.9
3	39	18	12	27	6	23.6	9.4	10.2	24.8	7.0
4	16	20	20	0	4	9.7	10.4	16.9	0.0	4.7
5	5	5	15	0	0	3.0	2.6	12.7	0.0	0.0
6 & Over	10	49	7	14	6	6.1	25.5	5.9	12.8	7.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 2 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 2 offenders committed 7.0% of the total new referrals.

## Wasco County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	2854	271	95.0	179	62.7	73	25.6	19	6.7
1999	2879	259	90.0	164	57.0	76	26.4	19	6.6
2000	2760	195	70.7	130	47.1	52	18.8	13	4.7
2001	2811	200	71.1	135	48.0	54	19.2	11	3.9
2002	2873	201	70.0	139	48.4	58	20.2	4	1.4


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Washington County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	1,131	1,080	1,189	1,012	1,057	67.5	68.6	71.0	73.0	75.8
1	301	297	288	237	210	18.0	18.9	17.2	17.1	15.1
2	120	106	94	69	81	7.2	6.7	5.6	5.0	5.8
3	43	44	47	36	24	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.6	1.7
4	26	15	21	10	13	1.6	1.0	1.3	0.7	0.9
5	16	12	12	15	4	1.0	0.8	0.7	1.1	0.3
6 & Over	39	20	23	8	6	2.3	1.3	1.4	0.6	0.4
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1,676</b>	<b>1,574</b>	<b>1,674</b>	<b>1,387</b>	<b>1,395</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	301	297	288	237	210	25.6	32.6	29.5	35.5	37.6
2	240	212	188	138	162	20.4	23.3	19.2	20.7	29.0
3	129	132	141	108	72	11.0	14.5	14.4	16.2	12.9
4	104	60	84	40	52	8.8	6.6	8.6	6.0	9.3
5	80	60	60	75	20	6.8	6.6	6.1	11.2	3.6
6 & Over	322	149	216	69	42	27.4	16.4	22.1	10.3	7.5
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1,176</b>	<b>910</b>	<b>977</b>	<b>667</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 24 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 24 offenders committed 12.9% of the total new referrals.

## Washington County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	46,466	1676	36.1	1131	24.3	421	9.1	124	2.7
1999	47,495	1574	33.1	1080	22.7	403	8.5	91	1.9
2000	49,882	1674	33.6	1189	23.8	382	7.7	103	2.1
2001	50,730	1387	27.3	1012	19.9	306	6.0	69	1.4
2002	52,188	1395	26.7	1057	20.3	291	5.6	47	0.9


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Wheeler County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	na	na	1	4	7	na	na	100.0	100.0	77.8
1	na	na	0	0	1	na	na	0.0	0.0	11.1
2	na	na	0	0	0	na	na	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	na	na	0	0	0	na	na	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	na	na	0	0	1	na	na	0.0	0.0	11.1
5	na	na	0	0	0	na	na	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 & Over	na	na	0	0	0	na	na	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	na	na	1	4	9	na	na	100%	100%	100%

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	na	na	0	0	0	na	na	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	na	na	0	0	1	na	na	0.0	0.0	20.0
2	na	na	0	0	0	na	na	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	na	na	0	0	0	na	na	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	na	na	0	0	4	na	na	0.0	0.0	80.0
5	na	na	0	0	0	na	na	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 & Over	na	na	0	0	0	na	na	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>TOTALS</b>	na	na	0	0	5	na	na	0.0%	0.0%	100%

"na": data not available.

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 1 offender had 4 new referrals.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 1 offender committed 80.0% of the total new referrals.

## Wheeler County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders

The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.


**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	202	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na
1999	172	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na
2000	177	1	5.6	1	5.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
2001	179	4	22.3	4	22.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
2002	203	9	44.3	7	34.5	1	4.9	1	4.9

\*"na": data not available.


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

## Yamhill County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2002. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

### 1998 - 2002 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

Number of New Referrals	Number of Offenders by Year					Percent of Offenders				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	417	446	395	382	325	59.7	61.3	66.2	65.6	65.7
1	145	157	112	110	86	20.7	21.6	18.8	18.9	17.4
2	75	68	50	37	51	10.7	9.4	8.4	6.4	10.3
3	30	26	23	26	21	4.3	3.6	3.9	4.5	4.2
4	15	16	10	13	6	2.1	2.2	1.7	2.2	1.2
5	7	8	5	8	2	1.0	1.1	0.8	1.4	0.4
6 & Over	10	6	2	6	4	1.4	0.8	0.3	1.0	0.8
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>699</b>	<b>727</b>	<b>597</b>	<b>582</b>	<b>495</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Number of New Referrals	New Referrals by Year					Percent of Overall Crime				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	145	157	112	110	86	26.3	30.4	31.2	27.2	27.6
2	150	136	100	74	102	27.2	26.3	27.9	18.3	32.7
3	90	78	69	78	63	16.3	15.1	19.2	19.3	20.2
4	60	64	40	52	24	10.9	12.4	11.1	12.9	7.7
5	35	40	25	40	10	6.3	7.7	7.0	9.9	3.2
6 & Over	72	42	13	50	27	13.0	8.1	3.6	12.4	8.7
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>552</b>	<b>517</b>	<b>359</b>	<b>404</b>	<b>312</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals. For example, in 2002, 21 offenders had 3 new referrals each.
- **Percent of offenders:** This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **New referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2002, 21 offenders committed 20.2% of the total new referrals.



## Yamhill County

### Perspective on the county's population to the number of offenders


The table below compares the youth population\* (ages 10-17) to juvenile offender groups for the years 1998 through 2002.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**

Year	Youth Population* (ages 10-17)	Total Number of Offenders	Rate per 1000	Offenders NOT Recidivating	Rate per 1000	Offenders with 1 or 2 Referrals	Rate per 1000	Chronic Offenders	Rate per 1000
1998	10,722	699	65.2	417	38.9	220	20.5	62	5.8
1999	11,025	727	65.9	446	40.5	225	20.4	56	5.1
2000	10,968	597	54.4	395	36.0	162	14.8	40	3.6
2001	11,115	582	52.4	382	34.4	147	13.2	53	4.8
2002	11,042	495	44.8	325	29.4	137	12.4	33	3.0


The chart below illustrates data from the table.

**Juvenile Offender Rates per 1000**



The chart below shows the relative size of the juvenile offender groups to the county's youth population. Data are for 2002 but can be considered representative of the 1998 through 2002 period.

**2002 Population\* by Offender Group**



\* Population estimates from the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.