Comment #: 35

Mr. Richard C. Pattisall, Jr. ERA Evergreen Real Estate Company South Carolina United States

Dear Sirs,

I am very concerned that the Federal Do Not Call Rules are poorly conceived and written, and have far-reaching implications beyond the problem that was addressed and that are a direct restraint of trade.

The ruling, as I understand it, broadly forbids the solicitation in any manner, of a household that may have indicated interest in a service or product unless there is an existing business relationship in place that would allow a communication.

In fact, a consumer may indicate interest in service or product by various means, and it should be part of the ruling that if a consumer replies to any business partner of an organization that they may be interested in a product or service that the primary business partner cannot serve (Homes Magazine for instance), then the secondary business partner should be able to market.

With respect to a product or service, the ability to conceptualize, manufacture or create, and market and sell is are the very principles that the US Domestic Economy is founded upon. Interuption of the business cycle of the strongest domestic economy in the world for the purpose of the "do-nothing" politicians that contrived it to be re-elected is a frightfully misguided step toward weaking our country and the ability of our citizens to thrive and flourish.

While noone wishes to have their privacy disturbed by unsolicited contact, this ruling far oversteps the boundaries of good business, the health of our currently troubled domestic economy, and just plain common sense.

Stay the course with this foolish ruling and "let the public be damned".