+ + + + +

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

+ + + + +

PUBLIC MEETING ON OVERSIGHT

+ + + + + WEDNESDAY

DECEMBER 3, 2003

+ + + + +

The Board met in the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board Hearing Room at 625 Indiana Avenue, N.W., Suite 300, Washington, D.C., at 9:00 a.m., John T. Conway, Chairman, presiding.

PRESENT

JOHN T. CONWAY
A.J. EGGENBERGER
JOHN E. MANSFIELD

R. BRUCE MATTHEWS

Chairman Vice Chairman Board Member Board Member

STAFF PRESENT

RICHARD A. AZZARO
JAMES J. McCONNELL
KENNETH M. PUSATERI

General Counsel
Deputy Technical Director
General Manager

ALSO PRESENT

DANIEL E. GLENN
MICHAEL B. MALLORY

Manager, Pantex Site Office General Manager, BWXT Pantex,

LLC

WILLIAM J. BRUMLY DENNIS R. RUDDY

Manager, Y-12 Site Office General Manager, BWXT Y-12, LLC

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



I-N-D-E-X

OPENING REMARKS		
Chairman Conway	•	. 3
BOARD MEMBER REMARKS Dr. Matthews		. 5
PANTEX PLANT		
Daniel E. Glenn, Manager, Pantex Site Office		. 7
Michael B. Mallory, General Manager, BWXT Pantex, LLC		. 48
Y-12 NATIONAL SECURITY COMPLEX		
William J. Brumley,		
Manager, Y-12 Site Office		. 87
Dennis R. Ruddy,		110
General Manager, BWXT Y-12, LLC .	•	TTD
PUBLIC COMMENTS		
William I. Hicks		134

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

9:00 a.m.

CHAIRMAN CONWAY: The clock here on the wall says 9:00. The Congressional Record indicates that we begin at 9:00. And I don't want to relegate or do anything wrong that the Congressional Record requires us to do.

My name is John Conway. I'm the Chairman of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board [DNFSB], and I will preside over the continuation of this meeting.

Today's meeting and hearing were publicly noticed in Federal Register on November 12, 2003. The meeting in hearing are held open to the public in accordance with the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Today's meeting is an extension of the hearings held on September 10, October 21, and October 23rd and constitutes the fourth in a series during which the Board is examining the Department of Energy's [DOE] current and proposed models of safety oversight and management of the contracts and contractors it relies upon to safely accomplish the mission assigned to DOE under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended. We will focus on DOE's proposed new

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

initiatives and what impact, if any, they may have upon assuring adequate protection of the health and safety of the public and workers at DOE's defense nuclear facilities.

I welcome today's presenters, members of the public, members of the press in our audience, and those viewing our proceeding electronically.

I might say that when this Board was first set up by the Congress in its report from the Senate Armed Services Committee, the Board was mandated to take certain actions and do certain things. Among those, according to the report from the Senate Armed Services Committee, the Board is expected: to raise the technical expertise of the Department substantially; to assist and monitor the continued development of DOE's internal ES&H [Environment, Safety and Health] organization, and; to provide independent advice to the Secretary. Above all, the Board should be instrumental in restoring public confidence in DOE's management capabilities; a clear prerequisite for the continued production of the nuclear material vital to the nation's security.

They went on to say, "The basic goals in establishing an independent safety oversight board are to assure and <a href="mailto:enhance" -- and I stress that word -- "enhance" -- and I stress that word -- "enhance" -- "enhance"

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

facilities and to restore public confidence that these 2 facilities are operated without undue risk to public 3 4 health and safety." These hearings are in accordance with that 5 mandate from the Congress, and we are holding these 6 7 public hearings in order to ensure the public that we are doing our job, and that the DOE is moving ahead 8 9 and doing its job in a safe manner. So that is part of the reasons we are holding this series of hearings. 10 Dr. Eggenberger? 11 VICE CHAIRMAN EGGENBERGER: I have no 12 13 questions at this time. When the first witness 14 appears, I have an extensive line of questioning. 15 CHAIRMAN CONWAY: Okay. Dr. Mansfield? 16 DR. MANSFIELD: Nothing at this time. 17 CHAIRMAN CONWAY: Dr. Matthews? 18 DR. MATTHEWS: Just a quick comment. 19 First of all, thanks to our colleagues 20 from Pantex and Y-12 for coming today. 21 But I just want to state my overarching 22 issue, which in my mind is the balance between safety 23 and productivity and how much safety oversight is 24 really needed to accomplish the mission efficiently 25 and safely that we all have to -- that you work on.

"and enhance the safety of operations of DOE's nuclear

1