

You'll like the way we do business!

September 25, 2007



Federal Trade Commission/Office of the Secretary, Room H-135 (Annex K) 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20580

Federal Trade Commission/Office of the Secretary,

I am writing you in regards to creating a new subclass of polyester to be used with PTT. As a retailer it is important to have PTT in its own class because classification as polyester is misleading to our customers. While having the general chemical composition of polyester, PTT wears much better and should not be in the same class. I have never had a wear complaint on PTT, however I can't say the same about polyester. The performance of PTT is not comparable to polyester and having PTT in the same class as polyester is not only misleading to consumers, but can make it difficult to sell because consumers think it will wear like polyester.

Mike Hughes, Owner Kelly's Carpet Omaha

"16 CFR Part 303 – Textile Rule 8, Mohawk, DuPont, and PTT Canada Comment, Matter No. P074201"

the proof of the correction have a Minimum to the continuous of the many of the property of the proof of the proof of the proof of the sum of the proof of the pr

The State of the S