Synthesis – Morning

- Value in multi-stakeholder processes
 - Clear purpose for stakeholder involvement
 - Composition
 - Clearly defined participant roles and responsibilities
 - Articulated structure of who (i.e., workgroups, etc.) does what

- Current BTS has attributes & strengths (that must be sustained)
- BTS & Annex 12: good for Annex 12; bad for BTS
- Goals (end-points) <u>must</u> be clearly articulated by the Parties (that is, the U.S. and Canada)
 - To which stakeholders can respond and act to address
 - -Goals drive structuring an approp. forum

 Whatever the vehicle (i.e., approach, process, etc), it must factor in overarching goals

-Tangible; viable; and, pertinent

• Steps 1, 2, 3, and 4: all are important; must articulate who is responsible and accountable for each

- Is it appropriate to assume that the BTS model is transferable to new & emerging chemicals?
- BTS evolution
 - -Why evolve BTS (in conjunction with Annex 12)?
 - Why not let BTS finish; and then begin anew with a differently structured multistakeholder forum?
- Assessment of stakeholders
- Respect stakeholder capacities vis-à-vis ability to participate



• Whatever paradigm emerges, it must maintain the relational capital, the trust foundation established over last 10 years